

# PROOF OF THE BLAKERS-MASSEY THEOREM

CHARLES REZK

ABSTRACT. An exposition of some proofs of the Freudenthal suspension theorem and the Blakers-Massey theorem. These are meant to be reverse engineered versions of proofs in homotopy type theory due to Lumsdaine, Finster, and Licata. The proof of Blakers-Massey given here is based on a formalization given by Favonia.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This proof is a reverse engineered version of the homotopy type theoretic proof given by Lumsdaine, Finster, and Licata (stated in [TUF13, Theorem 8.10.1]), as formalized by Favonia (at <http://github.com/HoTT/HoTT-Agda/tree/1.0>).

I've written everything in (the homotopy theory of) spaces, but I expect that things go through in an arbitrary  $\infty$ -topos. “Pushout/pullback” really means “homotopy pushout/pullback”, etc.

The first section gives some preliminaries on  $n$ -truncated and  $n$ -connected maps. The second section gives a reverse engineered version of the proof of the Freudenthal suspension theorem given in [TUF13, Theorem 8.6.4]. The third section gives the reverse engineered proof of Blakers-Massey. The fourth section presents some allegedly helpful pictures.

**1.1. Truncated and connected.** Recall that a space  $X$  is  $n$ -truncated if  $X \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^{n+1}, X)$  is a weak equivalence. We say that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $n$ -truncated if its homotopy fibers are  $n$ -truncated, or equivalently if  $X \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^{n+1}, Y) \times_{\text{Map}(S^{n+1}, Y)}^h Y$  is a weak equivalence.

We say that a space  $A$  is  $n$ -connected if  $\text{Map}(A, X)$  is contractible for any  $n$ -truncated space  $X$ ; equivalently,  $A$  is  $n$ -connected if  $n$ -truncated map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  the map  $\text{Map}(A, f): \text{Map}(A, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}(A, X)$  is a weak equivalence.

More generally, we say that a map  $j: A \rightarrow B$  is  $n$ -connected if for any  $n$ -truncated map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  the map

$$\text{Map}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(A, X) \times_{\text{Map}(A, Y)}^h \text{Map}(B, Y)$$

is a weak equivalence. That is,  $j$  is  $n$ -connected if and only if the (derived) space of solutions to the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ j \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow f \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

is contractible for every  $n$ -connected  $f$ .

The following properties of  $n$ -connected maps are immediate.

**1.2. Lemma.** *The composite of two  $n$ -connected maps is  $n$ -connected.*

**1.3. Lemma.** *The class of  $n$ -connected maps is closed under homotopy colimits. That is, given any diagram  $\{f_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i\}$  such that each  $f_i$  is  $n$ -connected, then  $\text{colim } f_i: \text{colim } A_i \rightarrow \text{colim } B_i$  is  $n$ -connected.*

We have the following characterization of  $n$ -connected maps of spaces.

---

*Date:* January 19, 2015.

1.4. **Lemma.** *A map  $j: X \rightarrow Y$  of spaces is  $n$ -connected if and only if its homotopy fibers are  $n$ -connected.*

This is a special case of the following.

1.5. **Lemma.** *Consider a homotopy pullback square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

*If  $f$  is  $n$ -connected, then  $f'$  is  $n$ -connected. If  $f'$  is  $n$ -connected and  $g$  is  $(-1)$ -connected, then  $f$  is  $n$ -connected.*

*Proof.* To see that  $n$ -connected maps are closed under pullback, note that “pullback along  $g$ ” admits (homotopically) a right adjoint, which necessarily preserves  $n$ -truncated maps.

