

Moments of Graphs in Monotone Families

Zoltán Füredi^{1,2} and André Kündgen³

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801

E-mail: z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu, furedi@renyi.hu

²RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY
BUDAPEST, P. O. BOX 127, HUNGARY-1364

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY SAN MARCOS
SAN MARCOS, CALIFORNIA 92096

E-mail: akundgen@csusm.edu

Received October 10, 2003; Revised March 25, 2005

Published online 00 Month 2005 in Wiley InterScience(www.interscience.wiley.com).

DOI 10.1002/jgt.20119

Abstract: The k th moment of the degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots d_n$ of a graph G is $\mu_k(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum d_i^k$. We give asymptotically sharp bounds for $\mu_k(G)$ when G is in a monotone family. We use these results for the case $k = 2$ to improve a result of Pach, Spencer, and Tóth [15]. We answer a question of Erdős [9] by determining the maximum variance $\mu_2(G) - \mu_1^2(G)$ of the degree sequence when G is a triangle-free n -vertex graph.

© 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Graph Theory 00: 1–12, 2005

Keywords: [xxx⁰¹](#)

Contract grant sponsor: Hungarian National Science Foundation; Contract grant number: OTKA T 032452, T 037846; Contract grant sponsor: National Science Foundation; Contract grant number: DMS 0140692.

© 2005 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

A family of graphs is *monotone* if it is closed under taking subgraphs. Many basic families of graphs are monotone: forests, k -colorable graphs, graphs of girth $\geq g$, graphs that can be embedded in a fixed surface, and H -free graphs, that is, graphs that do not contain a fixed subgraph H . In this paper, we study properties of the degree sequence of monotone families of graphs.

P. Erdős [9] proved in 1970 that the degree sequence $(\deg(x_1), \dots, \deg(x_n))$ of every K_p -free graph G with vertices $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ can be majorized by a $(p-1)$ -partite graph H . This means that $V(H) = V(G)$, $\deg_H(x) \geq \deg_G(x)$ for every vertex, and H is $(p-1)$ -colorable. This gives a nice short proof for Turán's theorem. In general, it reduces the problem of determining

$$\max_{|V(G)| = n, G \text{ is } K_p\text{-free}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} F(\deg_G(x_i)) \quad (1)$$

for a nondecreasing function F to a much simpler optimization problem on partitions of n into $p-1$ positive integers (see [4, 7]). Erdős also observed that this is not necessarily true for general functions F , or graph parameters that depend on the degree sequence in more complicated ways. As a first point of attack, he proposed the following question:

“Let $G(n)$ be a triangle-free graph with vertices x_1, \dots, x_n . What is the maximum possible variance of the sequence of degrees $v(x_1), \dots, v(x_n)$, and which graphs achieve that maximum?” We completely solve this problem of Erdős in Section 5, by showing that for $n > 3$, the maximum is achieved only by the unbalanced complete bipartite graph(s) whose number of edges is as close to $n^2/8$ as possible.

Another natural problem is to study the equivalent of (1) for monotone families other than K_p -free graphs. In Section 3, we give a simple bound for a general monotone family in the case when F is nondecreasing. This bound is essentially optimal for such important special cases as the k th moments of the degree sequence. Finally, in Section 4, we apply the results for the second moment we obtained in Section 3 to slightly improve a result on crossing numbers by Pach, Spencer, and Tóth [15].

2. DEFINITIONS

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{G} denotes a monotone family of graphs. For any graph parameter F , we can now define

$$F(n; \mathcal{G}) = \max_{|V(G)| = n, G \in \mathcal{G}} F(G).$$

The *Turán graph*, $T_p(n)$, is the complete p -partite graph in which all parts are as equal in size as possible. Thus, with the notation $e(G) = |E(G)|$, Turán's theorem says that

$$e(n; \{K_p\text{-free graphs}\}) = e(T_{p-1}(n)), \text{ achieved only by } T_{p-1}(n).$$

The *degree sequence* of a general graph G with vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is the nonincreasing sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, where $d_i = \deg_G(v_i)$. For every function $F: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$, we define its average value over the degree sequence of such a graph as

$$F(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F(d_i).$$

For example, for $\mu_k(x) = x^k$, we obtain the k th *moment* (of the degree sequence) of G ,

$$\mu_k(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k.$$

Thus the *average degree* of G is $\mu_1 = \mu_1(G) = \frac{2e(G)}{n}$ and the *variance* of G is

$$\sigma^2(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \mu_1)^2 = \mu_2(G) - \mu_1^2.$$

Hence the problem in (1) corresponds to determining $F(n; \{K_p\text{-free graphs}\})$ and the question of Erdős corresponds to determining $\sigma^2(n; \{K_3\text{-free graphs}\})$. In [4, 7], the problem of determining $\mu_k(n; \{K_p\text{-free graphs}\})$ exactly is studied, and $\mu_k(n; \{H\text{-free graphs}\})$ is determined approximately for H nonbipartite. We will be more concerned with the case when $e(n; \mathcal{G}) = o(n^2)$, corresponding to the case when H is bipartite.

