

# Math 562 Fall 2020

Renming Song

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

December 09, 2020

# Outline

# Outline

- 1 General Info
- 2 9.4 The Local Time of Linear Brownian Motion

HW7 is due Friday, 12/11, at noon. Please submit your homework via the course Moodle page. Make sure that your HW is uploaded successfully.

HW6 has been graded now.

HW7 is due Friday, 12/11, at noon. Please submit your homework via the course Moodle page. Make sure that your HW is uploaded successfully.

HW6 has been graded now.

# Outline

- 1 General Info
- 2 9.4 The Local Time of Linear Brownian Motion**

Throughout this section,  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a 1-dim Brownian motion started from 0 and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is the (completed) canonical filtration of  $B$ .

The following theorem, which is known as Trotter's theorem, is essentially a restatement of the results of the previous sections in the special case of 1-dim Brownian motion. Still the importance of the result justifies this repetition. We write  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  for the topological support of a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

Throughout this section,  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a 1-dim Brownian motion started from 0 and  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is the (completed) canonical filtration of  $B$ .

The following theorem, which is known as Trotter's theorem, is essentially a restatement of the results of the previous sections in the special case of 1-dim Brownian motion. Still the importance of the result justifies this repetition. We write  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  for the topological support of a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .



### Theorem 9.12 (Trotter)

There exists a (unique) process  $(L_t^a(B))_{a \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0}$ , whose sample paths are continuous functions of the pair  $(a, t)$ , such that, for every fixed  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(L_t^a(B))_{t \geq 0}$  is an increasing process, and, a.s. for every  $t \geq 0$ , for every non-negative measurable function  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_0^t \phi(B_s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(a) L_t^a(B) da.$$

Furthermore, a.s. for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\text{supp}(d_s L_s^a(B)) \subset \{s \geq 0 : B_s = a\}. \quad (1)$$

and this inclusion is an equality with probability one if  $a$  is fixed.

## Proof of Theorem 9.12

The first assertion follows by applying Theorem 9.4 and Corollary 9.7 to  $X = B$ , noting that  $\langle B, B \rangle_t = t$ . We have already seen that the inclusion (1) holds with probability one if  $a$  is fixed, hence simultaneously for all rationals, a.s. A continuity argument allows us to get that (1) holds simultaneously for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  outside a single set of probability zero. Indeed, suppose that for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq s < t$ , we have  $L_t^a(B) > L_s^a(B)$  and  $B_r \neq a$  for every  $r \in [s, t]$ . Then we can find a rational  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  sufficiently close to  $a$  such that the same properties hold when  $a$  is replaced by  $b$ , giving a contradiction.

Now let's verify that (1) is an a.s. equality if  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is fixed. So let us fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every rational  $q \geq 0$ , define

$$H_q = \inf\{t \geq q : B_t = a\}.$$

Our claim will follow if we can verify that a.s. for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $L_{H_q+\epsilon}^a > L_{H_q}^a$ . Using the strong Markov property at time  $H_q$ , it suffices

## Proof of Corollary Theorem 9.12 (cont)

to prove that, if  $B'$  is a 1-dim Brownian motion started from  $a$ , we have  $L_\epsilon^a(B') > 0$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , a.s. Clearly we can take  $a = 0$ . We then observe that we have

$$L_\epsilon^0(B) = \sqrt{\epsilon} L_1^0(B), \quad \text{in distribution,}$$

by an easy scaling argument (use for instance the approximations of the previous section). Also  $\mathbb{P}(L_1^0(B) > 0) > 0$  since  $\mathbb{E}[L_1^0(B)] = \mathbb{E}[|B_1|]$  by Tanaka's formula. An application of Blumenthal's zero-one law to the event

$$A := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{L_{2^{-n}}^0(B) > 0\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \{L_{2^{-n}}^0(B) > 0\}$$

completes the proof.

Theorem 9.12 remains true with a similar proof for an arbitrary (possibly random) initial value  $B_0$ .

