

GREEDY ALGORITHM WITH GAPS

T. OIKHBERG

ABSTRACT. We generalize the well-known greedy approximation algorithm, by allowing gaps in the approximating sequence. We give examples of bases which are “quasi-greedy with gaps,” in spite of failing to be quasi-greedy in the usual sense. However, we also show that for some classical bases (such as the normalized Haar basis in L_1 , and the trigonometric basis in L_p for $p \neq 2$), the greedy algorithm may diverge, even if gaps are introduced into the approximating sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

We introduce and investigate a generalization of the well-known Thresholding Greedy Algorithm. First we need to fix some notation. If $(e_i, e_i^*)_{i \in I} \subset X \times X^*$ is a biorthogonal system, and A is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , we write $Ax = \sum_{i \in A} \langle e_i^*, x \rangle e_i$, and $A^c x = x - Ax$. By default we assume that all our biorthogonal systems are infinite (that is, X is infinite dimensional), complete ($\overline{\text{span}[e_i : i \in I]} = X$) and total ($\overline{\text{span}[e_i^* : i \in I]}^{\text{weak}^*} = X^*$), and further, $\sup_i \max\{\|e_i\|, \|e_i^*\|\} < \infty$ (the systems are *semi-normalized*). We would sometimes refer to $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ as a *basis*. The *support* of x ($\text{supp } x$) is the set $\{i : \langle e_i^*, x \rangle \neq 0\}$. By our assumption, elements with finite support are dense in X .

We say that a set $A \subset I$ is a *t-greedy set* for $x \in X$ (here $t \in (0, 1]$) if

$$\inf_{i \in A} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \geq t \sup_{i \notin A} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|$$

(if x has infinite support, then such a set A is necessarily finite, since, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $\{i : |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| > \varepsilon\}$ is finite, see [5]). A *t-greedy projection* of $x \in \mathbf{G}_m^t(x)$ is Ax , where A is a *t-greedy set* of cardinality m (such a projection need not be unique). When $t = 1$, we simply talk about greedy sets, and greedy projections \mathbf{G}_m .

Suppose $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 < n_2 < \dots)$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers, and $0 < t \leq 1$. The basis (e_i) is *n-quasi-greedy with parameter t* (**n-t-QG** for short) if $\lim_i \mathbf{G}_{n_i}^t x = x$ for any $x \in X$, and any choice of *t-greedy* projections $\mathbf{G}_{n_i}^t x$. When $t = 1$, we use the term *n-quasi-greedy* (**n-QG**). When $\mathbf{n} = \mathbb{N}$, we recover the usual notion of a basis being *t-quasi-greedy*, or quasi-greedy for $t = 1$ (we use the acronyms *t-QG* and *QG*).

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we obtain a criterion for a basis being **n-t-QG** (similar to the criterion for the quasi-greediness of a basis from [18]). We also show that any basis which is **n-QG** with constant 1 must be 1-suppression unconditional. Examples of **n-QG** bases which are not *QG* are given in Section 3. In Section 4 we show that, if a set \mathbf{n} is “large enough” (more precisely, if it “almost” forms an additive basis in \mathbb{N}), then any **n-QG** basis is automatically *QG*. In Section 5, we show that certain “classical” bases (the Haar basis in L_1 , and the trigonometric basis in a weighted L_p space) are not **n-QG**, for any sequence \mathbf{n} .

Throughout the paper, we use standard facts and notation from Banach spaces and approximation theory. We refer the reader to e.g. [15], [16], [17] for the necessary background.

For a normed space X , we denote by $\mathbf{B}(X) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ its closed unit ball. We write “ $x \lesssim y$ ” (“ $x \gtrsim y$ ”, “ $x \sim y$ ”) as a shorthand for “there exists $c > 0$ so that $x/y \leq c$ ” (resp. $c^{-1} \leq x/y$, $c^{-1} \leq x/y \leq c$). For a collection of vectors $(e_i)_{i \in I}$, and a finite set $A \subset I$, we let $\mathbf{1}_A = \sum_{i \in A} e_i$. More generally, for $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, we set $\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A} = \sum_{i \in A} \varepsilon_i e_i$.