An important observation is that the number of edges of a graph in a (nontrivial) monotone family is bounded from above by a nontrivial function of the number of its vertices. If f is any real-valued function, which is defined for the natural numbers, then we say that \mathcal{G} is *f-dense* if every n -vertex graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies

$$\frac{e(G)}{n^2/2} \leq f(n).$$

So Turán's theorem, for example, implies that K_p -free graphs are $(1 - \frac{1}{p-1})$ -dense.

3. DEGREE VARIATION IN MONOTONE FAMILIES

We start by proving a simple bound on the large degrees of a monotone family:

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be an n -vertex graph in an f -dense monotone family of graphs. If the degree sequence of G is $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, then $d_i \leq 2nf(2i)$, whenever $1 \leq i \leq n/2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ where $d(v_j) = d_j$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n/2$ and let $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$. Randomly pick a set of i additional vertices $B \subseteq V(G) - A$. Let X count the number of edges induced by $A \cup B$. On the one hand, $EX \leq \frac{(2i)^2}{2}f(2i)$. On the other hand, $EX \geq \sum_{j=1}^i d_j i/n$, since every edge induced by A is induced by $A \cup B$ with probability $1 \geq i/n + i/n$, and every edge incident to exactly one vertex in A is induced by $A \cup B$ with probability $i/(n-i) \geq i/n$. Thus

$$2i^2f(2i) \geq EX \geq \sum_{j=1}^i d_j i/n \geq di^2/n. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 3.1 enables us to prove the following general bound.

Theorem 3.2. *If F is nondecreasing, and the monotone family \mathcal{G} is f -dense, then*

$$nF(n, \mathcal{G}) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} F(2nf(2i)).$$

If f is a nonincreasing function on \mathbf{R}^+ , then furthermore

$$nF(n, \mathcal{G}) \leq 2F(2nf(2)) + \int_2^n F(2nf(x)) dx.$$

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ be an n -vertex graph achieving $F(n, \mathcal{G})$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} nF(n, \mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n F(d_i) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} F(d_i) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} F(2nf(2i)) \\ &= 2F(2nf(2)) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n/2} F(2nf(2i)). \end{aligned}$$

If $f(x)$ is nonincreasing, then so is $F(2nf(2t))$ and with the substitution $2t = x$, we obtain,

$$2 \sum_{i=2}^{n/2} F(2nf(2i)) \leq 2 \int_1^{n/2} F(2nf(2t)) dt = \int_2^n F(2nf(x)) dx. \quad \blacksquare$$

To see that the bounds in Theorem 3.2 can be quite sharp, we use them to estimate the k th moment of most monotone families.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose \mathcal{G} is a monotone family of graphs with unbounded maximum degree such that the maximum number of edges of an n -vertex graph in \mathcal{G} is $\Theta(n^{2-\beta})$, for fixed $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.*

1. *If $k\beta < 1$, then $\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) = \Theta(n^{k-k\beta})$.*
2. *If $k\beta = 1$, then $\Omega(n^{k-1}) \leq \mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) \leq O(n^{k-1} \log n)$.*
3. *If $k\beta > 1$, then $\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) = \Theta(n^{k-1})$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{G} must be $cn^{-\beta}$ -dense for some $c > 0$ it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$n\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) \leq 2(2nc2^{-\beta})^k + \int_2^n (2ncx^{-\beta})^k dx = 2^{1+k-k\beta}(cn)^k + (2nc)^k \int_2^n x^{-k\beta} dx.$$

If $k\beta = 1$, then this yields

$$n\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) \leq 2^k(cn)^k + (2cn)^k(\log n - \log 2) \leq Cn^k \log n,$$

as desired. Otherwise, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) &\leq 2^{1+k-k\beta}(cn)^k + \frac{(2cn)^k}{1-k\beta}(n^{1-k\beta} - 2^{1-k\beta}) \\ &= \frac{k\beta}{k\beta-1}2^{1+k-k\beta}(cn)^k + \frac{(2c)^k}{1-k\beta}n^{1+k-k\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k\beta < 1$, the first expression is negative and can be dropped to obtain the desired bound. When $k\beta > 1$, the second expression is negative, and we proceed similarly.

For the lower bounds in cases 2 and 3, observe that since \mathcal{G} contains graphs whose maximum degrees go to infinity it must, by monotonicity, contain every star $K_{1,n-1}$. Hence $n-1 \geq n/2$ implies

$$\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) \geq \mu_k(K_{1,n-1}) = \frac{1}{n}((n-1)^k + 1^k + 1^k + \dots + 1^k) \geq n^{k-1}/2^k.$$

Finally, observe that \mathcal{G} contains a graph G on n vertices with $e = e(G) \geq cn^{2-\beta}$. Thus

$$\mu_k(n; \mathcal{G}) \geq \mu_k(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{2e}{n}\right)^k \geq (2c)^k n^{k-k\beta}.$$

This establishes the last lower bound. ■

Remark 3.4. One way of reading Theorem 3.3.1 is that the monotonicity of \mathcal{G} ensures that for $k < 1/\beta$, the k th moments are like those of $cn^{1-\beta}$ -regular graphs. In other words, the degree sequences of dense graphs in monotone families are in some sense quite regular.

