

Solutions Manual For  
Basic Probability Theory  
 by Robert B. Ash

SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEMS

Chapter 1

Section 1.2

2.  $D_1 = AB + AC + BC$

$D_2 = ABC^c + AB^cC + A^cBC$

$D_3 = A + B + C$

$D_4 = AB^cC^c + A^cBC^c + A^cB^cC$

$D_5 = (ABC)^c = A^c + B^c + C^c$

where  $AB = A \cap B$ ,  $A + B = A \cup B$

4. (a)  $x \in A \cap (B - C)$  iff  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B - C$   
 iff  $x \in A \cap B$  and  $x \notin A \cap C$   
 iff  $x \in (A \cap B) - (A \cap C)$

(b)  $x \in A - (B \cup C)$  iff  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B \cup C$   
 iff  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$  and  $x \notin C$   
 iff  $x \in A - B$  and  $x \notin C$   
 iff  $x \in (A - B) - C$

It is true that  $(A \cup C) - B \subset (A - B) \cup C$ . For if  $x \in (A \cup C) - B$  and  $x \notin C$  then  $x \in A - B$ . But the sets need not be equal. For example, if  $A = B = C$  then  $(A \cup C) - B = A - A = \emptyset$ , and  $(A - B) \cup C = \emptyset \cup A = A$ .

6.  $A^c \cap B^c = (A \cup B)^c$ , which will not be empty unless  $A \cup B = \Omega$ . Thus  $A^c$  and  $B^c$  will be disjoint iff  $A \cup B = \Omega$ .  $(A \cap C) \cap (B \cap C) \subset A \cap B = \emptyset$ , hence  $A \cap C$  and  $B \cap C$  are disjoint.  $C \subset (A \cup C) \cap (B \cup C)$ , so  $A \cup C$  and  $B \cup C$  are not disjoint if  $C \neq \emptyset$ .

erial may be reproduced for  
 instructional purposes by  
 ing the text.

1-03455-X  
 in the United States of America

$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \subset A_n$  always. If  $x \in A_n$  then  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  since  $A_n \subset A_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset A_1$ ; hence  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = A_n$ .  $A_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  always. If  $x \in$  some  $A_i$  then  $x \in A_1$  since  $A_n \subset A_{n-1} \subset \dots \subset A_1$ ; hence  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1$ .

No. For example, let  $A_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$ . Note also that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^i} < 1$  for all  $n$ , but  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = 1$ .

Section 1.3

$P(A) = P(A-B) + P(A \cap B)$ , so any example in which  $P(A \cap B) < P(B)$  will do (e.g., let  $A = B^c$ ).

Section 1.4

The probability that the first digit will be  $> 5$ , but the second and third will be  $\leq 5$ , is  $(4)(6)(6)/10^3 = .144$ . Thus the desired probability is  $3(.144) = .432$ .

The number of outcomes is  $(24)(18)$ , and the number of favorable cases is  $3(5) + 8(7) + 13(6)$ , thus  $p = 149/432$ .

(a) The first card may be chosen in 52 ways, the second in 48 since the first face value cannot be repeated, and the third in 44, etc. Thus  $p = (52)(48)\dots(20)(16)/52^{10}$ .

(b) The probability that exactly 9 cards will be of the same suit is  $4 \binom{13}{9} 39 / \binom{52}{10}$ . (First select the suit, and 9 of 13 face values, then the odd card.) Similarly the probability that all 10 cards will be of the same suit is  $4 \binom{13}{10} / \binom{52}{10}$ . Thus  $p = (4 \binom{13}{9} 39 + 4 \binom{13}{10}) / \binom{52}{10}$ .

The total number of positions available to the women is  $\binom{m+w}{w}$ . The adjacent positions for the women may be selected in  $m+w - w + 1 = m+1$  ways. Thus  $p = (m+1) / \binom{m+w}{w}$ .

7. The probability that at least one is defective is  $1 -$  the probability that none is defective, so  $1-p = \binom{75}{15} / \binom{100}{15}$ .

8. This is an application of the formula  $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ ,  $A = \{\text{exactly 3 kings}\}$ ,  $B = \{\text{exactly 3 aces}\}$ . Thus  $P(A \cup B) = \binom{52}{8}^{-1} (\binom{4}{3} \binom{48}{5} + \binom{4}{3} \binom{48}{5} - \binom{4}{3} \binom{4}{3} \binom{44}{2})$ .

10. (a) A sentence of length  $k$  must start with a word of length 1 or 2; there is only one possible word of length 1, but there are 2 possible words of length 2. If the first word is of length  $j$ , the remainder of the sentence may be completed in  $N(k-j)$  ways; the result follows.

(b) Assume  $N(k) = \lambda^k$ ; this will be a solution provided  $\lambda^k = \lambda^{k-1} + 2\lambda^{k-2}$ , i.e.  $\lambda^2 - \lambda - 2 = 0$ , or  $\lambda = 2$  or  $\lambda = -1$ . Thus  $A2^k + B(-1)^k$  is a solution. Also  $N(0) = A+B$ ,  $N(1) = 2A-B$ , so  $A$  and  $B$  are determined by  $N(0)$  and  $N(1)$ . Since  $N(0)$  and  $N(1)$  determine  $N(k)$  for all  $k$ , any two solutions that agree when  $k = 0$  and 1 agree everywhere, so that  $A2^k + B(-1)^k$  is the general solution. In the present case,  $A+B = 1$ ,  $2A-B = 1$ , so  $A = 2/3$ ,  $B = 1/3$ .

11. The total number of outcomes is  $365^r$ ; the number of favorable cases is  $(365)(364)\dots(365-r+1) = (365)_r$ . Thus  $p = (365)_r / 365^r$ .

13. (a) Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Either  $1 \in A$  or  $1 \notin A$ ; this gives us two possibilities. In general, either  $k \in A$  or  $k \notin A$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This gives us  $2(2)\dots(2) = 2^n$  ways of choosing  $A$ . Alternately, the number of subsets with exactly  $k$  members is the number of ways of selecting  $k$  distinct integers out of  $n$ , namely  $\binom{n}{k}$ . The total number of subsets is  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} = (1+1)^n = 2^n$ .

(b) The number of ways of selecting subsets  $A$  with exactly  $k$  members is  $\binom{n}{k}$ . Having chosen such an  $A$ , we have  $B = A +$  a subset of  $A^c$ . Since there are  $2^{n-k}$  subsets of  $A^c$ ,  $B$  may be chosen in  $2^{n-k}$  ways. The number of pairs of subsets is  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 2^{n-k} = (1+2)^n = 3^n$ .

(a) Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The integer 1 belongs to a set  $A_1$  of the partition, where  $A_1$  contains  $j$  other elements ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). Thus  $A_1$  can be chosen in  $\binom{n-1}{j}$  ways. Having chosen  $A_1$ , we must partition  $A_1^c$ ; this can be done in  $g(n-1-j)$  ways. Thus

$$g(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} g(n-1-j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{n-1-j} g(n-1-j) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} g(k).$$

(b) Let  $h(n) = e^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^n / k!$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} h(k) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} e^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j^k}{j!} \\ &= e^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} j^k \right] \\ &= e^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} (1+j)^{n-1} \\ &= e^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+j)^n}{(j+1)!} = h(n) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{0^n}{0!} = 0$ .

Now  $h(0) = e^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} = e^{-1} e^1 = 1$ .

Thus  $g$  and  $h$  satisfy the difference equation of (a), and they agree when  $n = 0$ . By the form of the difference equation, they agree everywhere.

ion 1.5

"If" is immediate (set  $B_{i_r} = A_{i_r}$ ). For the "only if" part, it suffices to show that if  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are independent, then  $P(A_1^c \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = P(A_1^c)P(A_2) \dots P(A_n)$ ; the result then

1. (continued)

follows by an induction argument. But  $P(A_1^c \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = P(A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) - P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = (1 - P(A_1))P(A_2) \dots P(A_n)$  by independence  $= P(A_1^c)P(A_2) \dots P(A_n)$ .

2. 
$$\frac{p(k+1)}{p(k)} = \frac{\binom{n}{k+1} p^{k+1} q^{n-k-1}}{\binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k}} = \frac{n-k}{k+1} \frac{p}{q}$$

Thus  $p(k+1)/p(k)$  is  $> 1$  iff  $(n-k)p > (k+1)q$ , i.e. iff  $k < (n+1)p - 1 < 1$  iff  $k > (n+1)p - 1 = 1$  iff  $k = (n+1)p - 1$ . The result follows.

3. (a) Let  $A = \{\text{spade is obtained}\}$ ,  $B = \{\text{heart is obtained}\}$ ;  $P(A \cap B) = 0 \neq P(A)P(B)$ .

(b) Let  $A = \{\text{spade is obtained}\}$ ,  $B = \{\text{ace is obtained}\}$ .  $P(A \cap B) = 1/52$ ,  $P(A) = 1/4$ ,  $P(B) = 1/13$ .

(c) If  $A$  and  $B$  are independent and mutually exclusive, then either  $A$  or  $B$  must have probability zero. For  $P(A \cap B) = 0$  by disjointness, and  $= P(A)P(B)$  by independence. Similarly, if the events  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , are independent and disjoint, either all or all but one of the events must have probability zero. (If  $P(A_i) \neq 0$ , apply the above argument to each  $A_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ , to conclude that  $P(A_j) = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ .)

(d) Let  $A = \{\text{spade}\}$ ,  $B = \{\text{spade or heart}\}$ ;  $P(A \cap B) = P(A) = 1/4$ ,  $P(A)P(B) = 1/8$ .

6. There are as many terms in (1.5.2) as there are unordered samples of size  $n$  out of  $k$ , with replacement, i.e.  $\binom{k+n-1}{n}$  (see 1.4.4).

7. (a) For a favorable outcome, we must select  $n_1$  of the available  $t_1$  balls for color  $C_1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . The total number of outcomes is the number of ways of selecting  $n$  distinct objects from a set of  $t$ ; the result follows.

(b) This is a standard multinomial problem. The probability is

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_k!} p_1^{n_1} \dots p_k^{n_k} \text{ where } p_i = t_i/t.$$

8. (a)  $P(A \cap A) = P(A)P(A)$ , hence  $P(A) = (P(A))^2$ , so that  $P(A) = 0$  or  $1$ .

(b) If  $P(A) = 0$ , then since  $A \cap B$  is a subset of  $A$ ,  $P(A \cap B) = 0$  also. Thus  $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$ . If  $P(A) = 1$ , then  $P(A^c) = 0$ , hence by the above argument,  $A^c$  and  $B$  are independent. But then  $A$  and  $B$  are independent (see Remark 1 or Problem 1 of Section 1.5).

Section 1.6

1. Let  $X$  be the number of successes. Then

$$P\{\text{all successes occur consecutively} | 4 \leq X \leq 6\}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{k=4}^6 P\{X=k \text{ and all successes occur consecutively}\}}{\sum_{k=4}^6 P\{X=k\}}$$

$$= (7p^4q^6 + 6p^5q^5 + 5p^6q^4) / \sum_{k=4}^6 \binom{10}{k} p^k q^{10-k}.$$

2.  $P\{X \geq 3 | X \geq 1\} = P\{X \geq 3, X \geq 1\} / P\{X \geq 1\} = P\{X \geq 3\} / P\{X \geq 1\}$

$$= \frac{1 - P\{X=0\} - P\{X=1\} - P\{X=2\}}{1 - P\{X=0\}}$$

$$= \frac{1 - q^n - npq^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} p^2 q^{n-2}}{1 - q^n}$$

5. We may regard this problem as one of dealing two 13 card hands to players 1 and 2 from a deck with 26 cards, of which 6 are spades. In each case, we are looking for the probability that (say) player 1 received a particular number of spades. Once the number of spades for player 1 is determined, that of player 2 is determined also. Thus,

(a)  $\binom{6}{3} \binom{20}{10} / \binom{26}{13} = .36$   
 (b)  $(\binom{6}{2} \binom{20}{11} + \binom{6}{4} \binom{20}{9}) / \binom{26}{13} = 2 \binom{6}{2} \binom{20}{11} / \binom{26}{13} = .48$   
 (c)  $2 \binom{6}{1} \binom{20}{12} / \binom{26}{13} = .15$   
 (d)  $2 \binom{20}{13} / \binom{26}{13} = .01.$

6. Let  $A = \{\text{first two balls white}\}$ ,  $B = \{\text{six white balls in the sample}\}$ . If the sampling is done with replacement, then

$$P(A|B) = P(A \cap B) / P(B) = \frac{(2/3)^2 \binom{8}{4} (2/3)^4 (1/3)^4}{\binom{10}{6} (2/3)^6 (1/3)^4}$$

If the sampling is done without replacement,  $P(A|B)$  is the number of ways of selecting 4 positions out of 8 for the white balls (the first 2 positions must be occupied by white balls), divided by the number of ways of selecting 6 positions out of 10; i.e.  $\binom{8}{4} / \binom{10}{6} = 1/3$ . Note that the answer is the same with replacement as without replacement. Once it is specified that 6 white and 4 black balls are obtained, the problem is simply one of counting arrangements.