Remark 1.1. One can define \mathbf{n} -greedy, and \mathbf{n} -almost greedy bases, in the manner similar to the definition of \mathbf{n} -QG bases. More precisely, suppose $(e_i, e_i^*)_{i \in I} \subset X \times X^*$ is a biorthogonal system. For $x \in X$, we can define

$$\sigma_m(x) = \inf_{|\text{supp } y| \leq m} \|x - y\| \text{ and } \tilde{\sigma}_m(x) = \inf_{|A| \leq m} \|x - Ax\|.$$

The above basis is said to be \mathbf{n} -greedy (resp. \mathbf{n} -almost greedy) if there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ so that, for any x , and any $n \in \mathbf{n}$, we have $\|x - \mathbf{G}_n x\| \leq C\sigma_n(x)$, resp. $\|x - \mathbf{G}_n x\| \leq C\tilde{\sigma}_n(x)$ (that is, the greedy approximation is “almost optimal”). However, such bases are necessarily greedy, resp. almost greedy, when I is infinite.

We consider the greedy case, as the almost greedy one is handled similarly. Suppose (e_i) is \mathbf{n} -greedy, with constant C . We have to show that, for any x , and any greedy set A , we have $\|x - Ax\| \leq C\sigma_m(x)$, where $m = |A|$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ pick $y \in X$ so that $|\text{supp}(y)| = m$ and $\|x - y\| < \sigma_m(x) + \varepsilon$.

Pick $n \in \mathbf{n} \cap [m, \infty)$. If $n = m$, we are done. Otherwise, by a “small perturbation argument”, we can assume that x has finite support. Find a set B of cardinality $n - m$, disjoint from $\text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } y$. Set $x' = x + \sum_{k \in B} r e_k$, where $r > \max_i |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|$. Then $A \cup B$ is a greedy set (of cardinality n) for x' , and $x' - (A \cup B)x' = x - Ax$. However, $x' - (Bx' + y) = x - y$, hence $\|x - y\| \geq \sigma_n(x')$. Thus,

$$\|x - Ax\| = \|x' - (A \cup B)x'\| \leq C\sigma_n(x') \leq C\|x - y\| \leq C(\sigma_m(x) + \varepsilon).$$

As ε can be arbitrarily small, we are done.

2. CONNECTION TO NORMS OF PROJECTIONS

In this section, we develop some technical tools, and prove a characterization of a basis being \mathbf{n} -QG similar to the characterization of quasi-greediness from [18]:

Theorem 2.1. *For a biorthogonal system $(e_i, e_i^*)_{i \in I} \subset X \times X^*$, and a sequence $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 < n_2 < \dots) \subset \mathbb{N}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *If $\emptyset = B_0 \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots$ are subsets of I , so that, for any k , $|B_k| = n_k$, and $B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$ is a t -greedy set for $B_{k-1}^c x$, then $\lim_k B_k x = x$.*
- (2) *The basis (e_i) is \mathbf{n} - t -QG.*
- (3) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ so that, for any $x \in X$, any $n \in \mathbf{n}$, and any t -greedy projection $\mathbf{G}_n^t x$, we have $\|\mathbf{G}_n^t x\| \leq C\|x\|$.*

Throughout this section we set $c = (\sup_i \|e_i^*\| + 1)(\sup_i \|e_i\| + 1)$ (by our assumptions, $c \in [1, \infty)$). We make use of the following “small perturbations” lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose A is a t -greedy set for $x \in X$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y \in X$ with finite support, so that $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$, and A is a t -greedy set for y .*

Proof. By scaling, we can assume $\|x\| < 1/c$. Find a finitely supported $z \in X$ so that $\|x - z\| < \delta = \varepsilon/(4c|A|)$. We claim that

$$y = z + 2c\delta \sum_{i \in A} \text{sign}\langle e_i^*, x \rangle e_i$$

has the desired properties. Indeed,

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|x - z\| + 2c\delta\|x\| \sum_{i \in A} \|e_i\| \|e_i^*\| < \varepsilon.$$

To show that A is a t -greedy set for y , observe that

$$\langle e_i^*, y \rangle = \begin{cases} (|\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| + 2c\delta)\text{sign}\langle e_i^*, x \rangle + \langle e_i^*, z - x \rangle & i \in A \\ \langle e_i^*, x \rangle + \langle e_i^*, z - x \rangle & i \notin A \end{cases}.$$