Conjecture 3.5. *In Theorem 3.3.2, the lower bound gives the right answer.*

For example, let \mathcal{G} be the family of all graphs that do not contain a 4-cycle. The maximum number of edges of a 4-cycle free graph on n vertices is $\Theta(n^{3/2})$, so we consider the case when $\beta = 1/2$ and $k = 2$. Observe that $\sum d_i^2$ is the number of walks of length 2 in G . Since in a C_4 -free graph, there is at most one such walk between any two different vertices, we conclude that $n\mu_2(n; \mathcal{G}) = \sum d_i^2 \leq 2\binom{n}{2} + 2e(G) = \Theta(n^2)$.

A. Sum of Squares in General Graphs

It is crucial in Theorem 3.3 that \mathcal{G} is monotone as is illustrated by the host of results for general graphs collected in this subsection.

There has been considerable research investigating the maximum of the sum of the squares of the degrees $n\mu_2$ for general n -vertex graph on e edges. Frequently, these results are formulated as the maximum number of paths of length 2, $\sum \binom{d_i}{2} = \frac{n}{2}\mu_2 - e$. This problem was investigated, and basically solved, for bipartite graphs by Ahlswede and Katona [2] and by Aharoni [1] in the form of maximum norms of 0-1 matrices.

Recently de Caen [6] gave a short proof that $n\mu_2(G) = \sum d_i^2 \leq e(2e/n - 1 + n - 2)$, but for $e = cn^{2-\beta}$, this yields only that $\mu_2(G) \leq c'n^{2-\beta}$. For further results related to de Caen's bound, see Das [8], Li and Pan [10], Olpp [12], Peled, Petreschi, and Sterbini [13], and for the graphs with maximum μ_2 for n, e fixed, see Byer [5].

Bey [3] gives a generalization of de Caen's bound to hypergraphs. A general bound on μ_k can be found in Székely, Clark, and Entringer [16].

4. CROSSING NUMBERS FOR MONOTONE FAMILIES

Pach, Spencer, and Tóth [15] proved the following result for monotone families, thus answering a question of Simonovits:

Theorem 4.1. *Consider a monotone family \mathcal{G} of graphs, which is $O(n^{\alpha-1})$ -dense for some $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. There are constants $c, c' > 0$ such that the crossing number of any graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with n vertices and $e \geq cn \log^2 n$ edges is at least*

$$c' \frac{e^{2+1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}}.$$

Moreover, if there are n -vertex graphs in \mathcal{G} with $\Theta(n^{1+\alpha})$ edges, then this bound is asymptotically optimal up to a constant factor.

Pach, Spencer, and Tóth conjectured that this bound holds even for graphs with $e \geq cn$ edges for a suitable constant $c > 0$. Using the results from Section 3, we make some progress on their conjecture.

The *bisection width*, $b(G)$, of an n -vertex graph G is the minimum number of edges with exactly one endpoint in W , taken over all $W \subset V(G)$ such that $n/3 \leq |W| \leq 2n/3$. The following result of Pach, Shahroki, and Szegedy [14] is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 4.1:

Theorem 4.2. *If G is a graph on n vertices, then*

$$b(G) \leq 10\sqrt{\text{cr}(G)} + 2\sqrt{n\mu_2(G)}.$$

The proof of the following result is only a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.3. *Consider a monotone family \mathcal{G} of graphs which is $O(n^{\alpha-1})$ -dense for some $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. There are constants $c, c' > 0$ such that the crossing number of any graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with n vertices and $e \geq cn \log n$ edges is at least*

$$c' \frac{e^{2+1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{G} is a monotone $An^{\alpha-1}$ -dense family for some $A > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{G}$ has n vertices and $e \geq cn \log n$ edges (for a c yet to be determined). Aiming for a contradiction, we assume furthermore that $\text{cr}(G) < c'(e^{2+1/\alpha})/(n^{1+1/\alpha})$, for suitable c' .

We break G into smaller pieces according to the following procedure:

DECOMPOSITION ALGORITHM

Step 0. Let $G^0 = G, M_0 = 1, m_0 = 1$.

Suppose we already have executed Step i , and the resulting graph G^i , consists of M_i components $G_1^i, G_2^i, \dots, G_{M_i}^i$, each of at most $(2/3)^i n$ vertices. Assume, without loss of generality, that the first m_i components of G^i have at least $(2/3)^{i+1} n$ vertices and the remaining components have fewer. That is, for $1 \leq j \leq m_i$, we have

$$(2/3)^{i+1} n \leq n(G_j^i) \leq (2/3)^i n,$$

so that

$$m_i \leq (3/2)^{i+1}. \tag{2}$$

Step $i + 1$. If

$$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^i < \frac{1}{A^{1/\alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}},$$

then STOP.