If  $g$  is  $(-1)$ -connected then  $Y$  is equivalent to the realization of the Čech complex of  $g$ . The pullback  $g'$  is also  $(-1)$ -connected, so  $X$  is equivalent to the realization of the Čech complex of  $g'$ . That  $f$  is  $n$ -connected follows easily using (1.3).  $\square$

1.6. *Remark.* Our use of an “ $n$ -connected map” differs from the usual convention in topology by an offset of 1. Under this definition,  $X \rightarrow *$  is  $n$ -connected iff  $X$  is an  $n$ -connected space in the usual sense. A space is  $(-1)$ -connected if and only if it is non-empty, and thus a map is  $(-1)$ -connected if and only if it is surjective on path components.

We also have the following.

1.7. **Lemma.** *If  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  is such that  $g$  and  $gf$  are  $n$ -connected, then  $f$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* By looking at homotopy fibers, it suffices to show that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $n$ -connected spaces, then any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected.  $\square$

As a consequence of the definition of truncation, we have that if  $X \rightarrow *$  is  $n$ -truncated, then  $X \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^k, X)$  is  $(n-k-1)$ -truncated. More generally, if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is  $n$ -truncated, then  $X \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^k, X) \times_{\text{Map}(S^k, Y)} Y$  is  $(n-k-1)$ -truncated. More generally, we have the following.

1.8. **Proposition.** *If  $j: A \rightarrow B$  is  $k$ -connected and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $n$ -truncated, then  $\text{Map}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(A, X) \times_{\text{Map}(A, Y)}^h \text{Map}(B, Y)$  is  $(n-k-2)$ -truncated.*

Using the lifting criterion and cartesian closedness, we obtain the following.

1.9. **Lemma** (Join connectivity). *If  $A \rightarrow X$  is  $m$ -connected and  $B \rightarrow Y$  is  $n$ -connected, then  $(A \times Y) \cup_{A \times B} (X \times B) \rightarrow X \times Y$  is  $(m+2+n)$ -connected.*

1.10. **Corollary.** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are pointed, and  $X$  is  $m$ -connected and  $Y$  is  $n$ -connected, then  $X \vee Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* If  $X \rightarrow *$  is  $m$ -connected, then  $* \rightarrow X$  is  $(m-1)$ -connected by (1.7).  $\square$

1.11. **Truncation and fiberwise truncation.** For every  $X$ , there exists an  $n$ -truncation  $i: X \rightarrow |X|_n$ , where  $|X|_n$  is  $n$ -truncated and  $i$  is  $n$ -connected. A space  $X$  is  $n$ -truncated if and only if  $|X|_n \approx *$ .

More generally, given  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , there exists a **fiberwise  $n$ -truncation**, which is a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{i} |f|_n \xrightarrow{j} Y$$

where  $i$  is  $n$ -connected and  $j$  is  $n$ -truncated. This map is characterized by the fact that for each  $y \in Y$ , the induced map on homotopy fibers over  $y$ ,

$$X_y \xrightarrow{i_y} (|f|_n)_y$$

presents  $(|f|_n)_y$  as an  $n$ -truncation of  $X_y$ . It is essentially unique, characterized by being a factorization of  $f$  into an  $n$ -connected map followed by an  $n$ -truncated map.

1.12.  **$n$ -equifibered squares.** Say that a commutative square

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

is  **$n$ -equifibered** if the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |f|_n & \longrightarrow & |f'|_n \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow j' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

involving fiberwise  $n$ -truncations is a homotopy pullback. Equivalently, it is  $n$ -equifibered if for each  $y \in Y$  the maps  $X_y \rightarrow X'_{g(y)}$  between homotopy fibers become equivalences after applying  $n$ -truncation.

Note: “ $n$ -equifibered” is similar to, but distinct from, “ $n$ -cartesian”, which asserts that each of the maps  $X_y \rightarrow X'_{g(y)}$  between homotopy fibers are  $(n \pm \epsilon)$ -connected. (I don’t want to bother figuring out what  $\epsilon$  is under the conventions I am using.)

The property “ $n$ -equifibered” (unlike  $n$ -cartesian) is not diagonally symmetric; it is really a condition on a map between maps  $f \Rightarrow f'$ .