Let $\alpha = \min_{i \in A} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|$. For $i \in A$,

$$|\langle e_i^*, y \rangle| \geq |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| + 2c\delta - |\langle e_i^*, z - x \rangle| \geq \alpha + 2c\delta - c\delta = \alpha + c\delta,$$

while for $i \notin A$,

$$|\langle e_i^*, y \rangle| \leq |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| + |\langle e_i^*, z - x \rangle| \leq \frac{\alpha}{t} + c\delta \leq \frac{\alpha + c\delta}{t}.$$

Thus, A is t -greedy for y . \square

The preceding lemma immediately implies:

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in (0, 1]$, and $C > 0$ are such that, for any finitely supported $x \in X$, we have $\|\mathbf{G}_m^t x\| \leq C\|x\|$. Then the same inequality holds for any $x \in X$.*

Lemma 2.4. *If A and B are finite sets, then, for any $x \in X$, $\|Ax - Bx\| \leq c|A \Delta B|\|x\|$. Consequently, for any t -greedy projection $\mathbf{G}_\ell^t x$ there exists a t -greedy projection $\mathbf{G}_k^t x$ so that $\|\mathbf{G}_\ell^t x\| \leq c|k - \ell|\|x\| + \|\mathbf{G}_k^t x\|$.*

Proof. For the first statement, use the fact that

$$\|Ax - Bx\| \leq \sum_{i \in A \Delta B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \|e_i\| \leq |A \Delta B| \sup_i \|e_i^*\| \|e_i\| \cdot \|x\|.$$

For the second statement, suppose A is the set of cardinality k with $\mathbf{G}_k^t x = Ax$. If $\ell > k$, then there exists a set B so that $Bx = \mathbf{G}_\ell^t x$, and $A \subset B$. Then $|A \Delta B| = |B \setminus A| = \ell - k$. Now apply the first statement of the lemma. The case of $\ell < k$ is handled similarly (with $B \subset A$). \square

Lemma 2.5. *Fix $t \in (0, 1]$. Suppose a basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ has the property that, for any $K > 0$, there exists $x \in \mathbf{B}(X)$ and $m \in \mathbf{n}$ with $\|\mathbf{G}_m^t x\| > K$, for some realization of the t -greedy algorithm. Then, for any $C > 0$, and for any finite set $F \subset I$, there exists $y \in \mathbf{B}(X)$, whose support is finite and disjoint from F , and $\|\mathbf{G}_n^t y\| > C$, for some realization of the t -greedy algorithm, and for some $n \in \mathbf{n}$.*

Proof. By a small perturbation argument, we can find a finitely supported $x \in \mathbf{B}(X)$ so that

$$\|Ax\| > K = (1 + c|F|)C + 2c|F|,$$

where A is a t -greedy set for x of cardinality $n \in \mathbf{n}$, with $n > |F|$. Let $z = F^c x$. Then $\|z\| \leq \|x\| + c|F| \leq 1 + c|F|$.

Next show that the t -greedy algorithm for z can run in such a way that $\|\mathbf{G}_n^t z\| > C(1 + c|F|)\|z\|$. Once this is done, we immediately conclude that $y = z/\|z\|$ has the desired properties.

By the triangle inequality,

$$\|(A \setminus F)z\| = \|(A \setminus F)x\| \geq \|Ax\| - \|F^c x\| > K - c|F|.$$

Clearly $A \setminus F$ is a t -greedy set for z , hence we can find a t -greedy set for z – call it B – so that $|B| = n$, and $A \setminus F \subset B$ (then $|B \setminus (A \setminus F)| = |F|$). Then

$$\|Bz\| \geq \|(A \setminus F)z\| - \left\| \sum_{i \in B \setminus (A \setminus F)} \langle e_i^*, z \rangle e_i \right\|.$$

However, $|\langle e_i^*, z \rangle| \leq |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|$, hence

$$\|Bz\| \geq \|(A \setminus F)z\| - \sum_{i \in B \setminus (A \setminus F)} \|e_i^*\| \|e_i\| > K - 2c|F|.$$

By our choice of K , the right hand side equals $(1 + c|F|)C$, which means we are done. \square

Finally, we mention a folklore result.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose A is a t -greedy set for $x \in X$. Suppose, furthermore, that $B \subset A$ is such that*

$$\max_{i \in B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \leq \min_{i \in A \setminus B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|.$$

Then $A \setminus B$ is a t -greedy set for x .

