

**Errata for Advanced Modern Algebra, 2d Ed.**

**June 20, 2011**

Here are all the errata that I know. If you have found any errors not listed below, please send them to me at

rotman@math.uiuc.edu

**page 22 line – 12** Change “ $m(na) = (mn)a$ ” to “ $n(ma) = (nma)a$ ”

**page 32 line 16** Should read

$$H \vee K = H + K = \{h + k : h \in H, k \in K\}$$

**page 36 line – 19** Should read: If  $(r, m) = 1$ , then  $[r][x] = [1]$ .

**page 57 line – 17** Should read

Hence,  $s = a^k = a^{mq}a^r$ , so that  $a^r = a^{-mq}s \in S$ .

**page 59 Exercise 1.76** Change “every  $\text{GL}(V)$ ” to “ $\text{GL}(V)$ ”

**page 61** Change all occurrences of “ $1_G$ ” and “ $1_{G/H}$ ” to “ $1_{S_G}$ ” and “ $1_{S_{G/H}}$ ”

**page 82 line – 7** Delete extra “ $(-1)(-a)$ ”

**page 95 Ex.2.29(iii)** Change exponent to  $i+1$  in the sum. On next line, change 1 to  $1_G$ .

**page 106 line 15** Add “for all  $i$  and”

**page 108 statement of Gauss's Lemma** Change all “ $g, h$ ” to “ $g^*, h^*$ ”

**page 126 line 2** Change “ $= pa =$ ” to “ $= a =$ ”

**page 130 line – 6** Delete:

Euclid's Lemma now gives  $p \mid (a^2 + b^2)$  (or  $p \mid (c^2 + d^2)$ );

**page 131 line – 9** Change “case (i)” to “case (ii)”

**page 149 line 16** Change “ $e_i$ ” to “ $e'_i$ ”

**page 149 line – 6** Change “ $\sum_i$ ” to “ $\sum_j$ ”

**page 149 line – 5** Change “ $w_j$ ” to “ $w_i$ ”

**page 155 Exercise 2.88** Change “above” to “below”

**page 160 line 17** Change “ $\beta^{n-1}$ ” to “ $\beta^{d-1}$ ”

**page 176 line – 6** Change “coefficient” to “term”

**page 176 line – 14** Delete “either  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $k$  or”

**page 199** Delete footnote

**page 311 line 2** Change “Corollary 5.31.” to Proposition 5.30 (for  $1 - y^2 - z^2$  is not a square in  $R[y, z]$ ).

**page 341** Change second line of Exercise 5.47 to algebraically dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{trdeg}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ .

**page 342 line 8** Should read:

*over  $k$ , where  $E/k$  is an algebraic extension, if  $\text{irr}(\alpha, k)$*

**page 363 footnote** Should read: 1894–1921

**page 369 line 6** Change  $(x^2, y)$  to  $(x^2, xy)$

**page 467 line -9** Add sentence at end:

Therefore,  $h$  is well-defined and the second square commutes.

**page 479 footnote** Should read: 1894–1921

**page 533 line -7** Should read:

$$xy = yx + 1.$$

**page 550 Ex. 7.23** Change “linear” to “irreducible”

**page 704 line -5** Should read:

that is,  $A^p$  is the submodule generated by all monomials of total degree  $p$ .

**page 794** Add new exercise.

**(Cartier–Weil)** If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$  are homomorphisms, prove that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow \ker(gf) \rightarrow \ker g \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow \text{coker}(gf) \rightarrow \text{coker } g \rightarrow 0.$$

**Hint.** There is a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \oplus B & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B \oplus C & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0; \end{array}$$

the maps in the rows are the usual injections and projections of direct sums, while  $h(a, b) = (fa - b, gb)$ . The Snake Lemma gives exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow \ker h \rightarrow \ker g \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow \text{coker } h \rightarrow \text{coker } g \rightarrow 0,$$

and it is easy to see that  $\ker h \cong \ker(gf)$  and  $\text{coker } h \cong \text{coker}(gf)$ .

**page 910 lines - 5 to - 3** Replace “Suppose ...  $S = \{u^n : n \geq 1\}$  is” by

If  $u \notin \text{nil}(R)$ , then  $u^n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and the subset  $S = \{u^n : n \geq 1\}$  is

At end of proof, on page 911, write: Therefore,  $u \notin \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}=G\text{-ideal}} \mathfrak{p}$ .

**page 911 lines 16, 17** Replace “ $u \in \text{Frac}(R/I)$ ” by “ $u \in R/I$ ”

**page 913 line 5** Replace “ $J(R)$ ” by “ $J(R/I)$ ”

**page 913 line 11** Change hypothesis:

*“A domain  $R$  is a Jacobson ring ...”*

**page 913 line 18** Replace “ $v \in K$ ” by “ $v \in R[u]$ ”

**page 914 line 5** Replace “Theorem 5.98” by “Theorem 10.77”

**page 914 line – 6** In statement of Theorem 10.79, change

$$\text{Id}(\text{Var}(I)) = \sqrt{I} \text{ to } \text{Id}(\text{Var}(I)) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$$

**page 977 line – 19** Insert “=”

$$\text{pd}(R/Ra) = \text{pd}(Ra) + 1 =$$

**page 1006** Insert new index entry: Snake Lemma 792