We have the following result for recognizing  $n$ -equifibered squares.

1.14. **Lemma.** *A commutative square as in (1.13) is  $n$ -equifibered if there exists a factorization of it into two commutative squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g' \\ Z & \longrightarrow & Z' \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow h' \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

such that  $g$  and  $g'$  are  $n$ -connected and  $h \Rightarrow h'$  is  $n$ -equifibered.

*Proof.* If  $Z \xrightarrow{i} |h|_n \xrightarrow{j} Y$  is fiberwise  $n$ -truncation of  $h$ , then  $X \xrightarrow{ig} |h|_n \xrightarrow{j} Y$  presents a fiberwise  $n$ -truncation of  $f$ , because  $g$  is  $n$ -connected. □

The following observation, though trivial, is crucial to our arguments.

1.15. **Lemma.** *If  $f \Rightarrow f'$  is  $n$ -equifibered and  $f'$  is  $n$ -connected, then  $f$  is also  $n$ -connected.*

For instance, in the proof of the Freudenthal suspension theorem given below, we will show that  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$  is  $(2n)$ -connected (for  $X$   $n$ -connected) by constructing a  $(2n)$ -equifibered square

$$\sigma \Rightarrow \rho,$$

where  $\rho: Y \rightarrow P$  is actually a map between contractible spaces. A similar technique is used in the proof of homotopy excision which follows.

To use this idea, we will use the following ‘‘patching’’ result for  $n$ -equifibered squares.

1.16. **Lemma.** *Consider a diagram of  $n$ -equifibered squares*

$$f_1 \xleftarrow{g_1} f_0 \xrightarrow{g_2} f_2,$$

and let  $f_{12}$  be the pushout of  $g_1$  along  $g_2$ . Then the evident squares  $f_1 \Rightarrow f_{12} \Leftarrow f_2$ , as well as the composite square  $f_0 \Rightarrow f_{12}$  are  $n$ -equifibered.

*Proof.* Apply fiberwise  $n$ -truncations to each  $f_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . The usual descent property implies that the squares relating these fiberwise  $n$ -truncations to their pushout are pullback squares. Now use (1.3) to identify the pushout of fiberwise  $n$ -truncations as the fiberwise  $n$ -truncation of  $f_{12}$ .  $\square$

Finally, we use the following result on composition of  $n$ -equifibered squares.

1.17. **Lemma.** *Consider squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_3 \\ f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \downarrow & & f_3 \downarrow \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & Y_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_3 \end{array}$$

- (1) *Suppose  $f_2 \Rightarrow f_3$  is  $n$ -equifibered. Then  $f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$  is  $n$ -equifibered iff  $f_1 \Rightarrow f_3$  is  $n$ -equifibered.*
- (2) *Suppose  $g$  is  $(-1)$ -connected, and  $f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$  and  $f_1 \Rightarrow f_3$  are  $n$ -equifibered. Then  $f_2 \Rightarrow f_3$  is  $n$ -equifibered.*

*Proof.* Proved just as for pullback squares.  $\square$

## 2. THE FREUDENTHAL SUSPENSION THEOREM

2.1. **Theorem** (Freudenthal). *Suppose  $X$  is  $n$ -connected and pointed. Then  $\sigma: X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$  is  $(2n)$ -connected.*

I give the proof below. First note that if  $n < 0$ , then the statement is vacuous (every map is  $(-2)$ -connected), so we may assume  $n \geq 0$ .

Write  $G = \Omega\Sigma X$ . I am going to pretend that  $G$  is actually a monoid.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{(\text{id},*)} & X \vee X & \xrightarrow{(\text{id},\text{id})} & X \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow \\ G & \xleftarrow{\pi} & G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \end{array}$$

Here  $\sigma$  is the standard unit map,  $\pi$  is projection, and  $\mu$  is ‘‘multiplication’’, i.e.,  $\mu(\gamma, x) = \gamma \cdot \sigma(x)$ . The map  $\tau$  is given on the left summand by  $(\sigma, *): X \rightarrow G \times X$ , and on the right summand by  $(*, \text{id}): X \rightarrow G \times X$ .