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (2) \Rightarrow (1): Observe that, for every k , B_k is a t -greedy set for x .

(1) \Rightarrow (3): We prove the contrapositive: if $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{n}} \|\mathbf{G}_n^t\| = \infty$, then there exist $x \in X$ and $k_1, k_2, \dots \in \mathbf{n}$ so that the sequence $(\|\mathbf{G}_{k_j}^t x\|)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ increases without a bound. More specifically, we find $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ in the sequence \mathbf{n} , and the elements $x_j = \sum_{i \in A_j} \alpha_i e_i$ so that:

- (1) A_1, A_2, \dots are finite disjoint sets, with $\sum_{s=1}^j |A_s| = k_j$.
- (2) For each j , $\beta_j \leq \inf_{i \in A_j} |\alpha_i| \leq \sup_{i \in A_j} |\alpha_i| \leq \gamma_j$, where $\gamma_1 \geq \beta_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots$
- (3) For each j , $\|x_j\| < 2^{-j}$, and $\|\mathbf{G}_{k_j - |A_1| - \dots - |A_{j-1}|}^t x_j\| > 2^j$.

Once this is done, let $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$, and $B_j = \cup_{s=1}^j A_s$. Then $\|x\| \leq 1$, and for any j , $A_j = B_j \setminus B_{j-1}$ is a t -greedy set for $B_{j-1}^c x$, and $|B_j| = k_j$. Further,

$$\|B_j x\| = \|x_1 + \dots + x_{j-1} + \mathbf{G}_{k_j - |A_1| - \dots - |A_{j-1}|}^t x_j\| \geq \|\mathbf{G}_{k_j - |A_1| - \dots - |A_{j-1}|}^t x_j\| - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \|x_\ell\| > 2^j - 1.$$

The selection proceeds recursively. Picking k_1 and x_1 is easy. Now suppose k_1, \dots, k_j and x_1, \dots, x_j have been chosen. By Lemma 2.5, we can find $k_{j+1} \in \mathbf{n}$, and x_{j+1} , supported on a set A_{j+1} , disjoint from $\cup_{s=1}^j A_s$, so that

$$\|x_{j+1}\| < \min \{2^{-(j+1)}, c^{-1} \beta_j\} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{G}_{k_{j+1}}^t x_{j+1}\| > 2^{j+1} + c \beta_j \sum_{s=1}^j |A_s|.$$

Writing $x_{j+1} = \sum_{i \in A_{j+1}} \alpha_i e_i$, we get

$$\gamma_{j+1} := \max_{i \in A_{j+1}} |\alpha_i| \leq c \|x_{j+1}\| \leq \beta_j.$$

Finally,

$$\left\| \mathbf{G}_{k_{j+1} - |A_1| - \dots - |A_j|}^t x_{j+1} \right\| \geq \left\| \mathbf{G}_{k_{j+1}}^t x_{j+1} \right\| - c \sum_{s=1}^j |A_s| \gamma_{j+1} > 2^{j+1}.$$

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose, for any $u \in X$, and any $k \in \mathbf{n}$, we have $\|u - \mathbf{G}_k^t u\| \leq C \|u\|$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and $x \in X$, and show that $\|x - \mathbf{G}_k^t x\| < \varepsilon$ when $k \in \mathbf{n}$ is large enough. The case of a

finitely supported x is trivial. If x has infinite support, find a finitely supported $v \in X$, so that $x' = x - v$ has norm less than $\varepsilon/(2C)$. Let

$$F = \{i \in I : \langle e_i^*, x \rangle \neq \langle e_i^*, x' \rangle\} = \{i \in I : \langle e_i^*, v \rangle \neq 0\}.$$

and

$$G = \{i \in F : \langle e_i^*, x \rangle = 0\}.$$

Perturbing v slightly, we can and do assume that $\langle e_i^*, x' \rangle \neq 0$ whenever $\langle e_i^*, x \rangle \neq 0$.