8. (a) The probability is  $P(AB + CD + AED + CEB)$  where  $A$  is the event that the switch labeled 'A' is closed, etc, and  $+$  stands for union, product for intersection. Using the expansion formula (1.4.5) for the union of  $n$  events, we obtain (writing  $ab$  for  $P(AB)$ , etc.)

$$ab + cd + aed + ceb - abcd - abed - abce - cdea - cdeb - abcde + 4abcde - abcde = 2p^2 + 2p^3 - 5p^4 + 2p^5.$$

3. (continued)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b) } P\{E \text{ open and signal received}\} &= P\{E^c(AB + CD)\} \\ &= P\{ABE^c\} + P\{CDE^c\} - P\{ABCDE^c\} = 2p^2q - p^4q, \quad q = 1-p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$P\{E \text{ open} | \text{signal received}\} = \frac{(2p^2 - p^4)q}{2p^2 + 2p^3 - 5p^4 + 2p^5}$$

Section 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \{ \omega: a \leq R(\omega) < b \} &= \{ \omega: R(\omega) < b \} - \{ \omega: R(\omega) < a \} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ hence} \\ \{ \omega: a \leq R(\omega) \leq b \} &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ \omega: a \leq R(\omega) < b + \frac{1}{n} \} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all real } a, b \end{aligned}$$

$$3. \{ \omega: R_1(\omega) + R_2(\omega) < b \} = \bigcup_{\substack{r, s \text{ rational} \\ r+s < b}} \{ \omega: R_1(\omega) < r, R_2(\omega) < s \} \in \mathcal{F}$$

hence  $R_1 + R_2$  is a random variable.

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \omega: aR(\omega) < b \} &= \{ \omega: R(\omega) < \frac{b}{a} \} \text{ if } a > 0 \\ &= \{ \omega: R(\omega) > \frac{b}{a} \} \text{ if } a < 0 \\ &= \emptyset \text{ or } \Omega \text{ if } a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In any case,  $\{ \omega: aR(\omega) < b \} \in \mathcal{F}$ , so  $aR$  is a random variable.

$$\{ \omega: \sqrt[3]{R(\omega)} < b \} = \{ \omega: R(\omega) < b^3 \}, \text{ hence } \sqrt[3]{R} \text{ is a random variable.}$$

Section 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} 2. f_2(y) &= f_1(-\ln y) \left| \frac{d}{dy} (-\ln y) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2y}, \quad e^{-1} < y < e \\ &= 0 \text{ elsewhere.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3. f_2(y) &= f_1\left(\frac{1}{2}y\right) \left| \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{2}y \right| = 2y^{-2}, \quad 2 < y < 4 \\ &= f_1(\sqrt{y}) \left| \frac{d}{dy} \sqrt{y} \right| = \frac{1}{2}y^{-3/2}, \quad y > 4 \\ &= 0, \quad y < 2. \end{aligned}$$

5. (a) is a special case of (b). To prove (b), let  $0 < y < 1$  and pick an  $x$  such that  $F_1(x) = y$ . Then  $P\{R_2 \leq y\} = P\{R_1 \leq x\} = F_1(x) = y$ , and the result follows.

8. We show that  $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt$  for all  $x$ . Pick any  $x$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the points of discontinuity of  $f$  (or points where  $F'$  does not exist) which lie in the interval  $(-\infty, x]$ . Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} f(t) dt + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t) dt + \dots + \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n} f(t) dt + \int_{x_n}^x f(t) dt.$$

Now if  $x_{i-1} < a < b < x_i$ ,  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $f = F'$  on  $[a, b]$ , so by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt = F(b) - F(a).$$

Let  $b = x_i$ ,  $a = x_{i-1}$ . Since  $F$  is continuous everywhere,  $F(b) - F(a) = F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})$ . Thus

$$\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) dt = F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1}) \text{ for all } i. \text{ Similarly,}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x_1} f(t) dt = F(x_1) - \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = F(x_1), \int_{x_n}^x f(t) dt = F(x) - F(x_n).$$

Thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt = F(x_1) + F(x_2) - F(x_1) + \dots + F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1}) + F(x) - F(x_n) = F(x).$$

9. (a)  $R_2 = k$  iff  $R_1 = .ik\dots$  for some  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 9$   
 iff  $10R_1 = i + k10^{-1} + \dots$  for some  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 9$   
 iff  $i + k10^{-1} \leq 10R_1 < i + (k+1)10^{-1}$  for some  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 9$ .

(b) In this case  $f_1(y) = f(y^2) \left| \frac{d}{dy} y^2 \right|$  where  $f$  is the uniform density on  $[0, 1]$ ; thus  $f_1(y) = 2y$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P\{R_2 = k\} &= \sum_{i=0}^9 [(10^{-1}i + 10^{-2}k + 10^{-2})^2 - (10^{-1}i + 10^{-2}k)^2] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^9 [2(10^{-1}i + 10^{-2}k)10^{-2} + 10^{-4}] \\ &= 10^{-4} \sum_{i=0}^9 (20i + 2k + 1) \\ &= 10^{-4} \left[ \frac{20(10)(9)}{2} + 10(2k+1) \right] = .091 + .002k. \end{aligned}$$

9. The equations of motion are  $x = (v_0 \cos \theta)t$ ,  $y = (v_0 \sin \theta)t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2$ ,  $g =$  acceleration of gravity. The projectile returns to earth when  $y = 0$ , i.e. at time  $t_0 = (2v_0 \sin \theta)/g$ . Thus  $R = (v_0 \cos \theta)t_0 = (v_0^2 \sin 2\theta)/g$ . Since  $2\theta$  is uniformly distributed between 0 and  $\pi$ , we obtain, as in Example 2 of Section 2.4,

$$f_R(y) = \frac{2g}{\pi v_0^2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{gy}{v_0^2} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}, \quad 0 < y < v_0^2/g.$$

### Section 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad P\{a \leq R \leq b\} &= P\{R \leq b\} - P\{R < a\} = F(b) - F(a^-) \\ P\{a \leq R < b\} &= P\{R < b\} - P\{R < a\} = F(b^-) - F(a^-) \\ P\{a < R < b\} &= P\{R < b\} - P\{R \leq a\} = F(b^-) - F(a). \end{aligned}$$

### Section 2.6

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad P\{a_1 < R_1 \leq b_1, a_2 < R_2 \leq b_2\} &= P\{a_1 < R_1 \leq b_1, R_2 \leq b_2\} \\ &\quad - P\{a_1 < R_1 \leq b_1, R_2 \leq a_2\} = P\{R_1 \leq b_1, R_2 \leq b_2\} \\ &\quad - P\{R_1 \leq a_1, R_2 \leq b_2\} - P\{R_1 \leq b_1, R_2 \leq a_2\} \\ &\quad + P\{R_1 \leq a_1, R_2 \leq a_2\} = F_{12}(b_1, b_2) - F_{12}(a_1, b_2) - F_{12}(b_1, a_2) \\ &\quad + F_{12}(a_1, a_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{12}(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^y f_{12}(u, v) du dv$ , the result follows.

2. By an analysis similar to Problem 1, the desired probability is  $F(b_1, b_2, b_3) - F(a_1, b_2, b_3) - F(b_1, a_2, b_3) - F(b_1, b_2, a_3) + F(a_1, a_2, b_3) + F(a_1, b_2, a_3) + F(b_1, a_2, a_3) - F(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ . In  $n$  dimensions,

$$P\{a_1 < R_1 \leq b_1, \dots, a_n < R_n \leq b_n\} = \Delta_{b_1; a_1} \dots \Delta_{b_n; a_n} F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the difference operator:

$$\Delta_{b_n; a_n} F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, b_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_n).$$

This may be expressed as  $F_0 - F_1 + F_2 - F_3 + \dots + (-1)^n F_n$ , where  $F_i$  is the sum of all  $\binom{n}{i}$  terms of the form  $F(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ , such that  $c_k = a_k$  for exactly  $i$  integers  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and  $c_k = b_k$  for the remaining  $n-i$  integers.

3. By Problem 1,  $P\{-1 < R_1 \leq 0, 0 < R_2 \leq 1\} = F(0, 1) - F(-1, 1) - F(0, 0) + F(-1, 0) = 1 - 1 - 1 + 0 = -1 < 0$ , a contradiction.

### Section 2.7

4.  $F_{12\dots n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P\{R_1 \leq x_1, \dots, R_n \leq x_n\} =$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n P\{R_i \leq x_i\} = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i(x_i).$$

5. If  $R$  is degenerate at  $c$ , and  $R_1$  is an arbitrary random variable, then  $R$  and  $R_1$  are independent, since  $P\{R \in B, R_1 \in B_1\} = P\{R_1 \in B_1\}$  if  $c \in B$ , and  $= 0$  if  $c \notin B$ . In particular  $R$  and  $R_1$  are independent. Conversely, let  $R$  and  $R_1$  be independent. Then  $P\{R \leq x\} = P\{R \leq x, R_1 \leq x\} = P\{R \leq x\}P\{R_1 \leq x\}$ , i.e.  $F_R(x) = [F_{R_1}(x)]^2$  for all  $x$ , hence  $F_R(x) = 0$  or  $1$  for all  $x$ . If  $c$  is the smallest  $x$  such that  $F_R(x) = 1$  then  $F_R(x) = 1$ ,  $x \geq c$ ;  $F_R(x) = 0$ ,  $x < c$ . Thus  $P\{R=c\} = 1$ .

6. Let  $g_1(x) = \sin x$ ,  $g_2(x) = x$ . If  $R$  and  $\sin R$  are independent, so are  $g_1(R)$  and  $g_2(\sin R)$ , i.e.  $\sin R$  and  $\sin R$  are independent, hence by Problem 5,  $\sin R$  is degenerate. Conversely if  $\sin R$  is degenerate,  $R$  and  $\sin R$  are independent by the remarks in Problem 5.

7.  $P\{R_1 \in B_1, \dots, R_n \in B_n\} =$

$$\int_{x_1 \in B_1, \dots, x_n \in B_n} f_{12\dots n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_{B_1} f_1(x_1) dx_1 \dots \int_{B_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n = P\{R_1 \in B_1\} \dots P\{R_n \in B_n\}.$$

### Section 2.8

5. The core is described by  $x^2 + y^2 \leq a^2$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 4a^2$ . The volume of the core is, in cylindrical coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^a r dr \int_0^{(4a^2 - r^2)^{1/2}} dz &= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^a r(4a^2 - r^2)^{1/2} dr \\ &= 4\pi \left[ -\frac{1}{3} (4a^2 - r^2)^{3/2} \right]_0^a = 4\pi \left( \frac{8}{3} - \sqrt{3} \right) a^3. \end{aligned}$$

The probability that the worm will not be eaten is

$$\frac{4\pi \left( \frac{8}{3} - \sqrt{3} \right) a^3}{\text{volume of sphere of radius } 2a} = \frac{4\pi \left( \frac{8}{3} - \sqrt{3} \right) a^3}{\frac{4}{3} \pi (2a)^3} = 1 - \frac{3}{8} \sqrt{3}.$$

Thus the probability that it will be eaten is  $\frac{3}{8} \sqrt{3}$ .

6. The volume of the region is  $\int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 4, x \geq 0} \int_{z=0}^{3x} dz dy dx$
- $$= \int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 4, x > 0} \int_{z=0}^{3x} dz dy dx = \int_{\theta=-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{r=0}^2 (3r \cos \theta) r dr d\theta = 16.$$

6. (continued)

The desired probability is  $\frac{1}{16} \int_{x \geq 0} \int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 4} \int_{z=0}^{2x} dz dy dx =$

$$\frac{1}{16} \int_{\theta=-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{r=0}^2 (2r \cos \theta) r dr d\theta = \frac{2}{3},$$

as would be expected intuitively since each vertical line from  $z = 0$  to  $z = 3x$  has  $2/3$  of its length below the line  $z = 2x$ .

$$7. 1 = \int_{x \geq 0} \int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 4} \int_{z=0}^{3x} kz^2 dz dy dx = k \int_{\theta=-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{r=0}^2 9r^3 \cos^3 \theta (r dr d\theta)$$

$$P\{R_3 \leq 2R_1\} = \int_{x \geq 0} \int_{x^2+y^2 \leq 4} \int_{z=0}^{2x} kz^2 dz dy dx =$$

$$\frac{\int_{\theta=-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{r=0}^2 \frac{8}{3} r^3 \cos^3 \theta (r dr d\theta)}{\int_{\theta=-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{r=0}^2 9r^3 \cos^3 \theta (r dr d\theta)} = \frac{8}{27}$$

$$8. P\{T_1 \leq b_1, \dots, T_n \leq b_n\} = n! P\{T_1 \leq b_1, \dots, T_n \leq b_n\}$$

$$P\{R_1 < R_2 < \dots < R_n\} = n! P\{R_1 \leq b_1, R_1 < R_2 \leq b_2,$$

$$R_2 < R_3 \leq b_3, \dots, R_{n-1} < R_n \leq b_n\}$$

$$= n! \int_{-\infty}^{b_1} f(x_1) dx_1 \int_{x_1}^{b_2} f(x_2) dx_2 \dots \int_{x_{n-1}}^{b_n} f(x_n) dx_n =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{b_1} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{b_n} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

8. (continued)

where  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n! f(x_1)f(x_2)\dots f(x_n)$ ,  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$   
 $= 0$  elsewhere.

$$9. P\{R_1 \geq 2R_2 \geq 3R_3\} = \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \int_{y=3z/2}^{\infty} \int_{x=2y}^{\infty} e^{-(x+y+z)} dx dy dz$$

$$= \int_{z=0}^{\infty} e^{-z} \int_{y=3z/2}^{\infty} e^{-3y} dy dz = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{3} e^{-\frac{11}{2}z} dz = \frac{2}{33}$$

11.  $\min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j| \geq d$ ,  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$  is equivalent to

$$x_{n-1} + d \leq x_n \leq L, x_{n-2} + d \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n - d, \dots,$$

$$x_1 + d \leq x_2 \leq x_3 - d, 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 - d.$$

But this is in turn equivalent to  $x_{n-1} + d \leq x_n \leq L$ ,

$$x_{n-2} + d \leq x_{n-1} \leq L-d, x_{n-3} + d \leq x_{n-2} \leq L-2d, \dots,$$

$$x_1 + d \leq x_2 \leq L-(n-2)d, 0 \leq x_1 \leq L-(n-1)d.$$

Hence  $P\{\min_{i \neq j} |R_i - R_j| \geq d, R_1 < R_2 < \dots < R_n\} =$

$$\frac{1}{L^n} \int_0^{L-(n-1)d} dx_1 \int_{x_1+d}^{L-(n-2)d} dx_2 \dots \int_{x_{n-2}+d}^{L-d} dx_{n-1} \int_{x_{n-1}+d}^L dx_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{n! L^n} [L-(n-1)d]^n.$$

