

Law of Large numbers and Borel Cantelli Lemmas

5.1 Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

Theorem 5.1 (L^2 – Law of Large Numbers). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_1 = \mu$ and $\mathbb{E} X_1^2 < \infty$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{L^2} \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof Sketch: We have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(X_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

■

For the Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN) introduced below, no assumption on the $\mathbb{E} X^2$ is needed.

Theorem 5.2 (Weak Law of Large Numbers – WLLN). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E} X_1 = \mu$ is finite. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The convergence also holds in L^1 .

Proof. Note that, it is enough to prove L^1 -convergence, i.e., $\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as L^1 convergence implies convergence in probability.

Fix $x > 0$. Define, $Y_i := X_i \mathbb{1}_{|X_i| < x}$ for $i \geq 1$. We can write $X_i = X_i \mathbb{1}_{|X_i| \geq x} + Y_i$. Note that, by DCT we have, $\mathbb{E} (|X_1| \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| > x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \uparrow \infty$. The main idea behind the proof is to truncate the r.v.s, so that the bounded part converges using L^2 law of large numbers and the unbounded part has small expectation.

We have, for any $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right\|_1 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbb{1}_{|X_i| \geq x} - \mathbb{E}(X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \geq x}) \right| + \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \mathbb{E} Y_1 \right| \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E}(|X_1| \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \geq x}) + \sqrt{\text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right)} \\ &= 2 \mathbb{E}(|X_1| \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \geq x}) + \sqrt{n^{-1} \text{Var}(Y_1)} \leq 2 \mathbb{E}(|X_1| \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \geq x}) + n^{-1/2} \|X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| < x}\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $x = n^{1/4}$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu \right\|_1 = 0.$$

Note that, to prove convergence in Probability it was enough to prove that $\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |X_i| > x) \rightarrow 0$ for any $x > 0$ satisfying $\text{Var}(X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| > x}) \ll n$. ■

Exercise 5.3. Show that \mathcal{X} , the set of all random variables, is metrizable under convergence in probability, that is, \exists a metric \mathbf{d} on \mathcal{X} such that $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} X$ if and only if $\mathbf{d}(X_n, X) \rightarrow 0$ and \mathcal{X} is complete under the metric.

Hint: There are many choices:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}(X, Y) &= \mathbb{E}(|X - Y| \wedge 1) \\ \mathbf{d}(X, Y) &= \mathbb{E}(|X - Y| / (1 + |X - Y|)) \\ \mathbf{d}(X, Y) &= \inf\{\mathbb{P}(|X - Y| > \varepsilon) + \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can strengthen the conclusion of WLLN without any extra assumption.

Theorem 5.4 (Strong Law of Large Numbers – SLLN). Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E} X_1 = \mu$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu.$$

Example 5.5. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim \text{Uniform}([-1, 1])$ be i.i.d. r.v. with mean zero. Note $\mathbb{E} X_i^2 = \int_{-1}^1 x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} dx = \frac{1}{3}$. So by the SLLN, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{3}$. Now $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim \text{Uniform}([-1, 1]^n)$. So an n -dimensional vector with uniform coordinates approximately lies on a sphere with radius $\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}}$ with high probability. ■

We need certain results to obtain a.s. convergence from probability estimates.

5.2 Borel Cantelli Lemmas

Definition 5.6. Let A_1, A_2, \dots be an infinite sequence of events. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n A_n &= \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{n \geq m} A_n = \{A_n \text{ infinitely often (i.o.)}\} \\ &= \{\omega \text{ that are in infinitely many } A_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following:

- $\limsup_n \mathbb{1}_{A_n} = \mathbb{1}_{\limsup_n A_n}$,
- $\liminf_n A_n = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \bigcap_{n \geq m} A_n = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \bigcap_{n \geq m} A_n = \{A_n \text{ eventually (ev.)}\} = \{\omega \text{ that are in all but finitely many } A_n\}$,

- $\liminf_n \mathbb{1}_{A_n} = \mathbb{1}_{\liminf_n A_n}$,
- $(\limsup_n A_n)^c = \liminf_n A_n^c$,
- $\liminf_n A_n \subseteq \limsup_n A_n$.