Let

$$\alpha = \min \left\{ \min_{i \in F} |\langle e_i^*, x' \rangle|, \min_{i \in F \setminus G} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \right\} \text{ and } \alpha' = \min \left\{ t\alpha, \frac{\varepsilon}{2c|F|} \right\}.$$

Further, let $S = \{i \in I : |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \geq \alpha'\}$ (then $F \setminus G \subset S$), and $N = |F| + |S|$. We claim that, for any $k \in \mathbf{n} \cap (N, \infty)$, we have $\|x - \mathbf{G}_k^t x\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Indeed, suppose A is a t -greedy set for x , of cardinality k . Let $\beta = \min_{i \in A} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|$. Then $\sup_{i \notin A} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \leq \beta/t$. As $|A| > |S|$, $\beta < \alpha' \leq t\alpha$. Moreover, for $i \in F \setminus G$, we have $|\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \geq \alpha > \beta/t$, hence $F \setminus G \subset A$.

For $i \in F$, $|\langle e_i^*, x' \rangle| \geq \alpha > \beta/t$, while for $i \in A \setminus F$, $|\langle e_i^*, x' \rangle| = |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \geq \beta$. We noted above that $F \setminus G \subset A$, hence for $i \notin A \cup F = A \cup G$ we have $|\langle e_i^*, x' \rangle| = |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \leq \beta/t$. Thus, $A \cup G$ is a t -greedy set for x' .

Find $B \subset A$ so that $|B| = |G|$ and

$$\max_{i \in B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \leq \min_{i \in A \setminus B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle|.$$

Note that $B \cap S = \emptyset$ (in other words, $\max_{i \in B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| < \alpha'$). Indeed, otherwise $|\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \geq \alpha'$ for any $i \in A \setminus B$, or in other words, $A \setminus B \subset S$. Then

$$|A| = |G| + |A \setminus B| \leq |F| + |S| < |A|,$$

leading to a contradiction.

By Lemma 2.6, $(A \setminus B) \cup G$ is a t -greedy set of x' (of cardinality k). The fact $k \in \mathbf{n}$ implies

$$\|x' - [(A \setminus B) \cup G]x'\| \leq C\|x'\| < C\frac{\varepsilon}{2C} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Note that $x' - [(A \setminus B) \cup G]x' = x - (A \setminus B)x$, hence $\|x - (A \setminus B)x\| < \varepsilon/2$. As shown above, $\max_{i \in B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| < \alpha' \leq \varepsilon/(2c|F|)$. By the triangle inequality,

$$\|x - Ax\| \leq \|x - (A \setminus B)x\| + \sum_{i \in B} |\langle e_i^*, x \rangle| \|e_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |B|c\frac{\varepsilon}{2c|F|} < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

In [1] it was shown that, if a basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of X is such that $\|\mathbf{G}_n x\| \leq \|x\|$ for any $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then this basis is 1-suppression unconditional – that is, for any finite sets $A \subset B$, and any scalars (α_i) , we have $\|\sum_{i \in B} \alpha_i e_i\| \leq \|\sum_{i \in A} \alpha_i e_i\|$. We prove the same for \mathbf{n} -QG bases.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis in an infinite dimensional Banach space X , and moreover, $\|\mathbf{G}_k x\| \leq \|x\|$ for any $k \in \mathbf{n}$, and any $x \in X$. Then (e_i) is 1-suppression unconditional – that is, $\|\sum_{i \in B} \alpha_i e_i\| \leq \|\sum_{i \in A} \alpha_i e_i\|$ whenever $B \subset A$ are finite sets.*

Proof. We proceed along the lines of [1]. We have to show that, whenever x and y have disjoint finite support, we have $\|x + y\| \geq \|x\|$. Write $x = \sum_{i \in A} \alpha_i e_i$, with $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for $i \in A$. If $|A| \in \mathbf{n}$, then the statement follows just as in [1]. Otherwise, find $n \in \mathbf{n}$ so that $n > |A|$, and find a set C , disjoint from both A and B , so that $|C| + |A| = n$. If $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for $i \in C$, then $\|x + \sum_{i \in C} \gamma_i e_i\| \leq \|x + \sum_{i \in C} \gamma_i e_i + y\|$. As the coefficients γ_i can be arbitrarily close to 0, we are done. \square

Remark 2.8. The original result of [1] works in both finite and infinite dimensional setting. In our proof, however, we overcome the sparsity of “good” greedy projections by “borrowing from infinity”.