Else for $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ delete $b(G_j^i)$ edges from G_j^i so that G_j^i falls into two components, each of at most $(2/3)n(G_j^i)$ vertices. Let G^{i+1} denote the resulting graph on n vertices. Clearly, each component of G^{i+1} has at most $(2/3)^{i+1}n$ vertices.

Suppose that the DECOMPOSITION ALGORITHM terminates in Step $k+1$. If $k > 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k < \frac{1}{A^{1/\alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-1}. \quad (3)$$

We will first show that G^k contains less than $e/2$ edges: The number of vertices of each component of G^k satisfies

$$n(G_j^k) \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k n < \frac{1}{A^{1/\alpha}} \cdot \frac{e^{1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}} n = \left(\frac{e}{An}\right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Since G is from a monotone $An^{\alpha-1}$ -dense family, it follows that

$$e(G_j^k) \leq A \frac{n^{1+\alpha}(G_j^k)}{2} < n(G_j^k) \frac{e}{2n}.$$

Thus it follows, as desired, that

$$e(G^k) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_k} e(G_j^k) < \frac{e}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{M_k} n(G_j^k) = \frac{e}{2}.$$

To obtain a contradiction, it now suffices to show that we deleted at most $e/2$ edges of G to obtain G^k . Using the fact that, for any nonnegative reals a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sqrt{a_j} \leq \sqrt{m \sum_{j=1}^m a_j},$$

and (2) we obtain that, for any $0 \leq i < k$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \sqrt{\text{cr}(G_j^i)} \leq \sqrt{m_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \text{cr}(G_j^i)} \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{\text{cr}(G)} < \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{c' \frac{e^{2+1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}}}.$$

Using (3) we also obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}^{k+1} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} - 1} < 7 \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-1}} \leq 7 \sqrt{A^{1/\alpha} \frac{n^{1+1/\alpha}}{e^{1/\alpha}}}.$$

Setting $n_j^i = |V(G_j^i)|$, $k = 2$, and $\beta = 1 - \alpha > 1/2$, it now follows from Theorem 3.3.3 that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \sqrt{n_j^i \mu_2(G_j^i)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \sqrt{n_j^i \mu_2(n_j^i, \mathcal{G})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c'' n_j^i \leq c'' n.$$

Using Theorem 4.2, we see that the total number of edges deleted during the procedure is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b(G_j^i) &\leq 10 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \sqrt{\text{cr}(G_j^i)} + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \sqrt{n_j^i \mu_2(G_j^i)} \\ &\leq 10 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{i+1}} \sqrt{c' \frac{e^{2+1/\alpha}}{n^{1+1/\alpha}}} + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c'' n \\ &< 70 \sqrt{A^{1/\alpha} c' e^2} + 2kc'' n \end{aligned}$$

and this expression is at most $e/2$ for $e \geq cn \log n$ provided that c is sufficiently large and c' sufficiently small. \blacksquare

A similar argument can be used to show that when $\alpha = 1/2$, then it is sufficient to require $e \geq cn \log^{3/2} n$ for c sufficiently large. We also note that the sharpness of our bounds in Theorem 3.3 suggests that the techniques in [15] alone will not suffice to settle their conjecture.

5. THE VARIANCE OF TRIANGLE-FREE GRAPHS

We now let \mathcal{G} denote the family of triangle-free graphs and let $\sigma^2(n) = \sigma^2(n; \mathcal{G})$ denote the maximum variance $\sigma^2(G)$ of the degree sequence of any triangle-free graph G on n vertices. Hence the question of Erdős mentioned in the introduction is to determine $\sigma^2(n)$ and find all n -vertex $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\sigma^2(G) = \sigma^2(n)$. We do not give an explicit formula for $\sigma^2(n)$, but instead describe the extremal graphs.

We start our investigation by computing $\sigma^2(G)$ when G is complete bipartite:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(K_{k,n-k}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} (k(n-k)^2 + (n-k)k^2) = k(n-k) = e, \\ \mu_1(K_{k,n-k}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = \frac{2e}{n}, \\ \sigma^2(K_{k,n-k}) &= \mu_2 - \mu_1^2 = e - \frac{4e^2}{n^2} = \frac{n^2}{16} - \frac{4}{n^2} \left(\frac{n^2}{8} - e \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Next, observe that for every positive integer n , one can choose k such that

$$\left| \frac{n^2}{8} - k(n-k) \right| < 0.38n. \quad (5)$$

For example, for $n \geq 10$, one can define k as the closest integer to $n(2 - \sqrt{2})/4 \sim 0.1464\dots n$, and for $3 \leq n \leq 10$ let $k = 1$. Thus (4) and (5) imply

$$\sigma^2(n; \mathcal{G}) \geq \max_{1 < k < n} \sigma^2(K_{k,n-k}) > \frac{n^2}{16} - 0.58. \quad (6)$$