Thus  $P\{\min_{i \neq j} |R_i - R_j| \geq d\} = \left[\frac{L-(n-1)d}{L}\right]^n$  if  $(n-1)d \leq L$

$$= 0 \text{ if } (n-1)d > L.$$



$$12. P\{\underline{W} \in B\} = P\{\underline{R} \in g^{-1}(B)\} = \int \dots \int_{g^{-1}(B)} f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$$

Let  $\underline{y} = g(\underline{x})$ ,  $\underline{x} = h(\underline{y})$  to obtain

$$\int \dots \int_B f(h(\underline{y})) |J_h(\underline{y})| d\underline{y}, \text{ and the result follows.}$$

$$13. f_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2b^2}$$

$$x = r \cos \theta, y = r \sin \theta, \text{ so } J_h(r, \theta) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{vmatrix} = r.$$

Thus  $f_{12}^*(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi b^2} r e^{-r^2/2b^2}$ ,  $0 < \theta < 2\pi$ ,  $r > 0$ . Evaluate

the individual densities of  $R_0$  and  $\theta_0$  by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{12}^*(r, \theta) d\theta, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{12}^*(r, \theta) dr \text{ to obtain}$$

$$f_{R_0}(r) = \frac{1}{b^2} r e^{-r^2/2b^2}, r > 0; f_{\theta_0}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi.$$

Therefore  $f_{12}^*(r, \theta) = f_{R_0}(r) f_{\theta_0}(\theta)$ , proving independence.

$$14. f_{34}(z, w) = f_{12}(x, y) \left| \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(z, w)} \right| \text{ where } z = xy, w = y, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$x = \frac{z}{w}, y = w.$$

$$\text{The Jacobian is } \begin{vmatrix} 1/w & -z/w^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{w}.$$

$$\text{Thus } f_{34}(z, w) = \frac{1}{w} f_1\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) f_2(w), z, w > 0.$$

Hence

$$f_3(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{34}(z, w) dw = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{w} f_1\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) f_2(w) dw.$$

Note: The equations  $z = xy$ ,  $w = y$  define a one to one mapping

of  $\{(x, y) : x > 0, y > 0\}$  onto  $\{(z, w) : z > 0, w > 0\}$ .

15.  $R = R_1 + R_2/(1+R_2)$ . The density of  $R_2/(1+R_2)$  is  $g(y) = f_2(y/(1-y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} (y/(1-y)) \right| = 1/(1-y)^2$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , hence  $R_1$  and  $R_2/(1+R_2)$  have joint density  $f(x, y) = 1/(1-y)^2$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus

$$P\{R \leq \frac{1}{2}\} = \int_0^{1/2} dx \int_0^{1/2-x} (1-y)^{-2} dy = -\frac{1}{2} + \ln 2.$$

16. The speed of the particle is  $(R_1^2 + R_2^2)^{1/2}$ , hence

$$T = (R_1^2 + R_2^2)^{-1/2}. \text{ Thus}$$

$$P\{T \leq t\} = P\{R_1^2 + R_2^2 \geq 1/t^2\} =$$

$$\iint_{x^2+y^2 \geq 1/t^2} (2\pi)^{-1} e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2} dx dy$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{1/t}^{\infty} r e^{-r^2/2} dr = e^{-1/t^2}, t > 0.$$

$$\text{Thus } f_T(t) = 2t^{-3} e^{-1/t^2}, t > 0; f_T(t) = 0, t \leq 0.$$

### Section 2.9

$$1. (a) \ln(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \dots$$

$$= x + x^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{3} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \dots \right]$$

$$\text{If } |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \left| -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{3} - \frac{x^2}{4} + \dots \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{4} + \dots \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots = 1,$$

and the result follows.

1. (continued)

$$(b) \ln(1 - \frac{x}{n})^n = n \ln(1 - \frac{x}{n}) = n(-\frac{x}{n} + o(\frac{x}{n})) \rightarrow -\lambda.$$

$$\text{Thus } (1 - \frac{x}{n})^n \rightarrow e^{-\lambda}.$$

2. (a)  $P\{R \geq 1\} = 1 - P\{R = 0\} = 1 - e^{-\lambda} \geq .99$ , so  $e^{-\lambda} \leq .01$ ,  
or  $\lambda = .001n \geq -\ln .01 = \ln 100 = 4.6$ . Thus  $n \geq 4600$ .

$$(b) P\{R < 3\} = e^{-\lambda}(1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) = 5e^{-2}, \text{ hence } P\{R \geq 3\} = 1 - 5e^{-2}.$$

$$3. (a) P\{R_1 = 1\} = P\{R_1 = 1, R_2 = 1\} + P\{R_1 = 1, R_2 = 2\} \\ = .4 + .3 = .7$$

$$P\{R_2 = 1\} = P\{R_1 = 1, R_2 = 1\} + P\{R_1 = 2, R_2 = 1\} \\ = .4 + .2 = .6$$

$P\{R_1 = 1, R_2 = 1\} = .4 \neq P\{R_1 = 1\} P\{R_2 = 1\}$ , hence  $R_1$   
and  $R_2$  are not independent.

$$(b) P\{R_1 R_2 \leq 2\} = 1 - p_{12}(2,2) = .9.$$

## Section 3.2

$$1. E(R^n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = 0 \text{ if } n \text{ is odd, by symmetry.}$$

$$\text{If } n \text{ is even, } E(R^n) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-x^2/2} dx = (y = \frac{1}{2} x^2)$$

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} (2y)^{n/2} e^{-y} (2y)^{-1/2} dy =$$

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{(n-1)/2} \int_0^{\infty} y^{(n-1)/2} e^{-y} dy = \frac{2^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) =$$

$$\frac{2^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) = \frac{2^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) \dots \frac{5}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$= (n-1)(n-3)\dots(5)(3)(1).$$

$$3. (a) E(R_1 R_2) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} xye^{-x}e^{-y} dx dy = \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} dx\right)^2 = 1.$$

$$(b) E(R_1 - R_2) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} (x-y)e^{-x}e^{-y} dx dy = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

$$(c) E|R_1 - R_2| = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |x-y|e^{-x}e^{-y} dx dy \\ = \iint_A (x-y)e^{-x}e^{-y} dx dy + \iint_B (y-x)e^{-x}e^{-y} dy dx$$

where A:  $x, y \geq 0, x \geq y$ , and B:  $x, y \geq 0, x < y$

$$= (\text{by symmetry}) 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \left[ \int_0^x (x-y)e^{-y} dy \right] dx$$

$$= 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} [x(1-e^{-x}) + xe^{-x} + e^{-x} - 1] dx$$

$$= 2 \int_0^{\infty} [xe^{-x} + e^{-2x} - e^{-x}] dx = 2(1 + \frac{1}{2} - 1) = 1.$$

$$4. E[\max(R_1, R_2)] = 2 \int_{-1}^1 x dx \int_{-1}^x \frac{1}{4} dy = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x(x+1) dx = \frac{1}{3}.$$

$$\text{Alternately, } F_1(x) = F_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x+1), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

$$\text{Hence if } R_3 = \max(R_1, R_2), \quad F_3(x) = F_1(x)F_2(x) = \frac{1}{4}(x+1)^2,$$

$$-1 \leq x \leq 1. \quad \text{Thus } f_3(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x+1), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1. \quad \text{Consequently}$$

$$E(R_3) = \int_{-1}^1 x f_3(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x(x+1) dx = \frac{1}{3}.$$

$$5. E[C(R)] = \int_0^3 2xe^{-x} dx + \int_3^{\infty} [2 + 6(x-3)]xe^{-x} dx \\ = \int_0^{\infty} 2xe^{-x} dx + 6 \int_3^{\infty} (x-3)(x-3+3)e^{-(x-3)} e^{-3} dx \\ = 2 + 6e^{-3}(2+3) = 2 + 30e^{-3} \approx 3.5.$$

$$6. (a) P\{\text{at least one fails}\} = 1 - P\{\text{neither fails}\} = \\ 1 - P\{R_1 > T, R_2 > T\} = 1 - \left( \int_T^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \right)^2 = 1 - e^{-2\lambda T}.$$

(b) If  $R$  is the "down time" then

$$R = T - \max(R_1, R_2) \text{ if } R_1 \leq T \text{ and } R_2 \leq T$$

$$= 0 \text{ if either } R_1 > T \text{ or } R_2 > T$$

$$E(R) = \int_0^T \int_0^T [T - \max(x, y)] \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^{-\lambda y} dx dy$$

$$= (\text{by symmetry}) 2 \int_0^T \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \int_0^x (T-x) \lambda e^{-\lambda y} dy =$$

$$2\lambda \int_0^T (T-x) e^{-\lambda x} (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) dx.$$

$$7. E(R) = np \sum_{k=1}^n k \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-k)!} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{n-k} \\ = np \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{n-k} = np \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r-1} \\ = np(p + 1-p)^{n-1} = np.$$

### Section 3.3

$$2. E[(R-m)^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x-m)^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-m)^2/2\sigma^2} dx.$$

Let  $y = \frac{x-m}{\sigma}$  to obtain  $\sigma^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy$ , which is  $\sigma^n$  times the

$n^{\text{th}}$  moment of a random variable that is normal with mean 0 and variance 1. Thus, by Problem 1, Section 3.2,

$$E[(R-m)^n] = 0, \quad n \text{ odd}$$

$$= \sigma^n (n-1)(n-3)\dots(5)(3)(1), \quad n \text{ even.}$$

$$3. E(R_1 R_2) = E(\cos\theta \sin\theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos x \sin x dx \\ = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin 2x dx = 0$$

$$E(R_1) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos x dx = 0, \quad E(R_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin x dx = 0$$

$$E[(R_1+R_2)^2] = E(R_1^2) + E(R_2^2) + 2E(R_1 R_2) = E(R_1^2) + E(R_2^2)$$

and since  $E(R_1) = E(R_2) = E(R_1+R_2) = 0$ ,

$$\text{Var}(R_1+R_2) = \text{Var } R_1 + \text{Var } R_2.$$

Since  $P\{R_1^2 \leq 1/4, R_2^2 \leq 1/4\} = 0 \neq P\{R_1^2 \leq 1/4\}P\{R_2^2 \leq 1/4\}$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are not independent.

$$4. -|R| \leq R \leq |R|, \text{ so by properties 2 and 3,}$$

$$-E(|R|) \leq E(R) \leq E(|R|), \text{ i.e. } |E(R)| \leq E(|R|).$$

$$5. R^n = (R-m+m)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (R-m)^k m^{n-k}.$$

Thus  $\alpha_n = E(R^n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} m^{n-k} \beta_k$ , assuming  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$  are finite and  $\beta_n$  exists. From this result and properties 8 and 9 we conclude that  $\alpha_n$  is finite iff  $\beta_n$  is finite.

## Section 3.4

2.  $aE(R_1) + bE(R_2) = c$ , hence  $a(R_1 - E(R_1)) + b(R_2 - E(R_2)) = 0$ , and the result follows.
3. Let  $g(x) = E[(xR_1 + R_2)^2] = E(R_1^2)x^2 + 2E(R_1R_2)x + E(R_2^2)$ . Assume  $R_1$  not essentially 0; otherwise the result is immediate. Now equality holds in the Schwarz inequality iff the discriminant of  $g$  is 0, i.e. iff the equation  $g(x) = 0$  has a real repeated root. But this happens iff  $g(x) = 0$  for some  $x$ , i.e. iff for some  $x$  we have  $xR_1 + R_2 = 0$  (with probability 1). Therefore, equality holds iff  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are linearly dependent.

## Section 3.5

1.  $I_{A_1}, \dots, I_{A_n}$  are independent iff

$$P\{I_{A_1} = i_1, \dots, I_{A_n} = i_n\} = P\{I_{A_1} = i_1\} \dots P\{I_{A_n} = i_n\}$$

for all  $i_1, \dots, i_n = 0$  or 1, i.e. iff

$$P(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_n) = P(B_1)P(B_2) \dots P(B_n)$$

where for each  $k$ ,  $B_k =$  either  $A_k$  or  $A_k^c$ . This is equivalent to the independence of  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  (see Problem 1, Section 1.5).

- (a)  $I_\Omega(\omega) = 1$  since all points  $\omega$  belong to  $\Omega$ ,

$$I_\phi(\omega) = 0 \text{ since no points } \omega \text{ belong to } \phi.$$

- (b)  $I_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 1$  iff  $\omega \in A \cap B$

$$\text{iff } I_A(\omega) = I_B(\omega) = 1$$

$$\text{iff } I_A(\omega)I_B(\omega) = 1$$

$$I_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1 \text{ iff } \omega \in A \cup B$$

$$\text{iff } I_A(\omega) = 1 \text{ or } I_B(\omega) = 1$$

$$\text{iff } I_A(\omega) + I_B(\omega) - I_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 1$$

2. (continued)

$$(c) I_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i}(\omega) = 1 \text{ iff } \omega \in \text{exactly one } A_i \text{ (by disjointness)}$$

$$\text{iff } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{A_i}(\omega) = 1$$

- (d) Let  $A_n$  expand to  $A$ . If  $\omega \in A$  then eventually  $\omega \in A_n$ , hence

$$I_{A_n}(\omega) \text{ is eventually 1, so } I_{A_n}(\omega) \rightarrow I_A(\omega).$$

$$\text{If } \omega \notin A \text{ then } I_{A_n}(\omega) \equiv 0, \text{ hence } I_{A_n}(\omega) \rightarrow I_A(\omega).$$

The contracting case is handled similarly.