3. EXAMPLES OF \mathbf{n} -QG BASIS WHICH ARE NOT QUASI-GREEDY

Clearly, any quasi-greedy basis is \mathbf{n} -QG, for any sequence \mathbf{n} . Two examples below show that, in general, the converse fails. Our first example (Proposition 3.1) covers a large family of sequences \mathbf{n} . The second one (Proposition 3.2) works for one specific \mathbf{n} , but produces a basis which is superdemocratic.

We say that a sequence $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 < n_2 < \dots)$ has *arbitrarily large gaps* if $\limsup_k n_{k+1}/n_k = \infty$.

Proposition 3.1. *If a sequence \mathbf{n} has arbitrarily large gaps, then there exists a Banach space X with Schauder basis (e_i) which is \mathbf{n} - t -QG for any $t \in (0, 1]$, but not quasi-greedy.*

Proof. Write $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 < n_2 < \dots)$, and find $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ the sequence $(n_{k_i+1}/n_{k_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ increases without a bound. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$(3.1) \quad c_i = \left(\frac{n_{k_i+1}}{n_{k_i}} \right)^{1/4} \text{ and } m_i = \lfloor \sqrt{n_{k_i+1} n_{k_i}} \rfloor.$$

Let $\tilde{m}_i = \sum_{j < i} m_j$ (so that $\tilde{m}_1 = 0$, and $\tilde{m}_{i+1} = \tilde{m}_i + m_i$ for $i \geq 1$). To define the Banach space X with its basis $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, set, for a finite sequence $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$\left\| \sum_j \alpha_j e_j \right\| = \max \left\{ \left\| (\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_2, \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq m_i} \left| \sum_{j=\tilde{m}_i+1}^{\tilde{m}_i+\ell} \alpha_j \right| \right\},$$

where $\left\| (\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$. Clearly (e_j) is a Schauder basis – in fact, its basis constant equals 1.

However, this basis is not quasi-greedy. Indeed, consider

$$x = x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (-1)^j e_{\tilde{m}_i+j}.$$

Then $\|x\| = \sqrt{m_i}$. Now let $k = \lfloor m_i/2 \rfloor$, then an appropriate choice of a k -greedy set produces

$$\mathbf{G}_k x = \sum_{j=1}^k e_{\tilde{m}_i+2j},$$

and in this case,

$$\|\mathbf{G}_k x\| = \frac{c_i k}{\sqrt{m_i}} \sim c_i \sqrt{m_i}.$$

It remains to show that, for any norm one $x = \sum_j \alpha_j e_j$, any $n \in \mathbf{n}$, and any $t > 0$, we have $\|\mathbf{G}_n^t x\| \leq 2/t$. To this end, suppose S is a t -greedy set for x , of cardinality n . Clearly it suffices to show that, for any i , and any $\ell \in [1, m_i]$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \left| \sum_{j \in [\tilde{m}_i+1, \tilde{m}_i+\ell] \cap S} \alpha_j \right| \leq \frac{2}{t}.$$

To this end, note that, by (3.1),

$$m_i c_i^2 = \left(\frac{n_{k_i+1}}{n_{k_i}} \right)^{1/2} \lfloor \sqrt{n_{k_i+1} n_{k_i}} \rfloor \leq n_{k_i+1} \text{ and } \frac{m_i}{c_i^2} = \lfloor \sqrt{n_{k_i+1} n_{k_i}} \rfloor \left(\frac{n_{k_i}}{n_{k_i+1}} \right)^{1/2} \geq n_{k_i} - 1.$$

Consequently, for any $n \in \mathbf{n}$, and any i either $n \geq m_i c_i^2$, or $n \leq m_i / c_i^2 + 1$.

If $n \leq m_i / c_i^2 + 1$, then, by Hölder Inequality,

$$\frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \sum_{j \in [\tilde{m}_i+1, \tilde{m}_i+m_i] \cap S} |\alpha_j| \leq \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \sqrt{|S|} \left(\sum_{j \in S} |\alpha_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \sqrt{n} \leq 2,$$

giving us (3.2).