Let \mathcal{B}_n denote the set of complete bipartite graphs $K_{k,n-k}$ for which $e = k(n-k)$ is closest to $n^2/8$. \mathcal{B}_n usually consists of a single graph, but an elementary number theoretical argument shows that there are also infinitely many cases when $\mathcal{B}_n = \{K_{k,n-k}, K_{k+1,n-k-1}\}$. Indeed, the equation $n^2/8 - k(n-k) = (k+1)(n-k-1) - n^2/8$ leads to the Pell-type equation

$$(2k+1)^2 - 2\left(\frac{n}{2} - (2k+1)\right)^2 = 1,$$

and all of its integer solutions can be obtained by a simple recurrence, see, e.g., the textbook [11] (page 352). The first few cases are $(n, k, k+1) = (2, 0, 1), (10, 1, 2), (58, 8, 9), (338, 49, 50), (1970, 288, 289)\dots$

Theorem 5.1. *If G is a triangle-free graph on n vertices, then $\sigma^2(G) \leq \sigma^2(n)$. When $n > 3$ equality holds only for the (unbalanced complete bipartite) graphs $G \in \mathcal{B}_n$.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a triangle-free n -vertex graph with $\sigma^2(G) = \sigma^2(n)$. By the preceding observations, it is sufficient to show that G is a complete bipartite graph. Let $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ be the degree sequence of G , where $\deg_G(v_i) = d_i$.

First, we give an upper bound for $\mu_2 = \mu_2(G)$: Consider an edge connecting the vertices v_i and v_j . Since G is triangle-free, the neighborhoods $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$ are disjoint, and thus $d_i + d_j \leq n$. Adding this inequality for all edges, we obtain $n\mu_2 = \sum d_i^2 \leq ne$, where $e = |E(G)|$. Thus $\mu_2 \leq e$ and $\mu_1 = 2e/n$ yield

$$\sigma^2(G) = \mu_2 - \mu_1^2 \leq e - \frac{4e^2}{n^2} = \frac{n^2}{16} - \frac{4}{n^2} \left(\frac{n^2}{8} - e \right)^2. \quad (7)$$

Let $K_{k,n-k} \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Since $\sigma^2(K_{k,n-k}) \leq \sigma^2(n) = \sigma^2(G)$, we get from (4), (7), and (5) that

$$\left| \frac{n^2}{8} - e(G) \right| \leq \left| \frac{n^2}{8} - k(n-k) \right| < 0.38n. \quad (8)$$

Define the *deficiency* of the edge $v_i v_j$ by $\varepsilon_{ij} = n - d_i - d_j \geq 0$. Adding the equation $d_i + d_j = n - \varepsilon_{ij}$ for all edges, we obtain $n\mu_2 = ne - \sum \varepsilon_{ij}$ and thus the following improvement of (7)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(G) &= \mu_2 - \mu_1^2 = e - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{v_i v_j \text{ an edge}} \varepsilon_{ij} \right) - \frac{4e^2}{n^2} \\ &= \frac{n^2}{16} - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum \varepsilon_{ij} \right) - \frac{4}{n^2} \left(\frac{n^2}{8} - e \right)^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{16} - \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Equations (9) and (6) give

$$\sum_{v_i v_j \text{ an edge}} \varepsilon_{ij} < 0.58n. \quad (10)$$

Call an edge $v_i v_j$ *saturated* if $\varepsilon_{ij} = 0$. Since for every nonsaturated edges $v_i v_j$, we have $\varepsilon_{ij} \geq 1$, it follows from (8) and (10) that there are at least $(n^2/8 - 0.38n) - 0.58n$ saturated edges. This is positive for $n > 7$, so in this case, there is at least one saturated edge, say $v_i v_j$, with degrees $d_i = \ell$ and $d_j = n - \ell$.

Since G is triangle-free, $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$ are independent sets. Thus G is bipartite with parts $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$. Every vertex in $N(v_j)$ has degree at most ℓ . If all vertices in $N(v_j)$ have degree exactly ℓ , then G is a complete bipartite graph, and we are done. Otherwise, let A denote the set of vertices in $N(v_j)$ of degrees exactly ℓ , and let $A' := N(v_j) \setminus A$. Since $v_i \in A$, we have that A and A' are nonempty.

Similarly, if G is not the complete bipartite graph $K(\ell, n - \ell)$, then we have $B \neq \emptyset$, $B' \neq \emptyset$, where $B := \{y \in N(v_i) : \deg(y) = n - \ell\}$ and $B' := N(v_i) \setminus B$.

Since the vertices of A are joined to all vertices of B' and the vertices of B are joined to all vertices of A' , the induced bipartite graphs $G[A, B']$ and $G[B, A']$ are connected and cover all vertices of G . Thus they have at least $n - 2$ edges. None of these edges are saturated, so that (10) yields $n - 2 < 0.58n$. This is a contradiction for $n > 4$.