Now we will state and prove the Borel Cantelli Lemmas:

Lemma 5.7 (Borel–Cantelli Lemmas). *Let A_1, A_2, \dots be a sequence of events.*

(i) *If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) < \infty$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0.$$

(ii) *If A_1, A_2, \dots are independent and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) = \infty$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Proof. (i) $\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = \mathbb{P}(\lim_m \cup_{n \geq m} A_n) = \lim_m \mathbb{P}(\cup_{n \geq m} A_n)$ with $\cup_{n \geq m} A_n$ decreasing in m . This implies

$$\lim_m \mathbb{P}(\cup_{n \geq m} A_n) \leq \lim_m \sum_{n \geq m} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = 0.$$

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.})^c &= \mathbb{P}(\lim_m \cap_{n \geq m} A_n^c) = \lim_m \mathbb{P}(\cap_{n \geq m} A_n^c) \\ &= \lim_m \prod_{n \geq m} \mathbb{P}(A_n^c) = \lim_m \prod_{n \geq m} (1 - \mathbb{P}(A_n)) \leq \lim_m e^{-\sum_{n \geq m} \mathbb{P}(A_n)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $(1 - x) \leq e^{-x}$ and $\sum_{n \geq m} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ for all $m \geq 1$. ■

Remark 5.8. *The assumption of independence in part ii) of Borel-Cantelli Lemma can be replaced by **pairwise independence**. See [Durrett] for the proof.*

Lemma 5.9. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.
- (ii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.
- (iii) There exists $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.
- (iv) For $M_n := \max_{k \geq n} |X_k - X|$, we have $M_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

Proof. i) \implies ii): $\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| = 0) = 1 = \mathbb{P}(\forall \varepsilon > 0, |X_n - X| \leq \varepsilon \text{ ev.})$. This implies $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \leq \varepsilon \text{ ev.}) = 1$. We have the result by taking complement. ■

Exercise 5.10. *Prove the equivalence in Lemma ??.*

In v) of Lemma ??, we cannot replace \exists with \forall , illustrated by the following example.

Example 5.11. *Let $X_n = U_n/n$, where U_1, U_2, \dots are i.i.d. Uniform(-1, 1) rvs. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > 1/2n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$$

and $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. For the proof, let $A_n = \{|X_n| > 1/2n\}, n \geq 1$. Then $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = \frac{1}{2} \implies \sum \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ and A_n 's are independent. Therefore, by second Borel-Cantelli Lemma, $\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ or $\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > 1/2n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$.

5.3 Random Walk on \mathbb{Z}^d

In this section we consider random walks in d -dimensions, \mathbb{Z}^d . Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. \mathbb{Z}^d -valued random vector such that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = \mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = -\mathbf{e}_i) = \frac{1}{2d}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, d$$

where \mathbf{e}_i is the unit vector in the i -th coordinate direction. The simple symmetric random walk (SSRW) S_n is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= 0, \\ S_n &= X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.12. *For the simple symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z}^d we have,*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = 1, 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Example 5.13 (Random Walk on \mathbb{Z}). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define*

$$S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *It can be shown that $\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$. Notice that*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) = \binom{2n}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

for any n . *By Stirling's approximation : $n! \sim (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) = \frac{2n!}{(n!)^2} \frac{1}{2^n} \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} 2n^{2n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi e^{-2n} n^{2n+1} 2^{2n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

So $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) = \infty$. *However*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0, S_{2n+2} = 0) = \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) \times \frac{2}{2^2} \neq \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) \mathbb{P}(S_{2n+2} = 0).$$

So *Borel-Cantelli Lemma cannot be applied to show $\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$.*

Example 5.14 (Another Random Walk on \mathbb{Z}^d). *Let $\tilde{X}_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ with probability $\frac{1}{2^d}$ where each $x_i \in \{1, -1\}$. Then, for each n , define*

$$S_n = \tilde{X}_1 + \tilde{X}_2 + \dots + \tilde{X}_n.$$

Analogous to random walk on \mathbb{Z} , we are interested in calculating $\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0)$. Write

$$S_n = (S_n^1, \dots, S_n^d)$$

where $(S_n^1)_{n \geq 1}, \dots, (S_n^d)_{n \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. 1-dimensional random walk. *Observe that*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) = \mathbb{P}(S_{2n}^1 = 0, \dots, S_{2n}^d = 0) \sim \frac{1}{(n\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Then $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) < \infty$ if and only if $d \geq 3$. In particular, for $d \geq 3$, $\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