4. Let  $A_i = \{\text{trial } i \text{ results in success and trial } i+1 \text{ in failure}\}$ ,

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \text{ Then } R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I_{A_i}, \text{ hence}$$

$$E(R_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(A_i) = (n-1)p(1-p).$$

6. Let  $A_i = \{\text{box } i \text{ is empty}\}$ . Then  $R = \sum_{i=1}^{50} I_{A_i}$ , hence

$$E(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{50} P(A_i). \text{ But } P(A_i) = P\{\text{all balls go into a box other than } i\} = \left(\frac{49}{50}\right)^{100}. \text{ Hence } E(R) = 50 \left(\frac{49}{50}\right)^{100}.$$

## Section 3.6

1. (a)  $P\{-.5 \leq R \leq 4\} = P\left\{\frac{-.5-1}{3} \leq R^* \leq \frac{4-1}{3}\right\}$

$$= F^*(1) - F^*(-.5) = F^*(1) - 1 + F^*(.5)$$

$$= (\text{from the table}) .241 - 1 + .691 = .532.$$

- (b)  $P\{R \geq c\} = P\left\{R^* \geq \frac{c-1}{3}\right\} = 1 - F^*\left(\frac{c-1}{3}\right) = F^*\left(\frac{1-c}{3}\right) = .9.$

$$\text{From the table, } \frac{1-c}{3} = 1.28, \text{ or } c = -2.84.$$

2.  $P\{|R-m| \geq k\sigma\} = P\{|R^*| \geq k\} = P\{R^* \leq -k\} + P\{R^* \geq k\} = F^*(-k) + 1 - F^*(k) = 2(1 - F^*(k))$ , which does not depend on  $m$  or  $\sigma$ . From the table,  $F^*(1.96) = .975$ , hence

$$P\{|R-m| \geq 1.96\sigma\} = 2(.025) = .05.$$

### Section 3.7

2. (a)  $P\{R_n \neq 0\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{For } P\{R_n \neq 0\} = P\{R_n = e^n\} = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

(b)  $E(R_n^k) = \sum_x x^k P\{R_n = x\} = 0 P\{R_n = 0\} + e^{nk} P\{R_n = e^n\}$   
 $= \frac{1}{n} e^{nk} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $k > 0$ .

3. Apply the weak law of large numbers with  $\epsilon = -\frac{m}{2} > 0$ . Then

$$P\left\{\frac{R_1 + \dots + R_n}{n} \geq \frac{m}{2}\right\} = P\left\{\frac{R_1 + \dots + R_n}{n} - m \geq -\frac{m}{2}\right\}$$

$$\leq P\left\{\left|\frac{R_1 + \dots + R_n}{n} - m\right| \geq \frac{m}{2}\right\} \text{ by (1.3.9)}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $K$  is any negative number,  $\frac{nm}{2} < K$  for large  $n$ , hence

$$P\{R_1 + \dots + R_n < K\} \geq P\{R_1 + \dots + R_n < \frac{nm}{2}\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus for large  $n$ , the probability that your total losses after  $n$  trials will exceed  $|K|$  is overwhelming.

Moral: Do not gamble (at least not if your average gain on a given trial is negative). The weak law of large numbers, known colloquially as the Law of Averages, predicts that you are very likely to be wiped out.

### Section 4.2

2. Restrict  $x$  and  $y$  to be  $\geq 0$  throughout. Then

$$C = \{(x, y): x+y \leq 2\}, C_x = \{y: y \leq 2-x\}, 0 \leq x \leq 2;$$

$$C_x = \emptyset, x > 2$$

$$P_x(C_x) = 1, 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$= \frac{2-x}{x}, 1 \leq x \leq 2$$

$$= 0, x > 2.$$

3. By (4.2.3),  $P\{4 \leq R_1 + R_2 \leq 6\} =$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \int_{\{y: 4 \leq n+y \leq 6, y \geq 0\}} ne^{-ny} dy = p_1(e^{-3} - e^{-5})$$

$$+ p_2(e^{-4} - e^{-8}) + p_3(e^{-3} - e^{-9}) + p_4(1 - e^{-8}) + p_5(1 - e^{-5}).$$

4.  $P\{R_2 \in B | R_1 = x_1\} = \frac{P\{R_1 = x_1, R_2 \in B\}}{P\{R_1 = x_1\}}$

$$= \frac{p(x_1) \int_B f_1(y) dy}{p(x_1)} \text{ by (4.2.2).}$$

$$\text{Thus } P\{R_2 \in B | R_1 = x_1\} = \int_B f_1(y) dy = P_{x_1}(B).$$

5. If  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ ,  $P\{R_2 \leq y\} = \int_0^{\infty} f_1(x) P\{R_2 \leq y | R_1 = x\} dx$

$$= \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right) dx = \frac{1}{2} y.$$

5. (continued)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } y > 1. \quad P\{R_2 \leq y | R_1 = x\} &= 1 \text{ if } 1 \leq x \leq y \\ &= \frac{y}{x} \text{ if } x > y. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } y > 1, \quad P\{R_2 \leq y\} &= \int_1^y \frac{1}{x^2} (1) dx + \int_y^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} \left(\frac{y}{x}\right) dx \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{2y} = 1 - \frac{1}{2y}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } f_2(y) &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2y^2}, \quad y > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Section 4.3

$$1. \quad f_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) dy = \int_{y=x}^{\infty} e^{-y} dy = e^{-x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } h(y|x) &= \frac{f(x,y)}{f_1(x)} = e^{x-y}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq y \\ &= 0 \text{ elsewhere.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } P\{R_2 \leq y | R_1 = x\} &= \int_{-\infty}^y h(y|x) dy = e^x \int_x^y e^{-y} dy = 1 - e^{x-y}, \quad y \geq x \\ &= 0, \quad y < x. \end{aligned}$$

3. (a) is a special case of (b). In (b),

$$f_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) dy = \frac{1}{\text{area } C} \int_{C_x} dy = \frac{\text{length } C_x}{\text{area } C}.$$

$$\text{Thus } h(y|x) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_1(x)} = \frac{1}{\text{length } C_x} \text{ if } y \in C_x, \text{ i.e. given } R_1 = x,$$

 $R_2$  is uniformly distributed on  $C_x$ .4. If  $R_1 = x$  then  $R_2 - R_1 \leq z$  iff  $R_2 \leq x+z$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P\{R_3 \leq z | R_1 = x\} &= P\{R_2 \leq x+z | R_1 = x\} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{x+z} h(y|x) dy = \int_x^{x+z} e^{x-y} dy \quad (\text{see Problem 1}) \\ &= e^x (e^{-x} - e^{-(x+z)}) = 1 - e^{-z}. \end{aligned}$$

The conditional density of  $R_3$  given  $R_1 = x$  is  $\frac{d}{dz} (1 - e^{-z}) = e^{-z}$ ,  $z \geq 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

$$P\{1 \leq R_3 \leq 2 | R_1 = x\} = \int_1^2 e^{-z} dz = e^{-1} - e^{-2}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Note that  $R_1$  and  $R_3$  are independent but  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are not.Section 4.4

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad E(R_0^{-n} | R_1 = x_1, \dots, R_n = x_n) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} h(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{(1+x)^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(1+x)} d\lambda = \frac{(1+x)^n}{n!}, \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3. \quad h(y|x) &= 1/x, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq y \leq x \\ &= 1, \quad 1 \leq x \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{3-x}, \quad 2 \leq x \leq 3, \quad x-2 \leq y \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } E(R_2 | R_1 = x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} yh(y|x) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x y dy = \frac{1}{2} x, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ &= \int_0^1 y dy = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3-x} \int_{x-2}^1 y dy = \frac{1-(x-2)^2}{2(3-x)} = \frac{x-1}{2}, \quad 2 \leq x \leq 3 \end{aligned}$$

Note that all computations may be avoided by making use of Problem 3b in Section 4.3.

4. Let  $R_1$  be the number of ones,  $R_2$  the number of twos. Given that  $R_1 = k$ ,  $R_2$  has the binomial distribution with parameters  $n-k$  and  $1/5$  (see Example 1, Section 2.9). Thus  $E(R_2 | R_1 = k) = \frac{1}{5}(n-k)$ .

$$5. (a) P\{R_1 \geq 1 | R_1 + R_2 \leq 3\} = \frac{P\{R_1 \geq 1, R_1 + R_2 \leq 3\}}{P\{R_1 + R_2 \leq 3\}} = \frac{3/8}{7/8} = \frac{3}{7}.$$

$$(b) E(R_1 | R_1 + R_2 \leq 3) = \frac{E(R_1 I_{\{R_1 + R_2 \leq 3\}})}{P\{R_1 + R_2 \leq 3\}}$$

$$= \frac{8}{7} \int_{x=0}^2 \int_{y=0}^{3-x} x I_{\{x+y \leq 3\}} f(x,y) dx dy$$

$$= \frac{8}{7} \int_{0 \leq x \leq 2, 0 \leq y \leq 2, x+y \leq 3} \frac{1}{4} x dx dy = \frac{2}{7} \left[ \int_0^2 x dx \int_0^{3-x} dy + \int_1^2 x dx \int_0^{3-x} dy \right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{7} \left( 1 + \frac{13}{6} \right) = \frac{19}{21}.$$

$$6. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n) E(R | B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P(B_n) E(R I_{B_n})}{P(B_n)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(R I_{B_n}) = E\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R I_{B_n}\right) = E(R) \text{ since } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{B_n} = 1.$$

(It can be shown that  $E\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R I_{B_n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(R I_{B_n})$  if  $E(R)$  exists.)

$$9. P\{T - t_0 \leq x | T > t_0\} = \frac{P\{t_0 < T \leq t_0 + x\}}{P\{T > t_0\}} = \frac{e^{-t_0} - e^{-(t_0+x)}}{e^{-t_0}} = 1 - e^{-x}.$$

Thus the (conditional) waiting time starting from  $t_0$  has the same density ( $e^{-x}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ) as the original waiting time  $T$ , i.e. the bulb "does not remember" that it has already burned for  $t_0$  units of time.

$$11. E(R_1^2 + R_2^2 | R_1 = x) = x^2 + E(R_2^2 | R_1 = x) \text{ (cf. Problem 5, Section 4.3)}$$

Now  $E(R_2^2 | R_1 = x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^2 h(y|x) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^2 f_2(y) dy = E(R_2^2)$  by independence. Thus

$$E(R_1^2 + R_2^2 | R_1 = x) = x^2 + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{2} y^2 dy + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} y^2 e^{-y} dy$$

$$= x^2 + \frac{1}{6} + 1 = x^2 + \frac{7}{6}.$$

$$12. (a) P\{R_1 = x | y < R_2 < y + dy\} = \frac{P\{R_1 = x, y < R_2 < y + dy\}}{P\{y < R_2 < y + dy\}} =$$

$$\frac{P\{R_1 = x\} P\{y < R_2 < y + dy | R_1 = x\}}{\sum_x P\{R_1 = x'\} P\{y < R_2 < y + dy | R_1 = x'\}} = \frac{P\{R_1 = x\} h(y|x) dy}{\sum_x P\{R_1 = x'\} h(y|x') dy}$$

$$(b) P\{R_1 \in A, R_2 \in B\} = \sum_{x \in A} P\{R_1 = x\} \int_B h(y|x) dy \text{ by (4.2.2).}$$

$$\text{But } \int_B f_2(y) P\{R_1 \in A | R_2 = y\} dy =$$

$$\int_B \sum_{x'} P\{R_1 = x'\} h(y|x') \sum_{x \in A} \frac{P\{R_1 = x\} h(y|x)}{\sum_{x'} P\{R_1 = x'\} h(y|x')} dy =$$

$$\sum_{x \in A} P\{R_1 = x\} \int_B h(y|x) dy.$$

$$13. P\{R_1 \in A, R_2 \in B\} = \int_A f_1(x) P\{R_2 \in B | R_1 = x\} dx$$

$$= \int_A f_1(x) \sum_{y \in B} p(y|x) dx = \sum_{y \in B} \int_A \frac{f_1(x) p(y|x)}{P_2(y)} P_2(y) dy$$

$$= \sum_{y \in B} P\{R_2 = y\} P\{R_1 \in A | R_2 = y\}, \text{ which is the appropriate}$$

version of the theorem of total probability.

$$14. P\{R_1=x_1, \dots, R_n=x_n | R=\lambda\} = \lambda^x (1-\lambda)^{n-x}, \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad x_i = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

$$\text{Thus } P\{R_1=x_1, \dots, R_n=x_n\} = \int_0^1 \lambda^x (1-\lambda)^{n-x} d\lambda = \beta(1+x, n-x+1).$$

By Problem 13, the conditional density of  $R$  given  $R_1=x_1, \dots, R_n=x_n$  is

$$\frac{\lambda^x (1-\lambda)^{n-x}}{\beta(1+x, n-x+1)}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1.$$

$$\text{Hence } E(R | R_1=x_1, \dots, R_n=x_n) = \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(\lambda^x (1-\lambda)^{n-x})}{\beta(1+x, n-x+1)} d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{\beta(2+x, n-x+1)}{\beta(1+x, n-x+1)} = \frac{\Gamma(2+x) \Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(1+x) \Gamma(n+3)} = \frac{x+1}{n+2}.$$

15. (a) The probability of error is

$$P(\text{heads}) P\{R \in S | \text{heads}\} + P(\text{tails}) P\{R \notin S | \text{tails}\}$$

$$= p \int_S f_0(x) dx + (1-p) \int_{S^c} f_1(x) dx = p \int_S f_0(x) dx +$$

$$(1-p) \left[ 1 - \int_S f_1(x) dx \right] = \int_S [p f_0(x) - (1-p) f_1(x)] dx + 1-p.$$

(b) Let  $L(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)}$ . If  $L(x) > \frac{p}{1-p}$ , the integrand is  $< 0$ ,

so to minimize the probability of error, we should put

$x \in S$ . If  $L(x) < \frac{p}{1-p}$ , the integrand is  $> 0$ , so take  $x \notin S$ .

If  $L(x) = \frac{p}{1-p}$ , do anything.

$$\text{For the example, } L(x) = \frac{e^{-(x-m_1)^2/2\sigma^2}}{e^{-(x-m_0)^2/2\sigma^2}}$$

$$L(x) > \frac{p}{1-p} \text{ iff } \frac{(x-m_0)^2 - (x-m_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} > \ln \frac{p}{1-p}, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$x \in S \text{ iff } x > \frac{\sigma^2}{m_1 - m_0} \ln \frac{p}{1-p} + \frac{m_1 + m_0}{2}, \text{ assuming } m_0 < m_1.$$

$$16. E(R | R \geq 2) = E(R I_{\{R \geq 2\}}) / P\{R \geq 2\} = \sum_{k=2}^n k p_R(k) / \sum_{k=2}^n p_R(k) =$$

$$(np - 1 p_R(1)) / (1 - p_R(0) - p_R(1)) = \frac{np - npq^{n-1}}{1 - q^n - npq^{n-1}}.$$

$$17. E(R_2 | 2 \leq R_2 \leq 4) = E(R_2 I_{\{2 \leq R_2 \leq 4\}}) / P\{2 \leq R_2 \leq 4\} =$$

$$\frac{E(R_1^2 I_{\{\sqrt{2} \leq R_1 \leq 2\}}) + E(3 I_{\{6 < R_1 \leq 10\}})}{P\{\sqrt{2} \leq R_1 \leq 2\} + P\{6 < R_1 \leq 10\}}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{\sqrt{2}}^2 \frac{x^2}{10} dx + \int_6^{10} \frac{3}{10} dx}{\frac{1}{10} (2 - \sqrt{2} + 4) + \frac{1}{3} (8 - 2^{3/2}) + 12} = \frac{12}{6 - \sqrt{2}}.$$

Alternately,  $P\{R_2=3\} = 4/10$ , and after removing this discontinuity from the distribution function of  $R_2$ , we obtain

$$f_2(y) = \frac{dF_2(y)}{dy} = 1/20y^{1/2}, \quad 0 < y \leq 36. \text{ Thus -}$$

$$E(R_2 I_{\{2 \leq R_2 \leq 4\}}) = 3P\{R_2=3\} + \int_2^4 y \frac{1}{20y^{1/2}} dy =$$

$$\frac{12}{10} + \frac{1}{30} (8 - 2^{3/2}) \text{ as above.}$$

19. (a) If  $R$  is absolutely continuous,

$$E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2 | R=x] f_R(x) dx.$$

To minimize this, it is sufficient to minimize

$E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2 | R=x]$  for each  $x$ . But since  $\theta^* = d(R)$ , we have

$$E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2 | R=x] = E[(d(x) - \theta)^2 | R=x] = d^2(x)$$

$$= 2E(\theta | R=x) d(x) + E(\theta^2 | R=x).$$



19. (a) (continued)

Since  $y^2 - 2Ay + B$  is a minimum when  $y = A$ , we have  $d(x) = E(\theta | R=x)$ . If  $R$  is discrete,

$$E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2] = \sum_x E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2 | R=x] P_R(x),$$

and the same argument applies.

(b) Clearly  $d(x) = 1$  if  $1 < x \leq 3$ ,  $d(x) = -1$  if  $-3 \leq x < -1$ .

If  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $P\{\theta=1 | R=x\} = P\{\theta=1\} f_R(x | \theta=1) / f_R(x)$  (see Problem 12). Given  $\theta = 1$ ,  $R$  is uniformly distributed between  $-1$  and  $3$ , so  $P\{\theta=1 | R=x\} = (1/2)(1/4) / (\frac{1}{2} f_R(x | \theta=1) + \frac{1}{2} f_R(x | \theta = -1)) = (1/8) / (1/8 + 1/8) = 1/2$ . Thus

$P\{\theta = -1 | R=x\} = 1/2$  also, so that  $d(x) = E(\theta | R=x) = 0$ .

With probability  $1/2$ ,  $(\theta^* - \theta)^2 = 0$ , and with probability

$1/2$ ,  $(\theta^* - \theta)^2 = 1$ , hence the minimum value of

$E[(\theta^* - \theta)^2]$  is  $1/2$ .

20. The conditional density of  $\theta$  given  $R = x$  is (see Problem 13)

$$\begin{aligned} h_\theta(\lambda | x) &= f_\theta(\lambda) P\{R=x | \theta=\lambda\} / P\{R=x\} = e^{-\lambda} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} / \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} d\lambda \\ &= 2^{x+1} \lambda^x e^{-2\lambda} / x! \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } E(\theta | R=x) &= \int_0^\infty \lambda h_\theta(\lambda | x) d\lambda = (x!)^{-1} 2^{x+1} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{x+1} e^{-2\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= (x+1)! 2^{x+1} / x! 2^{x+2} = \frac{1}{2} (x+1). \end{aligned}$$

### Section 5.2

$$2. N_1(s) = N_2(s) = \frac{1}{3s} (e^s - 1) + \frac{2}{3s} (1 - e^{-s}), \text{ all } s$$

$$N_0(s) = N_1(s)N_2(s) = \frac{1}{9s^2} (e^{2s} + 2e^s - 3 - 4e^{-s} + 4e^{-2s})$$

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{9} [(x+2)u(x+2) + 2(x+1)u(x+1) - 3xu(x) - 4(x-1)u(x-1) + 4(x-2)u(x-2)].$$

$$5. N_{R_1}(s) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} e^{-sx} dx = \frac{\lambda}{s+\lambda}, \text{ Re } s > -\lambda$$

$$N_0(s) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{s+\lambda}\right)^n, \text{ so } f_0(x) = \frac{\lambda^n x^{n-1} e^{-\lambda x}}{(n-1)!} u(x).$$

$$6. \text{ If } R = \tan \theta, f_R(y) = f_\theta(\arctan y) \left| \frac{d}{dy} \arctan y \right| = \frac{1}{\pi(1+y^2)}$$

(The same result is obtained if  $\theta$  is uniformly distributed between  $0$  and  $\pi$ , or  $0$  and  $2\pi$ .)

7. For  $x \geq 0$ ,  $f(x) = u(x) - xu(x) + (x-1)u(x-1)$ . Thus the Laplace transform of  $f(x)u(x)$  is  $N_1(s) = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s^2} e^{-s}$  (all  $s$ ).

The Laplace transform  $N_2(s)$  of  $f(x)u(-x)$  is that of  $f(-x)u(x)$  ( $= f(x)u(x)$ ) with  $s$  replaced by  $-s$  (see Property 3, Section

i.e.  $N_2(s) = -\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{1}{s^2} e^s$  (all  $s$ ). Thus

$$N_R(s) = N_1(s) + N_2(s) = \frac{1}{s^2} (e^s + e^{-s}) - \frac{2}{s^2}.$$

Let  $s = iu$  to obtain  $M_R(u) = -\frac{1}{u^2} (2\cos u) + \frac{2}{u^2}$

$$= \frac{2(1 - \cos u)}{u^2}.$$

8. (a) By (5.2.1),  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(u) e^{iux} du$ , or

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(x) e^{-iux} dx.$$

(We may replace  $e^{iux}$  by  $e^{-iux}$  since  $M$  and  $f$  are real valued.) Multiply both sides by  $k$  to obtain the desired result.

$$(b) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|x|} e^{-iux} dx = \frac{2}{1+u^2} \quad (\text{see the discussion after (5.2.1)}).$$

This is nonnegative and integrable. Thus  $ke^{-|u|}$  is a characteristic function; since  $e^0 = 1$ , the appropriate  $k$  is 1.  $M(u) = 1 - |u|$ ,  $M(u) = 0$ ,  $|u| > 1$ , is a characteristic function by Problem 7.

$$10. M'(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -x \sin ux \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin ux d(e^{-x^2/2}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} u \cos ux dx = -uM(u).$$

The differential equation  $dy/dx = -xy$  may be written as

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{dy}{dx} = -x. \quad \text{Integrate to obtain } \ln y = -\frac{x^2}{2} + C, \text{ or}$$

$$y = Ce^{-x^2/2}. \quad \text{Thus } M(u) = e^{-u^2/2} \quad (\text{note } M(0) \text{ is always } 1). \quad \text{If}$$

$R$  is normal  $(m, \sigma^2)$  then  $R^* = (R-m)/\sigma$  is normal  $(0,1)$ , so

$$E(e^{-iuR}) = E(e^{-iu(m+\sigma R^*)})$$

$$= e^{-ium} M_{R^*}(u\sigma) = e^{-ium} e^{-u^2\sigma^2/2}.$$

### Section 5.3

1. No. If so, then  $f(x) = 2e^{-x}u(x) - [u(x) - u(x-1)]$
- $$= 2e^{-x} - 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$
- $$= 2e^{-x}, \quad x > 1$$
- $$= 0, \quad x < 0.$$

This is negative for  $x$  near 1 and  $< 1$ , an impossibility.

2.  $N_R(s) = \int_a^b f(x)e^{-sx} dx$ . For any particular  $s$ ,  $|e^{-sx}|$  has some

largest value for  $x \in [a,b]$ , say  $K$ . Then

$$|N_R(s)| \leq \int_a^b K|f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

3. No. Let  $R$  be uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. Then

$$N_R(s) = \frac{1-e^{-s}}{s}, \quad \text{so } M_R(u) = \frac{1-e^{-iu}}{iu}. \quad \text{Now}$$

$$|M_R(u)| = \frac{1}{|u|} |1 - \cos u + i \sin u|$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \frac{(1-\cos u)^{1/2}}{|u|} = \left| \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} u}{\frac{1}{2} u} \right|, \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |M_R(u)| du = \infty.$$

### Section 5.4

2. Let  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ ,  $P(\omega_1) = P(\omega_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\text{Let } R(\omega_1) = 1, \quad R(\omega_2) = 0.$$

$$\text{If } n \text{ is even set } R_n(\omega_1) = 1, \quad R_n(\omega_2) = 0.$$

$$\text{If } n \text{ is odd set } R_n(\omega_1) = 0, \quad R_n(\omega_2) = 1.$$

$$\text{Then } P\{R_n=0\} = P\{R_n=1\} = 1/2 \text{ for all } n, \text{ and}$$

$$P\{R=0\} = P\{R=1\} = 1/2.$$

2. (continued)

Thus  $F_n(x) = F(x)$  for all  $n$  and all  $x$ , so  $R_n \xrightarrow{d} R$ .

But if  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $P\{|R_n - R| \geq \epsilon\} = P\{R_n \neq R\} = 0$  if  $n$  is even  
 $= 1$  if  $n$  is odd.

Thus  $R_n \not\xrightarrow{P} R$ .

3. If  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $P\{|R_n - c| \geq \epsilon\} = P\{R_n \geq c + \epsilon\} + P\{R_n \leq c - \epsilon\}$   
 $= 1 - P\{R_n < c + \epsilon\} + P\{R_n \leq c - \epsilon\}$   
 $\leq 1 - P\{R_n \leq c + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} + P\{R_n \leq c - \epsilon\} = 1 - F_n(c + \frac{\epsilon}{2})$   
 $+ F_n(c - \epsilon) \rightarrow 1 - 1 + 0 = 0$ .

4. If  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $P\{|R_n| \geq \epsilon\} = P\{R_n = e^n\}$  for large enough  $n$   
 $= \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $R_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .

But  $E(R_n^k) = 0 P\{R_n = 0\} + e^{nk} P\{R_n = e^n\} = \frac{1}{n} e^{nk} \rightarrow \infty$ .

5. (a)  $P\{|Q_n - p| \leq .001\} = P\{|\frac{R_n}{n} - p| \leq .001\}$  where  $R_n$  is the  
 number of "A" voters

$$= P\left\{ \left| \frac{R_n - np}{(np(1-p))^{1/2}} \right| \leq \frac{.001n^{1/2}}{(p(1-p))^{1/2}} \right\} \sim$$

$$P\{|R^*| \leq \frac{.001n^{1/2}}{(p(1-p))^{1/2}}\}$$

where  $R^*$  is normal with mean 0 and variance 1. Now  
 $P\{|R^*| \leq a\} = F^*(a) - F^*(-a) = 2F^*(a) - 1$ . Thus, with

$$a = \frac{.001n^{1/2}}{(p(1-p))^{1/2}}, \quad 2F^*(a) - 1 \geq .99 \text{ or } F^*(a) \geq .995.$$

5. (continued)

From the table,  $a \geq 2.6$ , or  $n \geq (2600)^2 p(1-p)$ . The  
 largest possible value of  $p(1-p)$  occurs at  $p = 1/2$ , so  
 $n \geq (2600)^2 \frac{1}{4} = (1300)^2 = 1,690,000$ .

(b)  $2F^*(b) - 1 \geq .95$ ,  $b = \frac{.01n^{1/2}}{(p(1-p))^{1/2}}$ , thus  $F^*(b) \geq .975$ ,

or  $b \geq 1.96$ . Therefore  $n \geq (196)^2 \frac{1}{4} = (.98)^2 = 9604$ .

6. (a)  $\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}x} e^{-x^2/2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} (1 + \frac{1}{x^2})$ . Thus

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}x} e^{-x^2/2} \text{ and } \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} (1 + \frac{1}{t^2}) dt \text{ have the same}$$

derivative, hence differ by a constant, necessarily 0  
 (let  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} (1 + \frac{1}{t^2}) dt \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

the result follows.

$$(b) \text{ By (a), } \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}x} e^{-x^2/2}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt} = 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} e^{-t^2/2} dt}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt}$$

The ratio of integrals on the right is  $\leq \frac{1}{x^2}$ , and  
 therefore  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , proving the result.

$$8. P\{7940 \leq R \leq 8080\} \sim P\left\{\frac{7940-8000}{40} \leq R^* \leq \frac{8080-8000}{40}\right\}$$

$$= P\{-1.5 \leq R^* \leq 2\} = F^*(2) - F^*(-1.5) =$$

$$F^*(2) + F^*(1.5) - 1 = .977 + .933 - 1 = .91.$$

## Section 6.1

1. We specify

$$P\{(R_1, \dots, R_n) \in B_n\} = \int_{B_n} \dots \int f_1(x_1) \dots f_n(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

It follows from this that

$$P\{(R_1, \dots, R_k) \in B_k\} =$$

$$P\{(R_1, \dots, R_k) \in B_k, -\infty < R_{k+1} < \infty, \dots, -\infty < R_n < \infty\} =$$

$$\int_{B_k} \dots \int f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k.$$

Thus the probability measures  $P_n$  are consistent, hence we can construct a probability space on which we can define independent random variables  $R_1, R_2, \dots$ , with  $R_n$  having density  $f_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

2.  $P\{R_n = 1 \text{ for infinitely many } n\} =$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\bigcup_{k=n}^m \{R_k = 1\}\right] \text{ as in Section 6.1.}$$

But

$$P\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^m \{R_k = 1\}\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^m \{R_k = 0\}\right) = 1 - q^{m-n+1} \rightarrow 1$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $P\{R_n = 1 \text{ for infinitely many } n\} = 1.$

$$P\left\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 1\right\} = P\{R_n = 1 \text{ for sufficiently large } n\}$$

$$= 1 - P\{R_n = 0 \text{ for infinitely many } n\} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

by the above argument.

## Section 6.2

4. Let  $A$  be the average time required. If  $p \neq q$ , there is a positive probability  $|p-q|$  of never returning to 0 (see 6.2.7)). Thus  $A \geq \infty (|p-q|) = \infty$ . If  $p = q$ , then regardless of the result of the first trial, the average number of trials required (after the first) to return to 0 is infinite by the remark after the statement of Problem 3. The result follows. Note: A more precise analysis may be found in Problem 5 of Section 6.3.
5. In the gambler's ruin problem starting at  $x > 0$ , the probability of eventually reaching 0 is 1 if  $q \geq p$ , and  $(q/p)^x$  if  $q < p$  (see (6.2.6)). By symmetry, the probability of reaching  $b$  starting from 0 is 1 if  $p \geq q$ , and  $(p/q)^b$  if  $p < q$ .

## Section 6.3

2. (a)  $h_{2n} = \frac{2}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{u_{2n-2}}{2n}$
- (b)  $\frac{u_{2n}}{u_{2n-2}} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} / \frac{(2n-2)!}{(n-1)!(n-1)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n-2} = \frac{(2n)(2n-1)}{n^2} \frac{1}{4}$   
 $= 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$

Thus

$$h_{2n} = \frac{u_{2n-2}}{2n} = u_{2n-2} \left(1 - \frac{u_{2n}}{u_{2n-2}}\right) = u_{2n-2} - u_{2n}.$$

3.  $P\{S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0\} = 1 - P\{\text{at least one return in the first } 2n \text{ steps}\} = 1 - h_2 - h_4 - \dots - h_{2n}$   
 $= 1 - (u_0 - u_2) - (u_2 - u_4) - \dots - (u_{2n-2} - u_{2n})$  by Problem 2  
 $= u_{2n}$  (note  $u_0 = 1$ ).
- $P\{S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \neq 0\} = P\{S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0\} +$   
 $P\{S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \neq 0, S_{2n} \neq 0\} = h_{2n} + u_{2n}.$

$$4. P\{S_1 \geq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \geq 0\} = 1 - P\{S_i < 0 \text{ for some } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{2n-1} P\{\text{first passage through } -1 \text{ occurs at time } i\}$$

But  $P\{\text{first passage through } -1 \text{ at time } i\} = P\{\text{first passage through } +1 \text{ at time } i\} =$  (by (6.3.6), with  $i = 2k+1$ )

$$\frac{1}{1+2k} \binom{1+2k}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1+2k} = h_{2k+2} = h_{i+1} \text{ (see Problem 2a).}$$

Thus  $P\{S_1 \geq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \geq 0\} = 1 - h_2 - h_4 - \dots - h_{2n} = u_{2n}$  as in Problem 3.

8. (a) Say the insects meet after  $j$  steps. If the spider walks  $a$  steps east and  $b$  steps north, the fly must walk  $n-a$  steps west and  $n-b$  steps south. But  $a+b = j$  and  $(n-a)+(n-b) = j$  so  $2n-j = j$ , or  $n = j$ . Thus  $a+b = n$ , which means that they must meet on the diagonal  $D$ .
- (b) The probability that they will meet with the spider taking  $a$  steps east and  $n-a$  steps north (and the fly taking  $n-a$  steps west and  $a$  steps south) is  $\left[\binom{n}{a} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n\right]^2$ . Thus the probability that they will meet is

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \sum_{a=0}^n \binom{n}{a}^2 = \binom{2n}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} \text{ by Problem 7.}$$

(Note that this is really a random walk problem; tilt the picture so that the line from the spider to the fly is the axis.)

## Section 6.4

$$3. (1-z)A(z) = (1-z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+1}$$

$$= a_0 + (a_1 - a_0)z + (a_2 - a_1)z^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n-1})z^n.$$

## 3. (continued)

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (1-z)A(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n+1}) = a_0 + (a_1 - a_0) + (a_2 - a_1) + \dots \\ &\quad + (a_n - a_{n-1}) + \dots \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n. \end{aligned}$$

4. The generating function of  $R+k$  is  $E(z^{R+k}) = z^k A(z)$ ; EHP generating function of  $kR$  is  $E(z^{kR}) = E[(z^k)^R] = A(z^k)$ . Now

$$F(n) = P\{R \leq n\} = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \cdot 1, \quad p_k = P\{R=k\}.$$

Thus  $\{F(n)\}$  is the convolution of  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots\}$  and  $\{1, 1, \dots\}$ , so by Theorem 1, the generating function of  $\{F(n)\}$  is

$$A(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{A(z)}{1-z}.$$

5. (a)  $P\{R=k\} = P\{k-1 \text{ failures followed by a success}\}$

$$= q^{k-1} p, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(b) N_R(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-sk} P\{R=k\} = \frac{p}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (qe^{-s})^k =$$

$$\frac{pe^{-s}}{1-qe^{-s}}, \quad |qe^{-s}| < 1.$$

$N_R$  is analytic at  $s=0$ , hence (see Section 5.3)

$$E(R) = -N'_R(0) = - \left[ -pe^{-s} / (1-qe^{-s})^2 \right]_{s=0} = \frac{p}{(1-q)^2} = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(R^2) &= N''_R(0) = [(1-qe^{-s})^2 (pe^{-s}) + \\ &\quad 2pe^{-s}(1-qe^{-s})(qe^{-s})] / (1-qe^{-s})^4 \text{ at } s=0, \text{ i.e.} \\ &= (p^3 + 2p^2q) / p^4 = (-p^3 + 2p^2) / p^4 = 2p^{-2} - p^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

## 5. (b) (continued)

Thus

$$\text{Var } R = E(R^2) - (ER)^2 = \frac{1-p}{p^2}.$$

The generating function of  $R$  is  $N_R(s)$  with  $z = e^{-s}$ , i.e.  $A(z) = pz / (1-qz)$ . We may compute that

$$A'(z) = \frac{p}{(1-qz)^2}, \quad A''(z) = \frac{2pq}{(1-qz)^3}.$$

Thus by (6.4.1) and (6.4.2),

$$E(R) = \frac{p}{(1-q)^2} = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \text{Var } R = \frac{2(1-p)}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{1-p}{p^2}.$$

7.  $P\{R=k\} = P\{R_1 = \dots = R_k = 1, R_{k+1} = 0\} +$

$$P\{R_1 = \dots = R_k = 0, R_{k+1} = 1\} = p^k q + q^k p.$$

Thus

$$E(R) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(p^k q + q^k p).$$

But  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kq^{k-1} p$  is the mean of a random variable with the geometric distribution, i.e.  $1/p$ . Thus

$$E(R) = p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k p^{k-1} q + q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{k-1} p = \frac{p}{q} + \frac{q}{p}.$$

8.  $P\{N_1=j, N_2=k\} = P\{T_1=j, T_2=k-j\} =$

$$p^2 q^{k-2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k = 2, 3, \dots, j < k \text{ (Problem 6b).}$$

$$E(N_1 N_2) = E(T_1(T_1 + T_2)) = E(T_1^2) + E(T_1)E(T_2)$$

$$= E(T_1^2) + [E(T_1)]^2$$

$$E(N_1)E(N_2) = E(N_1)(2E(N_1)) = 2[E(T_1)]^2.$$

8. (continued)

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(N_1, N_2) &= E(N_1 N_2) - E(N_1)E(N_2) = E(T_1^2) - (E(T_1))^2 \\ &= \text{Var } T_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(R_1, R_2) = \frac{\text{Cov}(N_1, N_2)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\text{since } \sigma_2^2 = \text{Var } N_2 = 2 \text{ Var } N_1.$$

Section 6.5

1. If  $M > 0$ ,  $P\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \leq M\} \leq P\{T_1 + \dots + T_n \leq \frac{n}{2\lambda}\}$  if  $\frac{n}{2\lambda} \geq M$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by the Weak Law of Large Numbers

(note  $E(T_1) = 1/\lambda$ ).

Thus

$$P\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n < \infty\} = P\{\bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} [\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \leq M]\} \leq \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} P\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \leq M\} = 0.$$

3. (a)  $P\{R_t = 1, R_{t+\tau} = 1\} = P\{R_t = 1\} P\{R_{t+\tau} = 1 | R_t = 1\}$ .

$$\text{But } P\{R_t = 1\} = P\{R_0 = 1\} P\{R_t = 1 | R_0 = 1\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ P\{R_0 = -1\} P\{R_t = 1 | R_0 = -1\} = \frac{1}{2} P\{\text{even number of} \\ &\text{customers in } (0, t]\} + \frac{1}{2} P\{\text{odd number of customers} \\ &\text{in } (0, t]\} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$P\{R_{t+\tau} = 1 | R_t = 1\} = P\{\text{even number of customers in}$$

$$(t, t+\tau]\} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-2\lambda\tau}), \text{ by Problem 2.}$$

$$\text{Thus } P\{R_t = 1, R_{t+\tau} = 1\} = \frac{1}{4} (1 + e^{-2\lambda\tau}).$$

3. (a) (continued)

$$\text{Similarly, } P\{R_t = -1, R_{t+\tau} = -1\} = \frac{1}{4} (1 + e^{-2\lambda\tau})$$

$$P\{R_t = 1, R_{t+\tau} = -1\} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - e^{-2\lambda\tau})$$

$$P\{R_t = -1, R_{t+\tau} = 1\} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - e^{-2\lambda\tau}).$$

$$(b) K(t, \tau) = E(R_t R_{t+\tau}) - E(R_t)E(R_{t+\tau}) = E(R_t R_{t+\tau})$$

$$= \sum_{x, y} xy P\{R_t = x, R_{t+\tau} = y\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= P\{R_t = 1, R_{t+\tau} = 1\} + P\{R_t = -1, R_{t+\tau} = -1\} \\ &\quad - P\{R_t = 1, R_{t+\tau} = -1\} - P\{R_t = -1, R_{t+\tau} = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-2\lambda\tau}) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-2\lambda\tau})$$

$$= e^{-2\lambda\tau}.$$

Section 6.6

1. If  $P(A_n) = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 1 - P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c)$

and

$$P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^c) = 0.$$

This fails for an uncountable intersection. For example, let  $X$  be uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, and take  $A_t = \{X \neq t\}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Each  $A_t$  has probability 1, but  $\bigcap_{0 \leq t \leq 1} A_t = \emptyset$ ,

hence has probability 0.

2. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $m$  so that  $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ . Then

$$P\{|R_k - R| \geq \epsilon \text{ for at least one } k \geq n\} \leq$$

$$P\{|R_k - R| \geq \frac{1}{m} \text{ for at least one } k \geq n\} \rightarrow 0.$$

3. If  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ ,  $P\{|R_{nm}| \geq \epsilon\} = P\{R_{nm} = 1\} = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , so  $R_{nm} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .  
But for any  $\omega$  and any  $n$ ,  $R_{nm}(\omega)$  is 1 for exactly one  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and 0 for the other  $m$ . Thus  $\lim R_{nm}(\omega)$  never exists.

5. By Theorem 1,  $\limsup A_n = [-1, 1)$ ,  $\liminf A_n = \{0\}$ .

6.  $\liminf A_n = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ ,  $\limsup A_n = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} - \{(0, 1), (0, -1)\}$ .

Proof: (a) If  $x^2 + y^2 < 1$  then eventually the distance from

$(x, y)$  to  $(\frac{(-1)^n}{n}, 0)$  is  $< 1$ , hence  $(x, y) \in A_n$ ; thus  $x^2 + y^2 < 1$  implies  $(x, y) \in \liminf A_n$ .

(b) If  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  but  $(x, y) \neq (0, 1)$  or  $(0, -1)$ , say  $x > 0$ .

Then  $(x, y) \in A_n$  for all even  $n$  since the distance from  $(x, y)$  to  $(\frac{1}{n}, 0)$  is  $< 1$ ; but  $(x, y) \notin A_n$  for odd  $n$  since the distance from  $(x, y)$  to  $(\frac{-1}{n}, 0)$  is  $> 1$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in \limsup A_n$ ,  $(x, y) \notin \liminf A_n$  (similar reasoning for  $x < 0$ ).

(c) If  $x^2 + y^2 > 1$  then eventually  $(x, y) \notin A_n$ . Also,  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, -1)$  are in none of the  $A_n$  since the distance from  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, -1)$  to  $(\frac{(-1)^n}{n}, 0)$  is  $> 1$ . Thus such points are not in  $\limsup A_n$ . The result follows from (a), (b) and (c).

7. Let  $x = \limsup x_n$ . Then  $\limsup A_n = (-\infty, x)$  or  $(-\infty, x]$ . For if  $y \in A_n$  for infinitely many  $n$  then  $x_n > y$  for infinitely many  $n$ , hence  $\limsup x_n \geq y$ . Thus  $\limsup A_n \subset (-\infty, x]$ . If  $y < x$  then  $x_n > y$  for infinitely many  $n$ , so  $y \in \limsup A_n$ . Thus  $(-\infty, x) \subset \limsup A_n$ , and the result follows. (The same analysis is valid for  $\liminf$ , with "eventually" replacing "for infinitely many  $n$ ".)

7. (continued)

Examples: If  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  then  $\limsup A_n = \liminf A_n = (-\infty, x]$ ,  $x = 0$ . If  $x_n = -\frac{1}{n}$  then  $\limsup A_n = \liminf A_n = (-\infty, x)$ ,  $x = 0$ .

10. This is answered by the argument of Problem 9.

11. If  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ ,  $P\{|R_n| \geq \epsilon\} = P\{R_n = 1\} = p_n$ , hence  $R_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$  iff  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = 0$ . But  $R_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  iff  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n < \infty$ ; this follows from Problem 9.

13. Let  $\Omega = \{a, b\}$ ,  $P\{a\} = p$ ,  $P\{b\} = 1-p$ ; take  $R_n(a) = 0$  for all  $n$ ,  $R_n(b) = 1$  for all  $n$ ,  $R(a) = R(b) = 0$ . Then  $P\{R_n \rightarrow R\} = P\{a\} = p$ , which may be specified arbitrarily. There are many other possible examples.



## Section 7.1

2. This follows from  $\Pi^{n+1} = \Pi \Pi^n$ , and an induction argument.
3. Let  $S = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $P_{0,-1} = P_{-1,1} = P_{1,0} = 1$ , and let  $g(x) = x^2$ . Let the initial distribution be  $p_0 = p_1 = p_{-1} = \frac{1}{3}$ .
- $$P\{R_3^2 = 0 | R_1^2 = 1, R_2^2 = 1\} = P\{R_3 = 0 | R_1 = -1, R_2 = 1\} = 1.$$

But

$$P\{R_3^2 = 0 | R_2^2 = 1\} = \frac{P\{R_2^2 = 1, R_3^2 = 0\}}{P\{R_2^2 = 1\}} = \frac{P\{R_2 = 1, R_3 = 0\}}{P\{R_2 = 1\} + P\{R_2 = -1\}}$$

$$= \frac{P\{R_2 = 1\}}{P\{R_2 = 1\} + P\{R_2 = -1\}} < 1, \text{ so } \{g(R_n)\} \text{ does not have the Markov property.}$$

## Section 7.2

2.  $P\{R_n = i_n | R_{n+1} = i_{n+1}, \dots, R_{n+k} = i_{n+k}\} = P(A|B \cap C)$ ,  
 $A = \{R_n = i_n\}$ ,  $B = \{R_{n+2} = i_{n+2}, \dots, R_{n+k} = i_{n+k}\}$ ,  $C = \{R_{n+1} = i_{n+1}\}$ .

But

$$P(A|B \cap C) = \frac{P(A \cap B|C)}{P(B|C)} = \frac{P(A|C)P(B|A \cap C)}{P(B|C)}.$$

Now  $P(B|A \cap C) = P(B|C)$  by Problem 1, so  $P(A|B \cap C) = P(A|C)$ , the desired result.

## Section 7.3

2. If  $i$  is essential and  $i$  leads to  $j$ , then since the equivalence class  $C$  of  $i$  is closed, we must have  $j \in C$ . But then  $i$  and  $j$  are equivalent, hence  $j$  leads to  $i$ . Conversely, if the condition is satisfied and  $i$  leads to  $j$ , then  $j$  leads to  $i$ , so that  $i$  and  $j$  are equivalent. Therefore  $j \in C$ , so  $C$  is closed.

3. Let  $i \in C$ , and assume  $i$  leads to  $j \notin C$ . There is a positive probability of reaching  $j$  from  $i$ , and once having reached  $j$  we cannot return to  $i$ . Thus there is a positive probability of never returning to  $i$ , hence  $f_{ii} < 1$  and  $i$  is transient.

5. (a) Set  $p_{ij} = p_j = P\{R_n = j\}$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

(b)  $S$  forms a single aperiodic recurrent class. (Given that  $R_0 = j$ , the probability of never returning to  $j$  is

$$P\{R_n \neq j, n = 1, 2, \dots\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [P\{R_1 \neq j\}]^k = 0.)$$

6.  $p_{ii}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n f_{ii}^{(k)} p_{ii}^{(n-k)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  (with  $f_{ii}^{(0)} = 0$ )

$$p_{ii}^{(0)} - 1 = 0 = f_{ii}^{(0)} p_{ii}^{(0)}$$

Thus the sequence  $\{p_{ii}^{(0)} - 1, p_{ii}^{(1)}, p_{ii}^{(2)}, \dots\}$  is the convolution

of  $\{f_{ii}^{(n)}\}$  and  $\{p_{ii}^{(n)}\}$ , so  $U(z) - 1 = H(z)U(z)$ .

## Section 7.4

3.  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{r=1}^d \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \equiv r \pmod{d}}}^n p_{ij}^{(k)}$
- $$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r=1}^d \frac{d}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-r}{d} \rfloor} p_{ij}^{(td+r)}.$$

By Theorem 2d and the fact that  $a_n \rightarrow a$  implies  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \rightarrow a$ ,

this  $\rightarrow \frac{1}{d} \sum_{r=1}^d f_{ij}^*(r) d/\mu_j$ , where  $f_{ij}^*(r)$  is the probability of reaching  $j$  from  $i$  in a number of steps that is  $\equiv r \pmod{d}$ . Thus

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu_j} \sum_{r=1}^d f_{ij}^*(r) = \frac{f_{ij}}{\mu_j}.$$

## Section 7.5

$$1. P_{ii}^{(nd)} = \sum_{k \in C} P_{ik}^{(nd-1)} P_{ki} = (\text{if } i \in C_r) \\ \sum_{k \in C_{r-1}} P_{ik}^{(nd-1)} P_{ki}.$$

By Theorem 2c of Section 7.4,  $P_{ik}^{(nd-1)} \rightarrow d/\mu_k, k \in C_{r-1}$ . By

Fatou's lemma,

$$\frac{d}{\mu_i} = \liminf_n P_{ii}^{(nd)} \geq \sum_{k \in C_{r-1}} \frac{d}{\mu_k} P_{ki} = \sum_{k \in C} \frac{d}{\mu_k} P_{ki}.$$

But  $\sum_{i \in C} \frac{1}{\mu_i} = 1$  by the discussion in the text. It follows as

in Theorem 1a that

$$\frac{1}{\mu_i} = \sum_{k \in C} \frac{1}{\mu_k} P_{ki}.$$

If we assign probability 0 to states outside of  $C$ , we have a stationary distribution for the chain. Now any stationary distribution for the chain must assign probability 0 to states not in  $C$ . (If  $\sum_i v_i P_{ij}^{(n)} = v_j, j \notin C$ , let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; since  $j$  is transient or recurrent null,  $P_{ij}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$  so  $v_j = 0$ .) Now a stationary distribution  $\{v_j\}$  for the chain also induces a stationary distribution on each cyclically moving subclass  $D$  of  $C$ , relative to  $\Pi^d$ , namely  $\{dv_j, j \in D\}$ . (Note that  $\sum_{j \in D} v_j = \frac{1}{d}$  for each subclass  $D$ , because of the cyclic movement.) By the argument in the text,  $dv_j = d/\mu_j$ , and the result follows.

## Section 8.2

2. (a) If  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$P_{\theta_2}(x)/P_{\theta_1}(x) = \frac{e^{-n\theta_2} \theta_2^{x_1 + \dots + x_n} / x_1! \dots x_n!}{e^{-n\theta_1} \theta_1^{x_1 + \dots + x_n} / x_1! \dots x_n!} \\ = e^{-n(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}\right)^{t(x)} \quad \text{where } t(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k. \\ x_1, \dots, x_n = 0, 1, \dots$$

(b) If  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$P_{\theta_2}(x)/P_{\theta_1}(x) = \frac{\theta_2^{t(x)} (1-\theta_2)^{n-t(x)}}{\theta_1^{t(x)} (1-\theta_1)^{n-t(x)}} \\ = \left(\frac{1-\theta_2}{1-\theta_1}\right)^n \left(\frac{\theta_2(1-\theta_1)}{\theta_1(1-\theta_2)}\right)^{t(x)} \quad \text{where } t(x) = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \\ x_i = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Remark: The MLR with  $t(x) = x$  holds when  $P_{\theta}(x) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x}$  the probability function of a binomial random variable with parameters  $n$  and  $\theta, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ ; the argument is exactly as above.

For the sake of definiteness, we give the form of the UMP test at level  $\alpha$  in case (b). We have

$$\varphi(x) = 1 \text{ if } \sum_{k=1}^n x_k > c \\ = 0 \text{ if } \sum_{k=1}^n x_k < c \\ = \alpha \text{ if } \sum_{k=1}^n x_k = c.$$

where  $c$  is chosen  $\in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  so that  $P_{\theta_0}\{x: t(x) > c\} + \alpha P_{\theta_0}\{x: t(x) = c\} = \alpha$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{k=c+1}^n \binom{n}{k} \theta_0^k (1-\theta_0)^{n-k} + \alpha \binom{n}{c} \theta_0^c (1-\theta_0)^{n-c} = \alpha$$

2. (continued)

(c)

$$\frac{P_{\theta+1}(x)}{P_{\theta}(x)} = \frac{\binom{\theta+1}{x} \binom{N-\theta-1}{n-x}}{\binom{\theta}{x} \binom{N-\theta}{n-x}} = \frac{\theta+1}{\theta+1-x} \frac{N-\theta-n+x}{N-\theta}$$

which is an increasing function of  $t(x) = x$ .

(d) If  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ ,

$$\frac{f_{\theta_2}(x)}{f_{\theta_1}(x)} = \frac{(2\pi\theta_2)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2/2\theta_2}}{(2\pi\theta_1)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2/2\theta_1}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left[\left(\frac{1}{2\theta_1} - \frac{1}{2\theta_2}\right)t(x)\right]$$

$$\text{where } t(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2.$$

4. The test is of the form: reject  $H_0$  if  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k > c$ , accept  $H_0$  if  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k < c$ , where  $c = n\theta_0 + \sqrt{n}\sigma N_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \leq .05$  (see Example 3 of Section 8.2). From the table of the normal distribution function,  $N_{\alpha} \geq 1.64$ . Also,

$$.03 \geq \beta = F^*\left(\frac{c-n\theta_1}{\sqrt{n}\sigma}\right).$$

Let  $M_{\beta}$  be the number such that  $F^*(M_{\beta}) = \beta$ .

4. (continued)

Then  $c = n\theta_1 + \sqrt{n}\sigma M_{\beta}$ ,  $M_{\beta} \leq M_{.03} = -1.88$ . Thus

$$\frac{c-n\theta_0}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \geq 1.64$$

$$\frac{c-n(\theta_0+\sigma)}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \leq -1.88.$$

Subtract the second equation from the first to obtain

$\sqrt{n} \geq 3.52$ , or  $n \geq 12.4$ . Thus the minimum value of  $n$  is 13.

7. By Problem 6,  $\beta = 1-Q(\theta_1) = (1-\alpha)(\theta_0/\theta_1)^n = (1-\alpha)2^{-n}$  so the set of admissible risk points is  $\{(\alpha, (1-\alpha)2^{-n}): 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ . The upper boundary of the risk set is  $\{(1-\alpha, 1-(1-\alpha)2^{-n}): 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$   $\{(\alpha, 1-\alpha 2^{-n}): 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ . Thus the risk set is  $\{(\alpha, \beta): 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, (1-\alpha)2^{-n} \leq \beta \leq 1-\alpha 2^{-n}\}$ .
8. By Problem 2(b), we reject if  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 > c$ , accept if  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 < c$ .

k	$P_{1/4}\{x: x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = k\}$	$P_{\theta}\{x: x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = k\}$
0	27/64	$(1-\theta)^3$
1	$3(1/4)(3/4)^2 = 27/64$	$3\theta(1-\theta)^2$
2	$3(1/4)^2(3/4) = 9/64$	$3\theta^2(1-\theta)$
3	1/64	$\theta^3$

Thus we take  $c = 2$ . We reject if  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 3$ , accept if  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$  or 1, and if  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2$  we reject with probability  $\alpha$ , where  $1/64 + 9\alpha/64 = .1$ , or  $\alpha = .6$ . The power function is  $Q(\theta) = \theta^3 + .6(3)\theta^2(1-\theta) = (9\theta^2 - 4\theta^3)/5$ .

9. If  $\varphi$  is admissible let  $\varphi_\lambda$  be a LRT with the same error probabilities (Theorem 4). By the first proof of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma,  $\varphi_\lambda$  is Bayes with  $c_1 = c_2 = 1$ ,  $p = \lambda/1+\lambda$ . (When  $\lambda = \infty$  we have  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha_\lambda = 0$ , hence  $B(\varphi_\lambda) = 0$ , so  $\varphi_\lambda$  is still Bayes in this case.) Since  $\alpha = \alpha_\lambda$  and  $\beta = \beta_\lambda$ ,  $\varphi$  is also Bayes by (8.2.3). Conversely, if  $\varphi$  is inadmissible and  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , (8.2.3) shows that  $\varphi$  cannot be Bayes.