Take homotopy colimits in each row to get a map  $\rho: Y \rightarrow P$ . We observe that

- (1)  $Y$  is obviously contractible.
- (2)  $P$  is contractible, since it presents a decomposition of the path space fibration  $P \rightarrow \Sigma X$ , where  $\Sigma X$  is assembled as the colimit of  $* \leftarrow X \rightarrow *$ .

We now claim that both squares in (2.2), i.e., the maps  $\sigma \leftarrow \tau \Rightarrow \sigma$ , are  $(2n)$ -equifibered. As a consequence, it will follow from (1.16) that (either) square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ G & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

is  $(2n)$ -equifibered. Then (1.15) implies that  $\sigma$  is  $(2n)$ -connected, because  $\rho$  is an equivalence and thus  $(2n)$ -connected.

*The left-hand square of (2.2).* Consider the commutative diagram

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X \vee X & \xrightarrow{(id,*)} & X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f & & \parallel \\ X & \xrightarrow{(id,*)} & X \times X & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \times id & & \downarrow \sigma \\ G & \xrightarrow{(id,*)} & G \times X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G \end{array}$$

where  $f$  is the wedge inclusion. The two lower squares of (2.3) are manifestly homotopy pullbacks. The map  $f$  is  $(2n)$ -connected by (1.10). It follows that the two tall rectangles in (2.3) are  $(2n)$ -equifibered by (1.14). The right-hand tall rectangle of (2.3) is precisely the left-hand square of (2.2).

*The right-hand square of (2.2).* Consider

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X \vee X & \xrightarrow{(id,id)} & X \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \sigma \\ G & \xrightarrow{(id,*)} & G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \end{array}$$

The left-hand square in (2.4) is the tall left-hand rectangle in (2.3), and so is  $(2n)$ -equifibered. The composite rectangle of (2.4) is a pullback (the composite horizontal maps are identities), so is certainly  $(2n)$ -equifibered. Because  $X$  is 0-connected,  $(id, *): G \rightarrow G \times X$  is  $(-1)$ -connected, so statement (2) of (1.17) applies to show that the right-hand square in (2.4) is  $(2n)$ -equifibered, and this square is precisely the right-hand square of (2.2).

We are done.

### 3. THE HOMOTOPY EXCISION THEOREM

**3.1. Theorem** (Blakers-Massey). *Consider a homotopy pushout square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

*Let  $R := X \times_P^h Y$  denote the homotopy pullback. If  $f$  is  $m$ -connected and  $g$  is  $n$ -connected, and  $m, n \geq -1$ , then the tautological map  $Q \rightarrow R$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected.*

Because connectivity (1.5), pushouts, and pullbacks are preserved under (homotopy) pullbacks, to prove the conclusion of the theorem it suffices to prove it after (homotopy) pullback along any map  $* \rightarrow P$ . That is, we can immediately reduce to the following special case.

**3.2. Proposition.** *Let  $X \xleftarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} Y$  be maps such that  $f$  is  $m$ -connected,  $g$  is  $n$ -connected,  $m, n \geq -1$ , and the homotopy pushout of  $f$  along  $g$  is contractible. Then  $(f, g): Q \rightarrow X \times Y$  is  $(m + n)$ -connected.*

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $(f, g): Q \rightarrow X \times Y$  is a fibration. I will sometimes use the following notation: if  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , then  $Q(x, y)$  denotes the fiber of  $(f, g)$  over  $(x, y)$ . I will also write  $Q(X, y)$  for the pullback of  $(f, g): Q \rightarrow X \times Y$  along  $X \times \{y\} \rightarrow X \times Y$ , and similarly  $Q(x, Y)$  for the pullback of  $(f, g)$  along  $\{x\} \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ .

Note that  $Q(X, y)$  is precisely the fiber of  $g$  over  $y$ , and thus the hypotheses of the theorem assert that  $Q(X, y)$  is  $n$ -connected. Likewise,  $Q(x, Y)$  is precisely the fiber of  $f$  over  $x$ , so the hypotheses of the theorem assert that  $Q(x, Y)$  is  $m$ -connected.

Consider the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q \times_X Q & \xrightarrow{(q_{00}, q_{01}) \mapsto q_{01}} & Q \\ \sigma_Y := ((q_{00}, q_{01}) \mapsto (q_{00}, g(q_{01}))) \downarrow & & \downarrow (f, g) \\ Q \times Y & \xrightarrow{f \times 1_Y} & X \times Y \end{array}$$

Because  $f$  is  $(-1)$ -connected, so is  $f \times 1_Y$ , and thus to prove the result it suffices to show that  $\sigma_Y$  is  $(m + n)$ -connected (1.5).

Let  $J$  be the homotopy pushout

$$Q \times_Y Q \leftarrow Q \rightarrow Q \times_X Q$$

along diagonal inclusions. Let  $j_X: Q \times_Y Q \rightarrow J$  and  $j_Y: Q \times_X Q \rightarrow J$  denote the tautological maps.

Now we consider the following commutative diagram.

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Q \times_Y Q & \xleftarrow{p_X} & J & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Q \times_X Q \\ \sigma_X \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow & & \sigma_Y \downarrow \\ Q \times X & \xleftarrow{1_Q \times f} & Q \times Q & \xrightarrow{1_Q \times g} & Q \times Y \end{array}$$

where the maps are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_X(q_{00}, q_{10}) &= (q_{00}, f(q_{10})), \\ \sigma_Y(q_{00}, q_{01}) &= (q_{00}, g(q_{01})). \end{aligned}$$

The map  $\sigma_Y$  is precisely the one we need to show is  $(m + n)$ -connected.

$$\begin{aligned} p_X j_X(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}), & p_X j_Y(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{0\cdot}), \\ p_Y j_X(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{0\cdot}), & p_Y j_Y(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}), \\ \tau j_X(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}), & \tau j_Y(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}). \end{aligned}$$

**3.4. Lemma.** *The map  $\mu$  induced by taking homotopy colimits along rows in (3.3) is equivalent to the identity map of  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* For the top row, consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Q \times_X Q \\ p_X \downarrow & & \downarrow (q_{00}, q_{10}) \mapsto q_{00} \\ Q \times_Y Q & \xrightarrow{(q_{00}, q_{01}) \mapsto q_{00}} & Q \end{array}$$

To show this is a homotopy pushout, it suffices to show that the square of fibers over any point  $\{q_{00}\} \in Q$  is a homotopy pushout. The square of the fibers has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(X, y_0) \vee_{\{q_{00}\}} Q(x_0, Y) & \xrightarrow{(*, \text{id})} & Q(x_0, Y) \\ (\text{id}, *) \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q(X, y_0) & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

which is clearly a homotopy pushout.

The rest of the proof is straightforward, using the fact that the assumption that the pushout of  $X \xleftarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} Y$  is contractible to show that the homotopy pushout of the bottom row is also equivalent to  $Q$ .  $\square$

We define maps  $d, d' : J \rightarrow Q \times_X Q \times_Y Q$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} dj_X(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{0\cdot}, q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}), & dj_Y(q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 1}) &= (q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 1}, q_{\cdot 1}), \\ d'j_X(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) &= (q_{1\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}, q_{0\cdot}), & d'j_Y(q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 1}) &= (q_{\cdot 1}, q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 0}). \end{aligned}$$

**3.5. Lemma.** *The maps  $d$  and  $d'$  are  $(m+n)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* We actually have that  $d' = di$ , where  $i : J \rightarrow J$  is the involution of  $J$  defined by  $ij_X(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) = (q_{1\cdot}, q_{0\cdot})$  and  $ij_Y(q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 1}) = (q_{\cdot 1}, q_{\cdot 0})$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $d$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected.