5.4 Proof of Strong Law of Large Number

Theorem 5.15 (Strong Law of Large number). *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_1 = \mu$ finite, then*

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu.$$

Proof Sketch: WLOG, assume $X_i \geq 0$. (For general random variables X_i , we can write $X_i = X_i^+ - X_i^-$ and apply the case when each $X_i \geq 0$ separately to $(X_i^+)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(X_i^-)_{i \geq 1}$.) We will use the following steps:

1. Truncation (inhomogeneous)
2. Error analysis for truncation
3. Subsequence argument.

Let

$$Y_k := X_k \mathbb{1}_{X_k \leq k}.$$

Notice that if we set $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, then it suffices to show that $\frac{T_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ because of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.16. $\mathbb{P}(X_k \neq Y_k \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

Proof. We will apply Borel-Cantelli Lemma (i) to prove this result. So it is enough to show that $\sum_k \mathbb{P}(X_k \neq Y_k) < \infty$. Notice that $\mathbb{P}(X_k \neq Y_k) = \mathbb{P}(X > k)$. So

$$\sum_k \mathbb{P}(X_k \neq Y_k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(X > k) = \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{1}_{X > k} \right) \leq \mathbb{E} X < \infty$$

where the last inequality follows by $0 \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{1}_{X > k} \leq X$. ■

In particular, $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ because $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |X_i - Y_i|$, a finite random variable.

Now recall that $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Take $Z_n = \frac{T_n - \mathbb{E} T_n}{n}$. By Chebyshev's inequality we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z_n| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(T_n)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} Y_i^2}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} = \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_1^2 \mathbb{1}_{X_1 \leq i} \leq \frac{1}{n \varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E} X_1^2 \mathbb{1}_{X_1 \leq n}.$$

In general, for any subsequences (Z_{n_k}) , we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(|Z_{n_k}| > \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k \varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E} X_1^2 \mathbb{1}_{X_1 \leq n_k} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E} \left(X_1^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n_k \geq X_1}}{n_k} \right).$$

We want a sequence n_k such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n_k \geq x}}{n_k} \approx \frac{1}{x}$$

Geometric series satisfies this criteria. So we take $n_k = \lceil \alpha^k \rceil$ for some $\alpha > 1$. Then, for all $x > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{k:n_k \geq x} \frac{1}{n_k} \leq \frac{c(\alpha)}{x}$$

for some finite constant $c(\alpha)$ depending only on α . So

$$\mathbb{E} \left(X_1^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{n_k \geq X_1}}{n_k} \right) \leq c(\alpha) \mathbb{E} X_1 < \infty.$$

In particular, $Z_{n_k} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ where $n_k = \lceil \alpha^k \rceil$, or $\frac{T_{n_k}}{n_k} - \frac{\mathbb{E} T_{n_k}}{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \uparrow \infty$. Now,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} T_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} X_1 \mathbb{1}_{X_1 \leq i} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} X_1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ since } \mathbb{E} X_1 \mathbb{1}_{X_1 \leq i} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} X_1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

or

$$\frac{T_{n_k}}{n_k} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu.$$

Notice that $T_{n_k} \leq T_n \leq T_{n_{k+1}}$ whenever $n_k < n \leq n_{k+1}$, or

$$\frac{T_{n_k}}{n_{k+1}} \leq \frac{T_n}{n} \leq \frac{T_{n_{k+1}}}{n_k}.$$

So

$$\frac{\mu}{\alpha} \leq \liminf_k \frac{T_{n_k}}{n_{k+1}} \leq \liminf_n \frac{T_n}{n} \leq \limsup_n \frac{T_n}{n} \leq \limsup_k \frac{T_{n_{k+1}}}{n_k} \leq \mu \alpha.$$

Since $\alpha > 1$ is arbitrary,

$$\limsup_n \frac{T_n}{n} = \liminf_n \frac{T_n}{n} = \mu \text{ or } \frac{T_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu.$$

■