Let  $R$  be uniformly distributed between 0 and  $\theta$ , and let  $H_0: \theta = 1$ ,  $H_1: \theta = 2$ . Let  $\varphi_1 \equiv 0$ , and let  $\varphi_2(x) = 0$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ;  $\varphi_2(x) = 1$ ,  $1 < x \leq 2$ . Then  $\alpha(\varphi_1) = 0$ ,  $\beta(\varphi_1) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(\varphi_2) = 0$ ,  $\beta(\varphi_2) = 1/2$ .  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are Bayes when  $p = 1$  since  $\beta(\varphi_1) = B(\varphi_2) = 0$ . But  $\varphi_1$  is inadmissible since  $\varphi_2$  is better than  $\varphi_1$ .

11. Assume first that  $\beta(\varphi) > 0$ , hence  $\varphi$  is of size  $\alpha$  by Problem 10. Since  $\alpha$  is most powerful, it is admissible, hence by Problem 9,  $\varphi$  is a Bayes solution for some  $c_1, c_2$  and  $p$ . But if  $\lambda = pc_1/(1-p)c_2$ , examination of the way the Bayes solution was constructed shows that  $\varphi(x)$  must be 1 for  $x > \lambda$ , and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $x < \lambda$ , except for  $x$  in a set of Lebesgue measure 0. (If for example,  $\varphi'(x) \leq 1-\delta$  and  $L(x) > \lambda$  on a set of positive Lebesgue measure,  $B(\varphi')$  would be  $> B(\varphi)$ ). If  $\beta(\varphi) = 0$  then  $\varphi$  is a Bayes solution with  $p = 0$  since in this case  $B(\varphi) = 0$  by (8.2.3). Thus the above argument still applies.

12. Part (a) follows from the discussion after Theorem 3; (b) follows from (a) and Theorem 3. Part (c) holds since every LRT is Bayes (see the first proof of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma and the solution to Problem 9).

13. If  $\alpha(\varphi_1) < \alpha(\varphi_2)$  but  $\beta(\varphi_1) > \beta(\varphi_2)$ , both statements are false. Numerical examples can be produced easily.

### Section 8.3

1. (a)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i = 0$ ,  
so  $\hat{\theta} = -n / \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$ .
- (b)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ , so  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$ .
- (c)  $f_\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1/\theta^n$  if  $0 \leq x_i \leq \theta$  for all  $i$   
= 0 elsewhere.  
Thus  $\hat{\theta} = \max(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
2.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{2\theta}{x^2 + \theta^2} = 0$ , so  $x^2 = \theta^2$ . Thus  $\hat{\theta} = |x|$ .
3.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln p_\theta(x) = \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{x-r}{1-\theta} = 0$ , so  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{r}{x}$ .
4.  $\rho(\theta) = E_\theta \left[ \left( \frac{R}{n} - \theta \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}_\theta R = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$ . Note that  
 $\max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \rho(\theta) = \frac{1}{4n}$ , which is larger than the risk of the minimax estimate.

7. By (8.3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \frac{\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!} \theta \, d\theta}{\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{x!} \, d\theta} = \frac{\Gamma(x+2) 2^{-(x+2)}}{\Gamma(x+1) 2^{-(x+1)}} \\ &= \frac{x+1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

7. (continued)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\psi}(\theta) &= E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{R+1}{2} - \theta \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} E_{\theta} [(R-\theta + 1-\theta)^2] = \frac{1}{4} [\text{Var}_{\theta} R + (1-\theta)^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [\theta + (1-\theta)^2] = \frac{1}{4} (\theta^2 - \theta + 1) \\ B(\psi) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\theta} \frac{1}{4} (\theta^2 - \theta + 1) d\theta = \frac{1}{4} (2 - 1 + 1) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  is found by differentiating  $\ln[e^{-\theta} \theta^x / x!]$  with respect to  $\theta$  and setting the result equal to zero; we obtain  $\hat{\theta} = x$ . The risk function using  $\hat{\theta}$  is

$$E_{\theta} [(R-\hat{\theta})^2] = \theta, \text{ hence } B(\hat{\theta}) = \int_0^{\infty} \theta e^{-\theta} d\theta = 1 > B(\psi).$$

## Section 8.4

$$4. f_{\theta}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma\tau} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2\tau^2}\right];$$

since

$$\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(y-\theta)^2}{\tau^2} = \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{y^2}{\tau^2} - 2\theta\left(\frac{x}{\sigma^2} + \frac{y}{\tau^2}\right) + \theta^2\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}\right),$$

the result follows.

5. (a) The results may be tabulated as follows:

	$a(\theta)$	$b(x)$	$c_1(\theta)$	$t_1(x)$	$c_2(\theta)$	$t_2(x)$
(i)	$(1-\theta)^n$	$\binom{n}{x}$	$\ln\theta - \ln(1-\theta)$	$x$	--	--
(ii)	$e^{-\theta}$	$\frac{1}{x!}$	$\ln\theta$	$x$	--	--
(iii)	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\mu^2/2\sigma^2}$	1	$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$	$x^2$	$\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}$	$x$
(iv)	$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta_1)\theta_2^{\theta_1}}$	1	$\theta_1 - 1$	$\ln x$	$-\frac{1}{\theta_2}$	$x$
(v)	$\frac{1}{\beta(\theta_1, \theta_2)}$	1	$\theta_1 - 1$	$\ln x$	$\theta_2 - 1$	$\ln(1-x)$
(vi)	$\frac{\theta^r}{(1-\theta)^r}$	$\binom{x-1}{r-1}$	$\ln(1-\theta)$	$x$	--	--

(b) It follows quickly from the Factorization Theorem that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n t_1(R_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(R_j) \right) \text{ is sufficient.}$$

6. If  $\varphi$  is any test let  $\varphi'(x) = E[\varphi(R) | T=t(x)]$ . Then  $\varphi'$  is a test based on  $T$ , and  $E_{\theta}\varphi'(R) = E_{\theta}\varphi(R)$  for all  $\theta$ , hence  $(\alpha(\varphi'), \beta(\varphi')) = (\alpha(\varphi), \beta(\varphi))$ .

## Section 8.5

1.  $\gamma(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \theta^k / k!$ , so the UMVUE is

$$\sum_{i=0}^T \frac{T!}{i!} \frac{(-1)^{T-i}}{(T-i)! n^{T-i}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^T.$$

3.  $\bar{R}$  is sufficient by Example 3, Section 8.4. Now  $\bar{R}$  is normal  $(\theta, \sigma^2/n)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta} g(\bar{R}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{1/2} g(y) e^{-(y-\theta)^2 n/2\sigma^2} dy \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{1/2} e^{-n\theta^2/2\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y) e^{-ny^2/2\sigma^2} e^{n\theta y/\sigma^2} dy. \end{aligned}$$

If  $E_{\theta} g(\bar{R}) = 0$  for all  $\theta > 0$  then  $g(y) e^{-ny^2/2\sigma^2} = 0$  for all  $y$ , hence  $g(y) = 0$  for all  $y$  (except on a set of Lebesgue measure 0). Thus as in Problem 2a,  $P_{\theta}\{g(\bar{R}) = 0\} = 1$ , hence  $\bar{R}$  is complete.

Since  $E(\bar{R}) = \theta$ ,  $\bar{R}$  is a UMVUE of  $\theta$ ; since  $\frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \text{Var } \bar{R} = E[(\bar{R})^2] - (E\bar{R})^2 = E[(\bar{R})^2] - \theta^2$ ,  $(\bar{R})^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$  is a UMVUE of  $\theta^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 7. E(R_1 \dots R_j \mid \sum_{i=1}^n R_i = k) &= P\{R_1 = \dots = R_j = 1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n R_i = k\} \\ &= P\{R_1 = \dots = R_j = 1, \sum_{i=j+1}^n R_i = k-j\} / P\{\sum_{i=1}^n R_i = k\} \\ &= \theta^j \binom{n-j}{k-j} \theta^{k-j} (1-\theta)^{n-j-(k-j)} / \binom{n}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{\binom{n-j}{k-j}}{\binom{n}{k}} = \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-j+1)}{n(n-1)\dots(n-j+1)} \text{ as in Example 1.} \end{aligned}$$

8.  $E(R_1 R_2) = E(R_1)E(R_2) = \theta^2$ , hence  $E(R_1 R_2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n R_i = k)$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\theta^2$  based on a complete sufficient statistic. By Example 2, Section 8.5,  $E(R_1 R_2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n R_i = k) = k(k-1)/n^2$ .

10. Assume  $\psi$  is a best estimate of  $\theta$ . Let  $\psi'(x) \equiv \theta_0$ . Then  $\rho_{\psi}(\theta) \leq \rho_{\psi}(\theta_0) = (\theta - \theta_0)^2$ , hence  $\rho_{\psi}(\theta_0) = E_{\theta_0}[(\psi(R) - \theta_0)^2] = 0$ . Consequently  $\psi(R) \equiv \theta_0$ . But  $\theta_0$  is arbitrary, so this is a contradiction.

$$\begin{aligned} 12. (a) E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(R) \right] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(x) \right] f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_{\theta}(x)} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial x} f_{\theta}(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\theta}(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \psi'(\theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_{\theta} \psi(R) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{f_{\theta}(x)} f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(x) \right) f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= E_{\theta} \left[ \psi(R) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(R) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) By the Schwarz inequality,

$$\left[ \text{Cov}_{\theta}(\psi(R), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(R)) \right]^2 \leq \text{Var}_{\theta} \psi(R) \text{Var}_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f_{\theta}(R)$$

The result follows from (a) and (b).

13. The sample variance is not changed by replacing  $R_i$  by  $R_i - \mu$  we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mu = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[(R_1 - \bar{R})^2] &= E\left[ \left( R_1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n R_j \right)^2 \right] \\ &= E(R_1^2) - \frac{2}{n} E(R_1^2) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n E(R_j^2) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \right) = \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

## Section 8.6

3. (a)  $T = \sqrt{n} R_1 / \sqrt{R_2}$  where  $R_1$  is normal  $(0,1)$  and  $R_2$  is chi-square  $(n)$ . Thus  $T^2 = nR_1^2/R_2 = R_1^2/(R_2/n)$ .  $R_1^2$  is chi-square  $(1)$ , so that  $T^2$  is  $F(1,n)$ .

(b)  $1/R = (R_2/n)/(R_1/m)$  where  $R_1$  is chi-square  $(m)$  and  $R_2$  is chi-square  $(n)$ , and the result follows.

(c) This is immediate from the fact that a chi-square  $(n)$  random variable is representable as  $W_1^2 + \dots + W_n^2$  where the  $W_i$  are independent and normal  $(0,1)$ .

4. (a)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{R_i - \mu}{\sigma}^2 = \frac{W}{\sigma^2}$  is chi-square with  $n$  degrees of freedom.

If  $h_n$  is the chi-square  $(n)$  density and  $a$  and  $b$  are chosen so that  $\int_a^b h_n(x) dx = 1 - \alpha$  then  $P\{a \leq \frac{W}{\sigma^2} \leq b\} = 1 - \alpha$ .

Therefore  $[\frac{W}{b}, \frac{W}{a}]$  is a confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$  with confidence coefficient  $1 - \alpha$ .

(b) If  $v^2$  is the sample variance,  $nv^2/\sigma^2$  is chi-square with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom. Thus if  $a$  and  $b$  are chosen so that  $\int_a^b h_{n-1}(x) dx = 1 - \alpha$  then  $P\{a \leq \frac{nv^2}{\sigma^2} \leq b\} = 1 - \alpha$ . Therefore  $[\frac{nv^2}{b}, \frac{nv^2}{a}]$  is a confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$  with confidence coefficient  $1 - \alpha$ .

5.  $\bar{R}_i - \mu_i$  is normal  $(0, \sigma^2/n_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , hence

$$\frac{\bar{R}_1 - \bar{R}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)^{1/2}}$$

is normal  $(0,1)$ . (Note that this result may be used to construct confidence intervals if  $\sigma^2$  is known.) Since

$$\frac{n_1 v_1^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{n_2 v_2^2}{\sigma^2}$$

is chi-square  $(n_1 - 1 + n_2 - 1)$  by Problem 3c,

$$\left| \frac{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right|^{1/2} \frac{(\bar{R}_1 - \bar{R}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2))}{(n_1 v_1^2 + n_2 v_2^2)^{1/2}}$$

is  $t(n_1 + n_2 - 2)$  and the result follows.

6.  $n_i v_i^2 / \sigma_i^2$  is chi-square  $(n_i - 1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , hence

$$\frac{n_2 v_2^2 / (n_2 - 1) \sigma_2^2}{n_1 v_1^2 / (n_1 - 1) \sigma_1^2} \text{ is } F(n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1).$$

If  $s^2$  denotes the corrected sample variance

$$\frac{n}{n-1} v^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (R_i - \bar{R})^2 \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{s_2^2}{s_1^2} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \text{ is } F(n_2 - 1, n_1 - 1)$$

and this allows construction of confidence intervals in the usual way.

$$7. (a) E_{\theta} \varphi_k(R) = P_{\theta}\{k \notin C(R)\} = 1 - P_{\theta}\{k \in C(R)\}.$$

If  $H_0$  is true,  $k = \gamma(\theta)$  hence  $P_{\theta}\{k \in C(R)\} \geq 1 - \alpha$  and the result follows.

$$(b) P_{\theta}\{\gamma(\theta) \in C(R)\} = P_{\theta}\{x: \varphi_k(x) = 0\} \text{ where } k = \gamma(\theta)$$

(note  $\varphi_k$  exists for each  $k$  of the form  $\gamma(\theta)$ , by hypothesis)

$$= 1 - P_{\theta}\{x: \varphi_k(x) = 1\} \text{ since the tests are nonrandomized}$$

$$= 1 - E_{\theta} \varphi_k(R).$$

But when the true parameter is  $\theta$  then the null hypothesis that  $\gamma(\theta) = k$  is true, hence  $E_{\theta} \varphi_k(R) \leq \alpha$  and the result follows.