## Proof of Corollary Theorem 9.12 (cont)

to prove that, if  $B'$  is a 1-dim Brownian motion started from  $a$ , we have  $L_\epsilon^a(B') > 0$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , a.s. Clearly we can take  $a = 0$ . We then observe that we have

$$L_\epsilon^0(B) = \sqrt{\epsilon} L_1^0(B), \quad \text{in distribution,}$$

by an easy scaling argument (use for instance the approximations of the previous section). Also  $\mathbb{P}(L_1^0(B) > 0) > 0$  since  $\mathbb{E}[L_1^0(B)] = \mathbb{E}[|B_1|]$  by Tanaka's formula. An application of Blumenthal's zero-one law to the event

$$A := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{L_{2^{-n}}^0(B) > 0\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \{L_{2^{-n}}^0(B) > 0\}$$

completes the proof.

Theorem 9.12 remains true with a similar proof for an arbitrary (possibly random) initial value  $B_0$ .

We now turn to distributional properties of local times of Brownian motion.

### Proposition 9.13

- (i) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $T_a = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = a\}$ . Then  $L_{T_a}^0(B)$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $2|a|$ .
- (ii) Let  $a > 0$  and  $U_a = \inf\{|B_t| = a\}$ . Then  $L_{U_a}^0(B)$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $a$ .

We now turn to distributional properties of local times of Brownian motion.

### Proposition 9.13

- (i) Let  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $T_a = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t = a\}$ . Then  $L_{T_a}^0(B)$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $2|a|$ .
- (ii) Let  $a > 0$  and  $U_a = \inf\{|B_t| = a\}$ . Then  $L_{U_a}^0(B)$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $a$ .

### Proof of Proposition 9.13

(i) By simple scaling and symmetry arguments, it is enough to consider the case  $a = 1$ . We then observe that  $L_{\infty}^0(B) = \infty$  a.s. Indeed, the scaling argument of the preceding proof shows that  $L_{\infty}^0(B)$  has the same distribution as  $\lambda L_{\infty}^0(B)$ , for any  $\lambda > 0$ , and we have also seen that  $L_{\infty}^0(B) > 0$  a.s. Fix  $s > 0$  and define

$$\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t^0(B) \geq s\},$$

so that  $\tau$  is a stopping time of the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ . Furthermore,  $B_{\tau} = 0$  by the support property of local time. By the strong Markov property,

$$B'_t := B_{\tau+t}$$

is a Brownian motion started from 0, which is also independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ . Proposition 9.9 gives, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$L_t^0(B') = L_{\tau+t}^0(B) - s.$$

### Proof of Proposition 9.13 (cont)

On the event  $\{L_{T_1}^0(B) \geq s\} = \{\tau \leq T_1\}$ , we thus have

$$L_{T_1}^0(B) - s = L_{T_1 - \tau}^0(B') = L_{T_1'}^0(B'),$$

where  $T_1' = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t' = 1\}$ . Since the event  $\{\tau \leq T_1\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable and  $B'$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ , we get that the conditional distribution of  $L_{T_1}^0(B) - s$  knowing that  $L_{T_1}^0(B) \geq s$  is the same as the unconditional distribution of  $L_{T_1'}^0(B)$ . This implies that the distribution of  $L_{T_1}^0(B)$  is exponential.

Finally, Tanaka's formula shows that  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[L_{t \wedge T_1}^0(B)] = \mathbb{E}[(B_{t \wedge T_1})^+]$ . As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[L_{t \wedge T_1}^0(B)]$  converges to  $\mathbb{E}[L_{T_1}^0(B)]$  by monotone convergence and  $\mathbb{E}[(B_{t \wedge T_1})^+]$  converges to  $\mathbb{E}[(B_{T_1})^+]$  by dominated convergence, since  $0 \leq (B_{t \wedge T_1})^+ \leq 1$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{E}[L_{T_1}^0(B)] = 2$ , as desired.



### Proof of Proposition 9.13 (cont)

(ii) The argument is exactly similar. We now use Tanaka's formula for absolute value to verify that  $\mathbb{E}[L_{U_a}^0(B)] = a$ .

### Remark

One can give an alternative proof of the proposition using stochastic calculus. To get (ii), for instance, use Ito's formula to verify that, for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(1 + \lambda|B_t|) \exp(-\lambda L_t^0(B))$$

is a continuous local martingale, which is bounded on  $[0, U_a]$ . An application of the optional stopping theorem then shows that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda L_t^0(B))] = (1 + \lambda a)^{-1}$ .