The map  $d$  is induced by the commutative square

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \longrightarrow & Q \times_Y Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow_{(q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot}) \mapsto (q_{0\cdot}, q_{0\cdot}, q_{1\cdot})} \\ Q \times_X Q & \xrightarrow{(q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 1}) \mapsto (q_{\cdot 0}, q_{\cdot 1}, q_{\cdot 1})} & Q \times_X Q \times_Y Q \end{array}$$

To show that  $d$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected it suffices to show (by (1.5)) that for any point  $q_{01} \in Q(x_0, y_1) \subseteq Q$ , the pullback of  $d$  along the inclusion

$$k : Q(x_0, Y) \times Q(X, y_1) = Q \times_X \{q_{01}\} \times_Y Q \rightarrow Q \times_X Q \times_Y Q,$$

is  $(m+n)$ -connected. The pullback of (3.6) along  $k$  is the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{q_{01}\} & \longrightarrow & Q(X, y_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q(x_0, Y) & \longrightarrow & Q(x_0, Y) \times Q(X, y_1) \end{array}$$

The pullback of  $d$  along  $k$  is the map

$$Q(x_0, Y) \times \{q_{01}\} \cup_{\{(q_{01}, q_{01})\}} \{q_{01}\} \times Q(X, y_1) \rightarrow Q(x_0, Y) \times Q(X, y_1),$$

which is  $(m+n)$ -connected by (1.10).  $\square$

**3.7. Lemma.** *Each of the two squares in (3.3) is  $(m+n)$ -equifibered.*

*Proof.* For the right-hand square, consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 J & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Q \times_X Q \\
 d \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 Q \times_X Q \times_Y Q & \xrightarrow{(q_{00}, q_{01}, q_{11}) \mapsto (q_{00}, q_{01})} & Q \times_X Q \\
 (q_{00}, q_{01}, q_{11}) \mapsto (q_{00}, q_{11}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_Y \\
 Q \times Q & \xrightarrow{1_Q \times g} & Q \times Y
 \end{array}$$

in which the composite of the left-hand column is  $\tau$ , the lower square is a pullback, and  $d$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected by (3.5). The result follows by (1.14).

For the left-hand square, consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Q \times_Y Q & \xleftarrow{p_X} & J \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow d' \\
 Q \times_Y Q & \xleftarrow{(q_{00}, q_{10}) \leftarrow (q_{11}, q_{10}, q_{00})} & Q \times_X Q \times_Y Q \\
 \sigma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow (q_{11}, q_{10}, q_{00}) \mapsto (q_{00}, q_{11}) \\
 Q \times X & \xleftarrow{1_Q \times f} & Q \times Q
 \end{array}$$

in which the composite of the right-hand column is  $\tau$ , the lower square is a pullback, and  $d'$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected by (3.5). The result follows by (1.14).  $\square$

Now we can finish the proof of (3.2), and thus of the homotopy excision theorem. As noted earlier, it suffices to show that  $\sigma_Y$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected. By (3.7), each of the two squares in (3.3) is  $(m+n)$ -equifibered. By (1.16) it follows that the square  $\sigma_Y \Rightarrow \mu$  relating  $\sigma_Y$  to the homotopy pushout of the rows of (3.3) is  $(m+n)$ -equifibered. By (3.4) the map  $\mu$  is equivalent to the identity map of  $Q$ , and thus in particular is  $(m+n)$ -connected. It follows that  $\sigma_Y$  is  $(m+n)$ -connected by (1.15).

