

# Math 461 Fall 2021

Renming Song

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

November 19, 2021

# Outline

# Outline

- 1 **General Info**
- 2 8.4 The strong law of large numbers

HW10 is due today, before the end of class. You can either turn in a hard copy or submit your HW10 electronically as a pdf file via the Moodle page. Make sure that your HW10 is uploaded successfully.

# Outline

- 1 General Info
- 2 8.4 The strong law of large numbers**

In Section 8.2, we discussed the weak law of large numbers.

### Weak law of large numbers

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common (finite) mean  $E[X_1] = \mu$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In Section 8.2, we discussed the weak law of large numbers.

### Weak law of large numbers

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common (finite) mean  $E[X_1] = \mu$ . Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We say that a sequence of random variables  $Z_n$  converge to a random variable  $Z$  in probability if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \epsilon) = 0.$$

Using this concept, the weak law of large numbers can be stated

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common (finite) mean  $E[X_1] = \mu$ , then  $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability.



We say that a sequence of random variables  $Z_n$  converge to a random variable  $Z$  in probability if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \epsilon) = 0.$$

Using this concept, the weak law of large numbers can be stated

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common (finite) mean  $E[X_1] = \mu$ , then  $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability.

In this section we give the following

### strong law of large numbers

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common (finite) mean  $E[X_1] = \mu$ . Then, with probability 1,

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this section we give the following

### strong law of large numbers

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common (finite) mean  $E[X_1] = \mu$ . Then, with probability 1,

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It can be shown that, if with probability 1,

$$\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability.

Now I am going to give a sequence of random variables which converges in probability, but does not converge anywhere.

It can be shown that, if with probability 1,

$$\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability.

Now I am going to give a sequence of random variables which converges in probability, but does not converge anywhere.

Suppose the sample space is  $(0, 1]$  and the probability of an interval is its length. Define

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(0, 1/2]}(x); & X_2(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(1/2, 1]}(x), \\ X_3(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(0, 1/4]}(x), & X_4(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(1/4, 1/2]}(x), \\ X_5(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(1/2, 3/4]}(x), & X_6(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(3/4, 1]}(x), \\ X_7(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(0, 1/8]}(x), & X_8(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(1/8, 1/4]}(x), \\ X_9(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(1/4, 3/8]}(x), & X_{10}(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(3/8, 1/2]}(x), \\ X_{11}(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(1/2, 5/8]}(x), & X_{12}(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(5/8, 3/4]}(x), \\ X_{13}(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(3/4, 7/8]}(x), & X_{14}(x) &= \mathbf{1}_{(7/8, 1]}(x), \\ & \dots & \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then obviously  $X_n$  converges to 0 in probability. But for any  $x \in (0, 1]$ ,  $X_n(x)$  does not converge.

## Proof of the strong law of large numbers

I am going to give a proof under the additional assumption that  $E[X_1^4] = K < \infty$ .

By considering  $X'_n = X_n - \mu$  if necessary, we may and do assume that  $\mu = 0$ . We now show  $(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$  tend to 0 with probability 1.

Let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Consider

$$E[S_n^4] = E[(X_1 + \dots + X_n)^4]$$

Expanding the right side will results in terms of the form

$$X_i^4, \quad X_i^3 X_j, \quad X_i^2 X_j^2, \quad X_i^2 X_j X_k, \quad X_i X_j X_k X_l$$

where  $i, j, k, l$  are all different. Since all the  $X_i$  have mean 0, it follows by independence that

## Proof of the strong law of large numbers (cont)

$$E[X_i^3 X_j] = E[X_i^3]E[X_j] = 0,$$

$$E[X_i^2 X_j X_k] = E[X_i^2]E[X_j]E[X_k] = 0,$$

$$E[X_i X_j X_k X_l] = E[X_i]E[X_j]E[X_k]E[X_l] = 0.$$

For, for a given pair  $i$  and  $j$ , there are  $\binom{4}{2} = 6$  terms in the expansion that equal to  $X_i^2 X_j^2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E[S_n^4] &= nE[X_1^4] + 6\binom{n}{2}E[X_1^2 X_2^2] \\ &= nK + 3n(n-1)E[X_1^2]E[X_2^2] \\ &= nK + 3n(n-1)(E[X_1^2])^2. \end{aligned}$$



## Proof of the strong law of large numbers (cont)

Now, since

$$0 \leq \text{Var}(X_1^2) = E[X_1^4] - (E[X_1^2])^2,$$

we have

$$(E[X_1^2])^2 \leq E[X_1^4] = K.$$

Therefore,

$$E[S_n^4] \leq nK + 3n(n-1)K$$

which implies

$$E\left[\frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] \leq \frac{K}{n^3} + \frac{3K}{n^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$E\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] < \infty.$$

### Proof of the strong law of large numbers (cont)

Thus, with probability 1,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n^4}{n^4} < \infty$ , which implies that with probability 1,  $\frac{S_n^4}{n^4} \rightarrow 0$ , and hence  $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ . The proof is now complete.