

**Midterm Math 414 (Logic), April 1, 2019**

(1) Which of the following two statements are correct (and why?):

$$\{p_0, p_0 \rightarrow p_1, p_1\} \vdash p_2, \quad \{p_0, p_0 \rightarrow p_1, \neg p_1\} \vdash p_2$$

**Solution:** The statement on the left is incorrect: take a valuation  $v$  with  $v(p_0) = v(p_1) = 1$  and  $v(p_2) = 0$ . Then  $v(p_0) = v(p_0 \rightarrow p_1) = v(p_1) = 1$ , but  $v(p_2) = 0$ , so

$$\{p_0, p_0 \rightarrow p_1, p_1\} \not\vdash p_2,$$

hence by Soundness,  $\{p_0, p_0 \rightarrow p_1, p_1\} \not\vdash p_2$ .

The statement on the right is correct: one can either give a derivation witnessing it (easy), or appeal to completeness, by which it is enough to check

$$\{p_0, p_0 \rightarrow p_1, \neg p_1\} \models p_2,$$

which follows by noting that there is no valuation  $v$  such that  $v(p_0) = v(p_0 \rightarrow p_1) = v(\neg p_1) = 1$ .

(2) Let  $L = \{<, +, \cdot, 0, 1\}$  be the language whose symbols are the binary relation symbol  $<$ , the binary function symbols  $+$  and  $\cdot$ , and the constant symbols  $0$  and  $1$ . Let  $x, y, z$  be distinct variables. Let  $t$  be the  $L$ -term  $xy$ . (Here  $xy$  abbreviates  $\cdot(x, y)$ ; likewise  $x + z$  abbreviates  $+(x, z)$ , and so on.) Let  $\phi$  be the  $L$ -formula

$$x \neq 0 \vee y = 1 \vee \exists y(y \neq 1 \wedge y < x + z)$$

- (i) which variables occur free in  $\phi$ , and which occur bound?
- (ii) determine the formulas  $\phi[t/x]$ ,  $\phi[t/y]$ , and  $\phi[t/z]$ .
- (iii) Is  $t$  free for  $x$  in  $\phi$ ? Same question for  $y$  and for  $z$  instead of  $x$  (same  $t$ ).

Here is enough just to give the answers.

**Solution:**

- (i)  $x, y, z$  occur free in  $\phi$ , and only  $y$  occurs bound in  $\phi$ .
- (ii)  $\phi[t/x]$  is  $xy \neq 0 \vee y = 1 \vee \exists y(y \neq 1 \wedge y < xy + z)$   
 $\phi[t/y]$  is  $x \neq 0 \vee xy = 1 \vee \exists y(y \neq 1 \wedge y < x + z)$   
 $\phi[t/z]$  is  $x \neq 0 \vee y = 1 \vee \exists y(y \neq 1 \wedge y < x + xy)$
- (iii)  $t$  is not free for  $x$  in  $\phi$ ;  $t$  is free for  $y$  in  $\phi$ , and  $t$  is not free for  $z$  in  $\phi$ .

(3) Let the language  $L$  have a unary predicate symbol  $P$ ; let  $y, z$  be distinct variables. Determine which of (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) below are correct, and which are not:

- (i)  $\models P(y) \rightarrow \exists y P(y)$
- (ii)  $\models P(y) \rightarrow \forall y P(y)$
- (iii)  $\models (\forall y P(y)) \rightarrow P(z)$
- (iv)  $\models \forall y \exists z (z \neq y \wedge P(z)) \leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z (y \neq z \wedge P(y) \wedge P(z))$

Here is is enough just to give the answers.

**Solution:** (1), (3), (4) are correct, (2) is not.

(4) Let  $P, Q$  be a binary relation symbols and  $x, y, z$  distinct variables. Indicate prenex transformations that convert the formula

$$(\forall x P(x, y)) \rightarrow \exists x \forall y Q(x, y)$$

into a semantically equivalent formula in prenex normal form.

**Solution:** First, change to  $\neg(\forall x P(x, y)) \vee \exists x \forall y Q(x, y)$ , and change that to  $(\exists x \neg P(x, y)) \vee \exists x \forall y Q(x, y)$ ; transform that into  $\exists x (\neg P(x, y) \vee \forall y Q(x, y))$ . Next we replace the bounded occurrences of  $y$  to  $v$ , where the variable  $v$  differs from  $x, y$  to get  $\exists x (\neg P(x, y) \vee \forall v Q(x, v))$ , which we then transform into  $\exists x \forall v (\neg P(x, y) \vee Q(x, v))$ . If we like we can transform that further to  $\exists x \forall v (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, v))$ .

(5) Let the language  $L$  have just the constant symbols 0 and 1 and the binary function symbol  $\cdot$ . Consider the  $L$ -structures

$$\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, \cdot \rangle \quad \langle \mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, \cdot \rangle \quad \langle \mathbb{Q}; 0, 1, \cdot \rangle$$

with the usual interpretation of these symbols. Find for each of these three structures an  $L$ -sentence that is true in it and false in the other two.

**Solution:** the sentence  $\sigma_1 := \forall x(x \cdot x = 1 \rightarrow x = 1)$  is true in  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, \cdot \rangle$  and false in the other two. The sentence  $\sigma_3 := \forall x((x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y(xy = 1)))$  is true in  $\langle \mathbb{Q}; 0, 1, \cdot \rangle$  and false in the other two, and so  $\sigma_2 := \neg\sigma_1 \wedge \neg\sigma_3$  is true in  $\langle \mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, \cdot \rangle$  and false in the other two.

(6) Let  $\phi, \psi$  be  $L$ -formulas such that the variable  $x$  does not occur free in  $\phi$ . Give derivations (with a label for each step indicating the rule being used) showing:

- (i)  $\forall x(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vdash \phi \rightarrow \forall x\psi$
- (ii)  $\phi \rightarrow \forall x\psi \vdash \forall x(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ .