

Conditional Expectation

10.1 Conditional Expectation

10.1.1 Definition, existence and uniqueness

Definition 10.1. Given σ -fields $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and a r.v $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, we define $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G})$ as a r.v Y s.t.

1. Y is in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$.
2. $\mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbb{E}(Y\mathbf{1}_A) \forall A \in \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}((X - Y)Z) = 0$ for all bounded \mathcal{G} -measurable function Z .

Lemma 10.2. Conditional expectation, if exists, is unique a.s.

Proof. Suppose Y_1, Y_2 are conditional expectations of X given \mathcal{G} . Take $W := Y_1 - Y_2$ which is \mathcal{G} measurable. Then $\mathbb{E}(W\mathbf{1}_A) = 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G}$. Take $A = \{W > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{G}$. Then,

$$0 = \mathbb{E}(W\mathbf{1}_{W>\varepsilon}) > \varepsilon \mathbb{P}(W > \varepsilon) \implies \mathbb{P}(W > \varepsilon) = 0$$

Similarly, taking $A = \{W < -\varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{G}$ we get

$$0 = \mathbb{E}(W\mathbf{1}_{W<-\varepsilon}) < -\varepsilon \mathbb{P}(W < -\varepsilon) \implies \mathbb{P}(W < -\varepsilon) = 0$$

Therefore $\mathbb{P}(W \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]) = 1$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence $\mathbb{P}(W = 0) = 1$ and $Y_1 = Y_2$ a.s. ■

We will prove that

Theorem 10.3. Conditional expectation exists.

We will prove this later.

10.1.2 Properties of Conditional Expectation

Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be σ -fields, then $\mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{G}) : L^1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathcal{G})$ where $L^p(\mathcal{H}) := L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{P}), p \geq 1$ for a sub σ -field \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{F} .

- (i) **Positive:** $X \geq 0$ a.s. $\implies \widehat{X} := \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) \geq 0$ a.s.

Proof: Using $\mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}\mathbf{1}_A) \forall A \in \mathcal{G}$; for $A = \{\widehat{X} < 0\}$, we get $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{X}<0}) = 0$ which implies $\widehat{X} \geq 0$ a.s.

- (ii) **Linear:** $\mathbb{E}(X + Y | \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) + \mathbb{E}(Y | \mathcal{G})$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}(cX | \mathcal{G}) = c\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$ a.s. for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (iii) **Contractive on $L^p(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{G})$:** For $X \in L^p(\mathcal{F}), p \geq 1$ we have $\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) \in L^p(\mathcal{G})$ and $\|\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})\|_p \leq \|X\|_p$.

Proof for $p = 1$: Let $\hat{X} = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$, we have $\mathbb{E}(X \mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G}$. Taking $A_1 = \{\hat{X} \geq 0\}$, $A_2 = \{\hat{X} < 0\}$, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}|\hat{X}| = \mathbb{E}(\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_{\hat{X} \geq 0}) - \mathbb{E}(\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_{\hat{X} < 0}) = \mathbb{E}(X \mathbf{1}_{\hat{X} \geq 0}) - \mathbb{E}(X \mathbf{1}_{\hat{X} < 0}) \leq \mathbb{E}|X|.$$

For general $p > 1$, use $\mathbb{E}(XZ) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{X}Z)$ for any bounded \mathcal{G} -measurable Z . The r.v. $Z_n = |\hat{X}|^{p-1} \cdot (\mathbf{1}_{0 < \hat{X} \leq n} - \mathbf{1}_{0 < -\hat{X} \leq n})$ is bounded and \mathcal{G} -measurable. Thus $\mathbb{E}(\hat{X}Z_n) = \mathbb{E}(XZ_n)$ implies that

$$\mathbb{E}|\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{X}| \leq n}|^p = \mathbb{E}(XZ_n) \leq \mathbb{E}(|X| \cdot |\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{X}| \leq n}|^{p-1}) \leq (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} (\mathbb{E}|\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{X}| \leq n}|^p)^{1-1/p}$$

where we used Hölder inequality in the last line. Thus we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\hat{X} \mathbf{1}_{|\hat{X}| \leq n}|^p \leq \mathbb{E}|X|^p \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get the results.

