



E3187

Author(s): Stephen J. Lipscomb, Allen J. Schwenk and Douglas B. West Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 96, No. 1 (Jan., 1989), pp. 60-62 Published by: Taylor & Francis, Ltd. on behalf of the Mathematical Association of America Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2323264 Accessed: 20-07-2018 23:03 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://about.jstor.org/terms



Mathematical Association of America, Taylor & Francis, Ltd. are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The American Mathematical Monthly

Clearly $F_n(0) = 1$ for $n \ge 1$, and $F_1(k) = 0$ for $k \ge 1$. We show that $F_n(k) = (-1)^n \binom{n-1}{k}$ for $n \ge 1$, $k \ge 0$.

Now suppose $n \ge 2$. The set of permutations in $G_n(k)$ for which $\pi(n-1) \ne n$ and $\pi(n) \ne n$ is mapped onto itself if its elements are preceded by the transposition (n-1, n), which interchanges even and odd permutations, so these permutations contribute 0 to $F_n(k)$. The permutations in $G_n(k)$ with $\pi(n) = n$ correspond to the permutations in $G_{n-1}(k)$ by restriction, without changing parity, so these contribute $F_{n-1}(k)$ to $F_n(k)$. Finally, any permutation in $G_n(k)$ with $\pi(n-1) = n$ can be obtained by following the transposition (n-1, n) with a permutation in $G_{n-1}(k$ -1) extended to leave n fixed. In view of the change of parity, the permutations in $G_n(k)$ with $\pi(n-1) = n$ contribute $-F_{n-1}(k-1)$ to $F_n(k)$.

This yields the recurrence $F_n(k) = F_{n-1}(k) - F_{n-1}(k-1)$ for $n \ge 2$, $k \ge 1$, with boundary conditions $F_1(k) = \delta_{k0}$ and $F_n(0) = 1$. It follows by induction that $F_n(k) = (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k}$.

The proposer gave a solution using generating functions. No other solutions were received.

Highly Asymetric Graphs

E 3187 [1987, 72]. Proposed by Stephen J. Lipscomb, Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg, VA, and Allen J. Schwenk, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.

A vertex-deleted subgraph G - v of a graph G is formed by removing one vertex v and every edge incident with it. A graph is called *asymmetric* if it has no nontrivial automorphisms (symmetries).

(a) Find a smallest possible asymmetric graph all of whose vertex-deleted subgraphs are also asymmetric.

(b) Same as (a) but also require that no pair of vertex-deleted subgraphs be isomorphic.

Solution by Douglas B. West, University of Illinois, Urbana. We interpret "smallest" to mean "fewest vertices". We show that the 8-vertex graph F below has the properties of both (a) and (b) and no smaller graph satisfies either. Note that the subgraph H = F - u - z is an asymmetric graph with 6 vertices and 6 edges.



This content downloaded from 130.126.108.125 on Fri, 20 Jul 2018 23:03:11 UTC All use subject to http://about.jstor.org/terms

1989]

Call a graph *highly asymmetric* if it is asymmetric and all its vertex-deleted subgraphs are asymmetric. We first prove F is highly asymmetric. If a graph G has a unique vertex v of some degree, any symmetry must fix v, so asymmetry of G - v is a sufficient (but not necessary) condition for asymmetry of G. Hence asymmetry of F - u and F - z follows from asymmetry of H. For any other F - v, z is the unique vertex of F - v with maximum degree and is adjacent to all but u, so it suffices to show that no non-trivial symmetry of F - v - z fixes u. This can be done quickly by examination. To see that F satisfies (b), note that the degree sequences of the vertex-deleted subgraphs are all distinct, except that F - a and F - b have a degree sequence 5444322, and F - c and F - e have degree sequence 5433221. In F - b, the vertices of degree 4 induce a triangle, while in F - a they do not. Similarly, in F - e the vertices of degree 1 and 5 are adjacent, while in F - a they are not.

To show that no smaller graph than F is highly asymmetric, we need the following facts:

Let G be an asymmetric graph of smallest order. Then

- (1) G and \overline{G} are connected.
- (2) G has six vertices.
- (3) If G has at most 6 edges, then G = H above.
- (4) G has a vertex of degree at least 3.

(1) follows from minimality and the fact that G and \overline{G} have the same symmetries; any non-trivial component of a disconnected G would have a non-trivial symmetry. Of G and \overline{G} , we may consider the one with fewer edges. Thus on four vertices we need only consider trees; on five vertices we need only consider trees or connected unicyclic graphs (5 edges); every one of these graphs has a symmetry of order 2. With the existence of H, this proves (2). On six vertices there are six (unlabeled) trees; each has a symmetry of order 2. Among the connected unicyclic 6-vertex graphs (six edges), there are 1, 1, 4, 7, respectively, having a cycle of length 6, 5, 4, 3. Every one of these has a symmetry of order 2 except the graph H above, which is asymmetric, proving (3). For (4), note that $\Delta(H) = 3$, where $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum vertex degree. The average degree of any 6-vertex graph with at least 7 edges is at least $2 \cdot 7/6 > 2$, so $\Delta(G) \ge 3$ even if $G \ne H$. (Note: there are also three asymmetric 6-vertex graphs with 7 edges, their complements with 8 edges, and \overline{H} with 9 edges, but we need not determine the rest of the set to solve this problem.)