#### 4. GRAPHIC REMARKS ON THE PROOF OF HOMOTOPY EXCISION

Fix a fibration  $(f, g): Q \rightarrow X \times Y$ , and consider the diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 Q \times_Y Q & & Q \times_X Q \\
 \sigma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_Y \\
 Q \times X & \xleftarrow{1_Q \times f} Q \times Q \xrightarrow{1_Q \times g} & Q \times Y
 \end{array}$$

using the notation of the previous section. The limit  $U$  of this diagram (which is also the homotopy limit) is the space of 4-tuples  $(q_{ij})_{i,j=0,1} \in Q^4$  satisfying

$$g(q_{00}) = g(q_{10}), \quad f(q_{10}) = f(q_{11}), \quad g(q_{11}) = g(q_{01}), \quad f(q_{01}) = f(q_{00}).$$

We can illustrate such a point in  $U$  using the picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 y_0 & \xrightarrow{q_{00}} & x_0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 q_{10} & & q_{01} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x_1 & \xrightarrow{q_{11}} & y_1
 \end{array}$$

Let  $J'$  be the subspace of  $U$  consisting of tuples such that *either* (i)  $q_{00} = q_{01}$  and  $q_{10} = q_{11}$  or (ii)  $q_{00} = q_{10}$  and  $q_{01} = q_{11}$ . That is,  $J'$  consists of points of  $U$  which are of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} y \text{ --- } q_{0\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ q_{1\cdot} \qquad \qquad q_{0\cdot} \\ | \qquad \qquad \qquad | \\ x_1 \text{ --- } q_{1\cdot} \text{ --- } y \end{array} & \text{or} & \begin{array}{c} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{\cdot 0} \text{ --- } x \\ | \\ q_{\cdot 0} \qquad \qquad q_{\cdot 1} \\ | \qquad \qquad \qquad | \\ x \text{ --- } q_{\cdot 1} \text{ --- } y_1 \end{array} \end{array}$$

There is a tautological map  $J \rightarrow J'$  from the homotopy pushout of  $Q \times_Y Q \leftarrow Q \rightarrow Q \times_X Q$  to  $J'$ .

More generally, we can represent objects and maps in the diagram (4.1) using the pictures

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ q_{10} \\ | \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ q_{01} \\ | \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} & \Leftarrow & \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ x_1 \text{ --- } q_{11\cdot} \text{ --- } y_1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

The maps  $p_X$ ,  $p_Y$ , and  $\tau$  from  $J$  in (3.3) are the evident ones determined by the inclusion  $J' \subseteq U$  into the limit of (4.1).

A key step in the argument is the observation that the induced maps  $d'$  and  $d$  from  $J$  into each of the two pullbacks implicit in (4.1) is  $(m+n)$ -connected. These maps are induced by maps from  $J'$  having the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ q_{10} \\ | \\ x_1 \text{ --- } q_{11\cdot} \text{ --- } y_1 \end{pmatrix} \xleftarrow{d'} \begin{pmatrix} y \text{ --- } q_{0\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ q_{1\cdot} \qquad \qquad q_{0\cdot} \\ | \qquad \qquad \qquad | \\ x_1 \text{ --- } q_{1\cdot} \text{ --- } y \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{\cdot 0} \text{ --- } x \\ | \\ q_{\cdot 0} \qquad \qquad q_{\cdot 1} \\ | \qquad \qquad \qquad | \\ x \text{ --- } q_{\cdot 1} \text{ --- } y_1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \text{ --- } q_{00\cdot} \text{ --- } x_0 \\ | \\ q_{01} \\ | \\ x_1 \text{ --- } q_{11\cdot} \text{ --- } y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## REFERENCES

- [TUFPP13] The Univalent Foundations Program, *Homotopy type theory—univalent foundations of mathematics*, The Univalent Foundations Program, Princeton, NJ; Institute for Advanced Study (IAS), Princeton, NJ, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL  
 E-mail address: rezk@math.uiuc.edu