- (iv) **William's Tower Property:** Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Suppose $\mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{G})$ and $\mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{H})$ are well defined, then $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{H}) | \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$.
- (v) If X is \mathcal{G} -measurable then $\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) = X$ a.s.
- (vi) **Projection:** $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) | \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$, which follows from Tower property.
- (vii) **Monotone:** $X \geq Y$ a.s. $\implies \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) \geq \mathbb{E}(Y | \mathcal{G})$ a.s.
- (viii) **Conditional MCT:** $X_n \geq 0, X_n \uparrow X \implies \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{G}) \uparrow \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$ a.s.
- (ix) $\mathbb{E}(X | \{\emptyset, \Omega\}) = \mathbb{E}X$.
- (x) **Jensen's Inequality:** If ϕ is convex and $\mathbb{E}|\phi(X)| < \infty$, $\phi(\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})) \leq \mathbb{E}(\phi(X) | \mathcal{G})$ a.s.
- (xi) **Cauchy-Schwartz and Hölder Inequality:** $|\mathbb{E}(XY | \mathcal{G})| \leq (\mathbb{E}(|X|^p | \mathcal{G}))^{1/p} (\mathbb{E}(|Y|^q | \mathcal{G}))^{1/q}$ a.s. for $1/p + 1/q = 1, p, q \geq 1$ and $X \in L^p(\mathcal{F}), Y \in L^q(\mathcal{F})$.
- (xii) Suppose $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\cup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, and $X \in L^p(\mathcal{F}), p \geq 1$. Then $\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s./}L^p} \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_\infty)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \mathcal{F}$ then $\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s./}L^p} X$.
- (xiii) $(\hat{X}_n = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{F}_n))_{n \geq 0}$ is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{E}(\hat{X}_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \hat{X}_{n-1}$.

10.1.3 Proof of Theorem 10.3: Construction of $\mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{G})$

Here are a number of proofs for Theorem 10.3.

(*Measure theoretic proof*). We will use the following theorem from measure theory.

Theorem 10.4 (Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym derivative). Let μ and λ be two finite positive measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that $\mu \ll \lambda$ (μ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. λ , i.e., $\lambda(A) = 0 \implies \mu(A) = 0$). Then there exists a measurable function $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \lambda)$ s.t.,

$$f = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \Leftrightarrow \mu(A) = \int_A f d\lambda \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Assume that $X \geq 0$. Consider Theorem 10.4 with $\lambda := \mathbb{P}$ and $\mu(A) := \int_A X d\mathbb{P}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{G}$. λ, μ are positive finite measures on (Ω, \mathcal{G}) and $\mu \ll \lambda$. Then by Theorem 10.4 there exists $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ s.t.

$$\mu(A) = \int_A f d\mathbb{P} \implies \mathbb{E}(X1_A) = \mathbb{E}(f1_A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{G}.$$

So f is the conditional expectation. In general $X = X^+ - X^-$ and we define

$$\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(X^+ | \mathcal{G}) - \mathbb{E}(X^- | \mathcal{G}). \quad \blacksquare$$

(Functional analysis proof). We will use the following lemma on orthogonal projection in Hilbert spaces.

Lemma 10.5. *Let $K \subseteq H$ be a close subspace of Hilbert space H . Then for all $X \in H$, there exists a unique decomposition $X = Y + Z$ s.t $Y \in K$ and $Z \in K^\perp$.*

Assume $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ which is a Hilbert space. We will use shorthand notation $L^2(\mathcal{F})$ for $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. By Lemma 10.5 there exists a unique decomposition $X = Y + E$ such that $Y \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$ and $E \in L^2(\mathcal{G})^\perp$. So,

$$\forall Z \in L^2(\mathcal{G}), \quad \mathbb{E}((X - Y)Z) = 0 \implies Y = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$$