We now show that no highly asymmetric graph G has 7 vertices. Suppose G is such a graph. We first claim each vertex of G has degree 2, 3, or 4. If v is a vertex of degree 1, delete its neighbor. If d(v) = 5, delete its non-neighbor. If d(v) = 0 or d(v) = 6, delete any vertex other than v. In each case, we obtain a graph G - v with a vertex of degree 0 or 5. This implies G - v or its complement is asymmetric and disconnected, contradicting (1).

Let w be a vertex of maximum degree in G. By (4), we have $\Delta(G - v) \ge 3$ for each v, so $d(w) \ge 3$. Since G - w has at least 6 edges, G therefore has at least 9 edges. If exactly 9, then d(w) = 3 and G - w = H, since H is the only asymmetric 6-vertex graph with 6 edges. Morever, w must be adjacent to a, b and one of $\{c, d\}$. In either case, G - a has a symmetry. Thus we may assume G has at least 10 edges.

As before, we may assume by complementation that G has no more edges than \overline{G} . Since $\binom{7}{2} = 21$, we may now assume G has exactly 10 edges. If $\Delta(G) = 3$, then G

61

has degree sequence 3333332, and deleting the vertex of minimum degree yields the sequence 333322. The complement of this graph, with degree sequence 332222, must be asymmetric. If the two 3-valent vertices u, v are not adjacent, then u, v have two common neighbors, and the remaining edge joins their remaining neighbors. If u, v are adjacent, then this degree sequence yields 2, 1, 0 graphs when u, v have 0, 1, 2 common neighbors, respectively. All four graphs with this degree sequence have symmetries of order 2.

Hence we may assume $\Delta(G) = 4$, so G - w = H. Since G has no vertex of degree 1, w must be joined to a, b, and two of $\{c, d, e, x\}$. We find a symmetry in G - c unless the last two neighbors of w are x and one of $\{d, e\}$, But then G has a symmetry if w is adjacent to e, and G + x has a symmetry if w is adjacent to d. This exhausts all possibilities for G.

No other solutions were received. The proposers supplied a 9-vertex highly asymmetric graph and a 10-vertex highly asymmetric graph with non-isomorphic vertex-deleted subgraphs.

Locating Corners from an Arbitrary Point in a Rectangle

E 3208 [1987, 456]. Proposed by I. D. Berg R. L. Bishop, and H. G. Diamond, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Suppose that in the Euclidean plane, line segments of lengths a, b, c, d emanate from a given point P in clockwise order, where a, b, c, d are given positive numbers with

$$a^2 + c^2 = b^2 + d^2$$

(i) Show that the four segments can be so placed that the endpoints determine a rectangle containing P, and show that this rectangle may have any specified area between 0 and some maximum value M(a, b, c, d).

(ii) Find M(a, b, c, d).

Solution by Carl Schoen, University of Wisconsin at Eau Claire. Without loss of generality, assume a is the smallest of a, b, c, d. Contrary to the assertion of the problem as printed, the minimum area is $(a + d)\sqrt{b^2 - a^2}$ if $b \le d$ and $(a + b)\sqrt{d^2 - a^2}$ if $d \le b$. The area can equal zero only if $a = \min\{b, d\}$. The maximum area is ac + bd.

We may orient any rectangle to have horizontal and vertical sides, P at the origin, and a in the first quadrant. Let α be the angle between a and the positive x-axis. For any choice of α with $0 \le \alpha \le \pi/2$, we construct such a rectangle. Having chosen a as the smallest of a, b, c, d, there is a unique placement for segment b so that the endpoints of a and b lie on a vertical line. Similarly, there is a unique placement for d so that the endpoints of a and d lie on a horizontal line. This determines a rectangle with fourth vertex C. If the rectangle meets the axes at (-t, 0), (u, 0), (0, w), and (0, -v), then $b^2 + d^2 = u^2 + v^2 + t^2 + w^2 = a^2 + PC^2$, which implies PC = c. Hence, the postulated rectangle can be constructed for arbitrary α .

If $A(\alpha)$ is the area of the resulting rectangle, than A = (t + u)(v + w), where

$$w = a \sin \alpha$$
, $u = a \cos \alpha$, $t = \sqrt{d^2 - a^2 \sin^2 \alpha}$, $v = \sqrt{b^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \alpha}$.