For every  $t \geq 0$ , we define

$$S_t := \sup_{s \leq t} B_s, \quad I_t := \inf_{s \leq t} B_s.$$

### Proof of Proposition 9.13 (cont)

(ii) The argument is exactly similar. We now use Tanaka's formula for absolute value to verify that  $\mathbb{E}[L_{U_a}^0(B)] = a$ .

### Remark

One can give an alternative proof of the proposition using stochastic calculus. To get (ii), for instance, use Ito's formula to verify that, for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(1 + \lambda|B_t|) \exp(-\lambda L_t^0(B))$$

is a continuous local martingale, which is bounded on  $[0, U_a]$ . An application of the optional stopping theorem then shows that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda L_t^0(B))] = (1 + \lambda a)^{-1}$ .

For every  $t \geq 0$ , we define

$$S_t := \sup_{s \leq t} B_s, \quad I_t := \inf_{s \leq t} B_s.$$

### Theorem 9.14 (Lévy)

The two processes  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(L_t^0(B), |B_t|)_{t \geq 0}$  have the same distribution.

#### Remark

By an obvious symmetry argument, the pair  $(-l_t, B_t - l_t)_{t \geq 0}$  also has the same distribution as  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 9.14

By Tanaka's formula, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$|B_t| = -\beta_t + L_t^0(B), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\beta_t = - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s.$$

### Theorem 9.14 (Lévy)

The two processes  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(L_t^0(B), |B_t|)_{t \geq 0}$  have the same distribution.

### Remark

By an obvious symmetry argument, the pair  $(-I_t, B_t - I_t)_{t \geq 0}$  also has the same distribution as  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

### Proof of Theorem 9.14

By Tanaka's formula, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$|B_t| = -\beta_t + L_t^0(B), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\beta_t = - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s.$$

### Theorem 9.14 (Lévy)

The two processes  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(L_t^0(B), |B_t|)_{t \geq 0}$  have the same distribution.

### Remark

By an obvious symmetry argument, the pair  $(-I_t, B_t - I_t)_{t \geq 0}$  also has the same distribution as  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

### Proof of Theorem 9.14

By Tanaka's formula, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$|B_t| = -\beta_t + L_t^0(B), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\beta_t = - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_s) dB_s.$$

### Proof of Theorem 9.14 (cont)

Since  $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle_t = t$ , Theorem 5.12 ensures that  $\beta$  is a 1-dim Brownian motion started from 0. We then claim that, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$L_t^0(B) = \sup\{\beta_s : s \leq t\}.$$

The fact that  $L_t^0(B) \geq \sup\{\beta_s : s \leq t\}$  is immediate since (2) shows that  $L_t^0(B) \geq L_s^0(B) \geq \beta_s$ , for every  $s \in [0, t]$ . To get the reverse inequality, write  $\gamma_t$  for the last zero of  $B$  before time  $t$ . By the support property of local time,  $L_t^0(B) = L_{\gamma_t}^0(B)$  and using (2),

$$L_{\gamma_t}^0(B) = \beta_{\gamma_t} \leq \sup\{\beta_s : s \leq t\}.$$

We have thus proved a.s.

$$(L_t^0(B), |B_t|)_{t \geq 0} = (\sup\{\beta_s : s \leq t\}, \sup\{\beta_s : s \leq t\} - \beta_t)_{t \geq 0},$$

and since  $(\beta_s)_{s \geq 0}$  and  $(B_s)_{s \geq 0}$  have the same distribution, the pair in the right-hand side has the same distribution as  $(S_t, S_t - B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

Theorem 9.14 has several interesting consequences. For every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $S_t$  has the same law as  $|B_t|$  (Theorem 2.21), and thus the same holds for  $L_t^0(B)$ . From the explicit formula (2.2) for the density of  $(S_t, B_t)$ , we also get the density of the pair  $(L_t^0(B), B_t)$ .

For every  $s \geq 0$ , define

$$\tau_s := \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t^0(B) > s\}.$$

The process  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  is called the inverse local time (at 0) of the Brownian motion  $B$ . By construction,  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  has cadlag increasing sample paths. From Lévy Theorem 9.14, one gets that

$$(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0} = (\tilde{T}_s)_{s \geq 0}, \quad \text{in distribution,}$$

where, for every  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{T}_s = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > s\}$ .