This proof can be generalized to $X \in L^1$. \blacksquare

(Hands on proof). (i) Assume that $|\mathcal{G}| < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(C_1, \dots, C_k)$ such that $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ is a disjoint partition of Ω . Now any \mathcal{G} -measurable r.v. Z is of the form, $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i 1_{C_i}$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the conditional expectation is,

$$\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}) = Y := \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\mathbb{E}(X1_{C_i})}{\mathbb{E}(1_{C_i})} 1_{C_i},$$

since for all \mathcal{G} -measurable r.v. Z ,

$$\mathbb{E}(YZ) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\mathbb{E}(X1_{C_j})}{\mathbb{E}(1_{C_j})} \mathbb{E}(1_{C_j} 1_{C_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbb{E}(X1_{C_i}) = \mathbb{E}(XZ).$$

(ii) Suppose $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{G} = \sigma(\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_i)$ and $X \in L^2(\mathcal{F})$. Then, let

$$\widehat{X}_n = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G}_n).$$

We have $\|\widehat{X}_n\|_2 \leq \|X\|_2$. Let $\Delta_n = \widehat{X}_n - \widehat{X}_{n-1}, n \geq 1$. By William's Tower property we have, $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}_n | \mathcal{G}_{n-1}) = \widehat{X}_{n-1}$. Thus for any $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})$ r.v. Y , $\mathbb{E}((\widehat{X}_n - \widehat{X}_{n-1})Y) = 0$. Thus $\{\widehat{X}_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3, \dots\}$ are uncorrelated. By definition of Δ_n , we have $\widehat{X}_n = \widehat{X}_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \dots + \Delta_n$. This implies $S_n^2 := \|\widehat{X}_n\|_2^2 = \|\widehat{X}_1\|_2^2 + \|\Delta_2\|_2^2 + \dots + \|\Delta_n\|_2^2 \leq \|X\|_2^2$. Thus \widehat{X}_n is L^2 -Cauchy, since $S_n^2 \uparrow S_\infty^2 \leq \mathbb{E}X^2$. Therefore $\widehat{X}_n \xrightarrow{L^2} \widehat{X}_\infty$.

Claim: \widehat{X}_∞ is \mathcal{G} -measurable.

Claim: $\mathbb{E}(X1_A) = \mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}_\infty 1_A)$ for all $A \in \cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n$. To verify the claim, note that: $\exists n$ s.t. $A \in \mathcal{G}_m$ for $m \geq n$. Also $\mathbb{E}(X1_A) = \mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}_m 1_A), m \geq n$, and as $m \rightarrow \infty$, it converges to $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}_\infty 1_A)$.

Now, $\{A : \mathbb{E}(X1_A) = \mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}_\infty 1_A)\}$ is a λ system and $\cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n$ is a π -system. By $\pi - \lambda$ theorem, $\mathbb{E}(X1_A) = \mathbb{E}(\widehat{X}_\infty 1_A)$ for all $A \in \sigma(\cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n) = \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, we have, $\widehat{X}_\infty = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$ a.s.

- (iii) If $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(C_1, C_2, \dots)$ then take $\mathcal{G}_n = \sigma(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n), n \geq 1$. In general, given $X \in L^2(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $S_* := \sup_{|\mathcal{H}| < \infty, \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}} \|\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{H})\|_2$ exists. Take \mathcal{H}_i s.t. $\|\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{H}_i)\|_2 \uparrow S_*$ and work with $\mathcal{G}_i = \sigma(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{H}_i), i \geq 1$.
- (iv) Finally, from $X \in L^2(\mathcal{F})$, one can generalize to $X \in L^1(\mathcal{F})$ by approximating a non-negative r.v. by a bounded r.v. monotonically. ■

10.1.4 Lévy's 0-1 Law

We have $\mathbb{P}(A | \mathcal{F}_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{1}_A$ whenever $\mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F}$.

Exercise 10.6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent r.v.s and $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $A \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots)$ then $\mathbb{P}(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.