For $4 \leq n \leq 7$, there are only a few graphs to check. In these cases, stars give the maximum variance, thus finishing the proof of the theorem: When $n = 5, 6, 7$, inequality (5) can be improved to $|n^2/8 - (n - 1)| < 0.18n$, which gives an upper bound of $0.14n$ in (10), so that all edges of G are saturated. Similarly, for $n = 4$, at most 1 edge is unsaturated and thus we only need to consider $K_{1,3}$, $K_{2,2}$ and graphs with at most one edge.

The cases $n \leq 3$ are obvious: there are two extrema, the one-edge graph and its complement. Thus we can determine $\sigma^2(n)$ for all n . ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Bruce Richter for suggesting the study of $\mu_2(n; \mathcal{G})$ and its connections to Theorem 4.1. The authors also, thank Radhika Ramamurthi for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aharoni, A problem in rearrangements of $(0, 1)$ matrices, *Discrete Math* 30 (1980), 191–201.
- [2] R. Ahlswede and G. O. H. Katona, Graphs with maximal number of adjacent pairs of edges, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 32 (1978), 97–120.
- [3] C. Bey, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a hypergraph, *Discrete Math* 269 (2003), 259–263.
- [4] B. Bollobás and V. Nikiforov, Degree powers in graphs with forbidden subgraphs, *Electron J Combin* 11(1) (2004), R42, 8 p.
- [5] O. D. Byer, Two path extremal graphs and an application to a Ramsey-type problem, *Discrete Math* 196 (1999), 51–64.
- [6] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph, *Discrete Math* 185 (1998), 245–248.
- [7] Y. Caro and R. Yuster, Degree powers in graphs with forbidden subgraphs, *Electron J Combin* 7 (2000), R47, 14 p.
- [8] K. C. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, [manuscript](#).^{Q2}
- [9] P. Erdős, On the graph theorem of Turán, *Matematikai Lapok* 21 (1970), 249–251 (In Hungarian, MR46# 7090).
- [10] J. Li and Y. Pan, de Caen’s inequality and bounds on the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl* 328 (2001), 153–160.
- [11] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman, and H. L. Montgomery, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [12] D. Olpp, A conjecture of Goodman and the multiplicities of graphs, *Austral J Combin* 14 (1996), 267–282.
- [13] U. Peled, R. Petreschi, and A. Sterbini, (n, e) -graphs with maximum sum of squares of degrees, *J Graph Theory* 31 (1999), 283–295.
- [14] J. Pach, F. Shahroki, and M. Szegedy, Applications of the crossing number, *Algorithmica* 16 (1996), 111–117.
- [15] J. Pach, J. Spencer, and G. Tóth, New bounds on crossing numbers, *Discrete Comput Geom* 24 (2000), 623–644.
- [16] L. A. Székely, L. H. Clark, and R. C. Entringer, An inequality for degree sequences, *Discrete Math* 103 (1992), 293–300.

[Q1](#): Au: Please provide keywords.

[Q2](#): Au: Please update the reference.



111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

ELECTRONIC PROOF CHECKLIST, JOURNAL OF GRAPH THEORY

*****IMMEDIATE RESPONSE REQUIRED*****

Please follow these instructions to avoid delay of publication.

READ PROOFS CAREFULLY

- This will be your only chance to review these proofs.
- Please note that the volume and page numbers shown on the proofs are for position only.

ANSWER ALL QUERIES ON PROOFS (Queries for you to answer are attached as the last page of your proof.)

- Mark all corrections directly on the proofs. Note that excessive author alterations may ultimately result in delay of publication and extra costs may be charged to you.

CHECK FIGURES AND TABLES CAREFULLY (Color figures will be sent under separate cover.)

- Check size, numbering, and orientation of figures.
- All images in the PDF are downsampled (reduced to lower resolution and file size) to facilitate Internet delivery. These images will appear at higher resolution and sharpness in the printed article.
- Review figure legends to ensure that they are complete.
- Check all tables. Review layout, title, and footnotes.

COMPLETE REPRINT ORDER FORM

- Fill out the attached reprint order form. It is important to return the form even if you are not ordering reprints. You may, if you wish, pay for the reprints with a credit card. Reprints will be mailed only after your article appears in print. This is the most opportune time to order reprints. If you wait until after your article comes off press, the reprints will be considerably more expensive.

RETURN

- PROOFS**
 REPRINT ORDER FORM
 CTA (If you have not already signed one)

RETURN WITHIN 48 HOURS OF RECEIPT VIA FAX TO Hattie Heavner AT 201-748-6052

QUESTIONS?

Hattie Heavner, Production Editor
Phone: 201-748-6047
E-mail: hheavner@wiley.com
Refer to journal acronym and article production number
(i.e., JGT 00-001 for *Journal of Graph Theory* ms 00-001).



COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT

111 River Street
Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA
201.748.6047
FAX 201.748.6052

Date:

To:

Production/Contribution

ID# _____

Publisher/Editorial office use only

Re: Manuscript entitled _____
_____ (the "Contribution")
for publication in _____ (the "Journal")
published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. ("Wiley").

Dear Contributor(s):

Thank you for submitting your Contribution for publication. In order to expedite the editing and publishing process and enable Wiley to disseminate your work to the fullest extent, we need to have this Copyright Transfer Agreement signed and returned to us as soon as possible. If the Contribution is not accepted for publication this Agreement shall be null and void.

A. COPYRIGHT

1. The Contributor assigns to Wiley, during the full term of copyright and any extensions or renewals of that term, all copyright in and to the Contribution, including but not limited to the right to publish, republish, transmit, sell, distribute and otherwise use the Contribution and the material contained therein in electronic and print editions of the Journal and in derivative works throughout the world, in all languages and in all media of expression now known or later developed, and to license or permit others to do so.
2. Reproduction, posting, transmission or other distribution or use of the Contribution or any material contained therein, in any medium as permitted hereunder, requires a citation to the Journal and an appropriate credit to Wiley as Publisher, suitable in form and content as follows: (Title of Article, Author, Journal Title and Volume/Issue Copyright © [year] Wiley Periodicals, Inc. or copyright owner as specified in the Journal.)

B. RETAINED RIGHTS

Notwithstanding the above, the Contributor or, if applicable, the Contributor's Employer, retains all proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights, in any process, procedure or article of manufacture described in the Contribution, and the right to make oral presentations of material from the Contribution.

C. OTHER RIGHTS OF CONTRIBUTOR

Wiley grants back to the Contributor the following:

1. The right to share with colleagues print or electronic "preprints" of the unpublished Contribution, in form and content as accepted by Wiley for publication in the Journal. Such preprints may be posted as electronic files on the Contributor's own website for personal or professional use, or on the Contributor's internal university or corporate networks/intranet, or secure external website at the Contributor's institution, but not for commercial sale or for any systematic external distribution by a third party (e.g., a listserv or database connected to a public access server). Prior to publication, the Contributor must include the following notice on the preprint: "This is a preprint of an article accepted for publication in [Journal title] © copyright (year) (copyright owner as specified in the Journal)". After publication of the Contribution by Wiley, the preprint notice should be amended to read as follows: "This is a preprint of an article published in [include the complete citation information for the final version of the Contribution as published in the print edition of the Journal]", and should provide an electronic link to the Journal's WWW site, located at the following Wiley URL: <http://www.interscience.Wiley.com/>. The Contributor agrees not to update the preprint or replace it with the published version of the Contribution.
2. The right, without charge, to photocopy or to transmit online or to download, print out and distribute to a colleague a copy of the published Contribution in whole or in part, for the colleague's personal or professional use, for the

advancement of scholarly or scientific research or study, or for corporate informational purposes in accordance with Paragraph D.2 below.

3. The right to republish, without charge, in print format, all or part of the material from the published Contribution in a book written or edited by the Contributor.
4. The right to use selected figures and tables, and selected text (up to 250 words, exclusive of the abstract) from the Contribution, for the Contributor's own teaching purposes, or for incorporation within another work by the Contributor that is made part of an edited work published (in print or electronic format) by a third party, or for presentation in electronic format on an internal computer network or external website of the Contributor or the Contributor's employer.
5. The right to include the Contribution in a compilation for classroom use (course packs) to be distributed to students at the Contributor's institution free of charge or to be stored in electronic format in datarooms for access by students at the Contributor's institution as part of their course work (sometimes called "electronic reserve rooms") and for in-house training programs at the Contributor's employer.

D. CONTRIBUTIONS OWNED BY EMPLOYER

1. If the Contribution was written by the Contributor in the course of the Contributor's employment (as a "work-made-for-hire" in the course of employment), the Contribution is owned by the company/employer which must sign this Agreement (in addition to the Contributor's signature), in the space provided below. In such case, the company/employer hereby assigns to Wiley, during the full term of copyright, all copyright in and to the Contribution for the full term of copyright throughout the world as specified in paragraph A above.
2. In addition to the rights specified as retained in paragraph B above and the rights granted back to the Contributor pursuant to paragraph C above, Wiley hereby grants back, without charge, to such company/employer, its subsidiaries and divisions, the right to make copies of and distribute the published Contribution internally in print format or electronically on the Company's internal network. Upon payment of Wiley's reprint fee, the institution may distribute (but not resell) print copies of the published Contribution externally. Although copies so made shall not be available for individual re-sale, they may be included by the company/employer as part of an information package included with software or other products offered for sale or license. Posting of the published Contribution by the institution on a public access website may only be done with Wiley's written permission, and payment of any applicable fee(s).

E. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS

In the case of a Contribution prepared under U.S. Government contract or grant, the U.S. Government may reproduce, without charge, all or portions of the Contribution and may authorize others to do so, for official U.S. Government purposes only, if the U.S. Government contract or grant so requires. (U.S. Government Employees: see note at end.)

F. COPYRIGHT NOTICE

The Contributor and the company/employer agree that any and all copies of the Contribution or any part thereof distributed or posted by them in print or electronic format as permitted herein will include the notice of copyright as stipulated in the Journal and a full citation to the Journal as published by Wiley.

G. CONTRIBUTOR'S REPRESENTATIONS

The Contributor represents that the Contribution is the Contributor's original work. If the Contribution was prepared jointly, the Contributor agrees to inform the co-Contributors of the terms of this Agreement and to obtain their signature to this Agreement or their written permission to sign on their behalf. The Contribution is submitted only to this Journal and has not been published before, except for "preprints" as permitted above. (If excerpts from copyrighted works owned by third parties are included, the Contributor will obtain written permission from the copyright owners for all uses as set forth in Wiley's permissions form or in the Journal's Instructions for Contributors, and show credit to the sources in the Contribution.) The Contributor also warrants that the Contribution contains no libelous or unlawful statements, does not infringe upon the rights (including without limitation the copyright, patent or trademark rights) or the privacy of others, or contain material or instructions that might cause harm or injury.

CHECK ONE:

Contributor-owned work

Contributor's signature

Date

Type or print name and title

Co-contributor's signature

Date

Type or print name and title

ATTACHED ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE PAGE AS NECESSARY

Company/Institution-owned work
(made-for-hire in the
course of employment)

Company or Institution (Employer-for-Hire)

Date

Authorized signature of Employer

Date

U.S. Government work

Note to U.S. Government Employees

A contribution prepared by a U.S. federal government employee as part of the employee's official duties, or which is an official U.S. Government publication is called a "U.S. Government work," and is in the public domain in the United States. In such case, the employee may cross out Paragraph A.1 but must sign and return this Agreement. If the Contribution was not prepared as part of the employee's duties or is not an official U.S. Government publication, it is not a U.S. Government work.

U.K. Government work (Crown Copyright)

Note to U.K. Government Employees

The rights in a Contribution prepared by an employee of a U.K. government department, agency or other Crown body as part of his/her official duties, or which is an official government publication, belong to the Crown. In such case, Wiley will forward the relevant form to the Employee for signature.



WILEY

Publishers Since 1807

111 RIVER STREET, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030

Telephone Number:

• Facsimile Number:

To: Hattie Heavner

Company: _____

Phone: 201-748-6047

Fax: 201-748-6052

From: _____

Date: _____

Pages including this cover
page: _____

Message:

Re:

Softproofing for advanced Adobe Acrobat Users - NOTES tool

NOTE: ADOBE READER FROM THE INTERNET DOES NOT CONTAIN THE NOTES TOOL USED IN THIS PROCEDURE.

Acrobat annotation tools can be very useful for indicating changes to the PDF proof of your article. By using Acrobat annotation tools, a full digital pathway can be maintained for your page proofs.

The NOTES annotation tool can be used with either Adobe Acrobat 4.0, 5.0 or 6.0. Other annotation tools are also available in Acrobat 4.0, but this instruction sheet will concentrate on how to use the NOTES tool. Acrobat Reader, the free Internet download software from Adobe, DOES NOT contain the NOTES tool. In order to softproof using the NOTES tool you must have the full software suite Adobe Acrobat 4.0, 5.0 or 6.0 installed on your computer.

Steps for Softproofing using Adobe Acrobat NOTES tool:

1. Open the PDF page proof of your article using either Adobe Acrobat 4.0, 5.0 or 6.0. Proof your article on-screen or print a copy for markup of changes.
2. Go to File/Preferences/Annotations (in Acrobat 4.0) or Document/Add a Comment (in Acrobat 6.0) and enter your name into the "default user" or "author" field. Also, set the font size at 9 or 10 point.
3. When you have decided on the corrections to your article, select the NOTES tool from the Acrobat toolbox and click in the margin next to the text to be changed.
4. Enter your corrections into the NOTES text box window. Be sure to clearly indicate where the correction is to be placed and what text it will effect. If necessary to avoid confusion, you can use your TEXT SELECTION tool to copy the text to be corrected and paste it into the NOTES text box window. At this point, you can type the corrections directly into the NOTES text box window. **DO NOT correct the text by typing directly on the PDF page.**
5. Go through your entire article using the NOTES tool as described in Step 4.
6. When you have completed the corrections to your article, go to File/Export/Annotations (in Acrobat 4.0) or Document/Add a Comment (in Acrobat 6.0).
7. **When closing your article PDF be sure NOT to save changes to original file.**
8. To make changes to a NOTES file you have exported, simply re-open the original PDF proof file, go to File/Import/Notes and import the NOTES file you saved. Make changes and re-export NOTES file keeping the same file name.
9. When complete, attach your NOTES file to a reply e-mail message. Be sure to include your name, the date, and the title of the journal your article will be printed in.