

HW 4, due Monday, February 11: problems 4 and 5 on p. 37 of the book. (For Friday, February 8, do the earlier problems 1 and 3 on that page, but don't hand them in.)

Solution of 4. For the first one, take as hypotheses

$$(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \sigma), \quad \psi$$

From hypothesis ψ we get $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ by $\rightarrow I$ without canceling any hypothesis. Using the first hypothesis this gives $\phi \rightarrow \sigma$ by $\rightarrow E$. At this point we add ϕ as a hypothesis, and get σ by $\rightarrow E$. Canceling the hypothesis ψ we get $\psi \rightarrow \sigma$ by $\rightarrow I$, and then another use of $\rightarrow I$ gives $\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \sigma)$, while canceling the hypothesis ϕ . At this point we can cancel the first hypothesis to get

$$[(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \sigma)] \rightarrow [\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \sigma)]$$

using $\rightarrow I$.

The second one is a bit tricky, and we only give a sketch. The idea is to use the result of 1(d) to reduce to showing $\vdash \neg[(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi] \wedge \neg\phi$. To get that, we take as hypothesis $\neg\neg[(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi] \wedge \neg\phi$ and try to derive from it \perp ; if we succeed we can cancel this hypothesis using RAA to get $\neg[(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi] \wedge \neg\phi$, which by 1(d) leads easily to the desired conclusion.

From the hypothesis $\neg\neg[(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi] \wedge \neg\phi$ we first derive $\{(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi\} \wedge \neg\phi$ using Theorem 2.4.4 (5). Using $\wedge E$ we then get $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi$ and $\neg\phi$. From $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \phi$ and using Theorem 2.4.4 (4) we derive $\neg\phi \rightarrow \neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$. We already have $\neg\phi$, so we can apply $\rightarrow E$ to get $\neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$, which by 1(d) leads to $\phi \wedge \neg\psi$, and thus to ϕ by $\wedge E$. Since we also have $\neg\phi$, we then get \perp by $\rightarrow E$.

Solution of 5. The first claim of 5 follows from how we defined the concept " $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ ". To prove the second statement, assume $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ and $\Delta, \phi \vdash \psi$.

Start with a derivation witnessing $\Gamma \vdash \phi$, that is, a derivation of ϕ all whose uncanceled hypotheses are in Γ . Attaching to this a derivation witnessing $\phi, \Delta \vdash \psi$, we obtain a derivation of ψ all whose uncanceled hypotheses are in $\Gamma \cup \Delta$, that is, witnessing $\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash \psi$.