Theorem 9.14 has several interesting consequences. For every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $S_t$  has the same law as  $|B_t|$  (Theorem 2.21), and thus the same holds for  $L_t^0(B)$ . From the explicit formula (2.2) for the density of  $(S_t, B_t)$ , we also get the density of the pair  $(L_t^0(B), B_t)$ .

For every  $s \geq 0$ , define

$$\tau_s := \inf\{t \geq 0 : L_t^0(B) > s\}.$$

The process  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  is called the inverse local time (at 0) of the Brownian motion  $B$ . By construction,  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  has cadlag increasing sample paths. From Lévy Theorem 9.14, one gets that

$$(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0} = (\tilde{T}_s)_{s \geq 0}, \quad \text{in distribution,}$$

where, for every  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{T}_s = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > s\}$ .



The same application of the strong Markov property as in the proof of Proposition 9.13 shows that  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  has stationary independent increments. Furthermore, using the invariance of Brownian motion under scaling, we have for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(\tau_{\lambda s})_{s \geq 0} = (\lambda^2 \tau_s)_{s \geq 0}, \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

The preceding properties can be summarized by saying that  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  is a stable subordinator with index  $1/2$  (a subordinator is a Lévy process with non-decreasing sample paths).

The interest of considering the process  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  comes in part from the following proposition.

The same application of the strong Markov property as in the proof of Proposition 9.13 shows that  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  has stationary independent increments. Furthermore, using the invariance of Brownian motion under scaling, we have for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(\tau_{\lambda s})_{s \geq 0} = (\lambda^2 \tau_s)_{s \geq 0}, \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

The preceding properties can be summarized by saying that  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  is a stable subordinator with index  $1/2$  (a subordinator is a Lévy process with non-decreasing sample paths).

The interest of considering the process  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$  comes in part from the following proposition.

### Proposition 9.15

We have a.s.

$$\{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\} = \{\tau_s : s \geq 0\} \cup \{\tau_{s-} : s \in D\},$$

where  $D$  is the countable set of jump times of  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$ .

### Proof

We know from (1) that a.s.

$$\text{supp}(d_t L_t^0(B)) \subset \{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\}.$$

It follows that any time  $t$  of the form  $t = \tau_s$  or  $t = \tau_{s-}$  must belong to the zero set of  $B$ . Conversely, recalling that (1) is an a.s. equality for  $a = 0$ , we also get that, a.s. for every  $t$  such that  $B_t = 0$ , we have either  $L_{t+\epsilon}^0 > L_t^0(B)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , or, if  $t > 0$ ,  $L_{t-\epsilon}^0 < L_t^0(B)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $\epsilon < t$  (or both simultaneously), which implies that we have  $t = \tau_{L_t^0(B)}$  or  $t = \tau_{L_t^0(B)-}$ .

### Proposition 9.15

We have a.s.

$$\{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\} = \{\tau_s : s \geq 0\} \cup \{\tau_{s-} : s \in D\},$$

where  $D$  is the countable set of jump times of  $(\tau_s)_{s \geq 0}$ .

### Proof

We know from (1) that a.s.

$$\text{supp}(d_t L_t^0(B)) \subset \{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\}.$$

It follows that any time  $t$  of the form  $t = \tau_s$  or  $t = \tau_{s-}$  must belong to the zero set of  $B$ . Conversely, recalling that (1) is an a.s. equality for  $a = 0$ , we also get that, a.s. for every  $t$  such that  $B_t = 0$ , we have either  $L_{t+\epsilon}^0 > L_t^0(B)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , or, if  $t > 0$ ,  $L_{t-\epsilon}^0 < L_t^0(B)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $\epsilon < t$  (or both simultaneously), which implies that we have  $t = \tau_{L_t^0(B)}$  or  $t = \tau_{L_t^0(B)-}$ .

As a consequence of Proposition 9.15, the connected components of the complement of the zero set  $\{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\}$  are exactly the intervals  $(\tau_{s-}, \tau_s)$  for  $s \in D$ . These connected components are called the excursion intervals (away from 0). For every  $s \in D$ , the associated excursion is defined by

$$e_s(t) := B_{(\tau_{s-}+t) \wedge \tau_s}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The goal of excursion theory is to describe the distribution of the excursion process, that is, of the collection  $(e_s)_{s \in D}$ . This theory is very useful.