Next suppose $n \geq m_i c_i^2$. Let $\alpha = \min_{j \in S} |\alpha_j|$, then

$$1 = \|x\| \geq \left(\sum_{j \in S} |\alpha_j|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

which implies $\alpha \leq n^{-1/2}$. For $1 \leq \ell \leq m_i$,

$$\frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \left| \sum_{j \in [\tilde{m}_i+1, \tilde{m}_i+\ell]} \alpha_j \right| \leq \|x\| = 1.$$

For $j \notin S$, we have $|\alpha_j| \leq \alpha/t \leq n^{-1/2} t^{-1}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \left| \sum_{j \in [\tilde{m}_i+1, \tilde{m}_i+\ell] \cap S} \alpha_j \right| &\leq \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \left(\left| \sum_{j \in [\tilde{m}_i+1, \tilde{m}_i+\ell]} \alpha_j \right| + \sum_{j \in [\tilde{m}_i+1, \tilde{m}_i+\ell] \cap S^c} |\alpha_j| \right) \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \cdot m_i \frac{1}{t\sqrt{n}} = 1 + \frac{1}{t} \frac{c_i \sqrt{m_i}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{2}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

establishing (3.2). \square

Recall that a basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is called *superdemocratic* if $\|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A}\|$ depends (up to a constant) only on the cardinality of the finite set A , but not on its composition, or on the choice of signs ε : more precisely, there exists a constant K so that the inequality $\|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq K \|\mathbf{1}_{\delta B}\|$ holds for any $\delta_i, \varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, and any $A, B \subset I$ of equal cardinality). In particular, any superdemocratic basis is unconditional with constant coefficients (UCC): there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ so that, for any finite set $A \subset I$, and any $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, we have

$$C^{-1} \left\| \sum_i \varepsilon_i e_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_i e_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_i \varepsilon_i e_i \right\|.$$

It is well-known that any quasi-greedy basis is UCC.

Proposition 3.2. *There exist a sequence \mathbf{n} , and a superdemocratic Schauder basis (e_i) in a separable Banach space X , which is \mathbf{n} - t -QG for any t , but not quasi-greedy.*

Proof. Find the sequences $n_1 < n_2 < \dots, N_1 < N_2 < \dots$, and $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \dots > 0$ so that:

- (1) For every k , $n_k \geq \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{2N_j+1}$.
- (2) For every k , $\gamma_{k+1} \leq (\log n_k + 1)^{-1}$ (hence $\lim_k \gamma_k = 0$).
- (3) $\limsup_k N_k \gamma_k = \infty$.

For instance, we can take $n_k = 8^{N_k}$, $\gamma_k = 1/\sqrt{N_k}$, $N_{k+1} = (4N_k)^2$.

For the construction we recall some notation of [2]. Denote by \mathcal{D}_k the set of dyadic subintervals of $[0, 1]$ of length 2^{-k} , and $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_k$. Denote by \mathfrak{f} the completion of $c_{00}(\mathcal{D})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|(\alpha_I)_{I \in \mathcal{D}}\|_{\mathfrak{f}} = \left\| \bigvee_I |\alpha_I| |I|^{-1} \chi_I \right\|_{L_1}.$$

As noted in [2], the canonical basis of the space \mathfrak{f} is unconditional, and the inequality $c_1 |A| \leq \|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A}\|_{\mathfrak{f}} \leq |A|$ holds for any finite set A (c_1 is an absolute constant). Consequently, there

exists an absolute constant $c_2 \geq 1$ so that the inequality $c_2^{-1} \|(\alpha_I)_I\|_{\ell_{1\infty}} \leq \|(\alpha_I)_I\|_f$ holds for any finite set (α_I) .

Now for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, introduce a new order on $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$:

$$1 \prec_n n+1 \prec_n 2 \prec_n n+2 \prec_n \dots \prec_n n \prec_n 2n.$$

This gives rise to an order on $S_n = \cup_{k=1}^{2n} \mathcal{D}_k$: we say that $I \prec_n J$ if either (i) $I \in \mathcal{D}_k, J \in \mathcal{D}_\ell, k \prec_n \ell$, or (ii) $I, J \in \mathcal{D}_k$, and I is “to the left” of J . For $(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_n}$ define its summing norm:

$$\|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_n}\|_{s_n} = \max_{J \in S_n} \left| \sum_{I \prec_n J} \alpha_I \right|.$$

Finally, set

$$\|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_n}\|_{\max_n} = \max \left\{ \|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_n}\|_f, \gamma_n \|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_n}\|_{s_n} \right\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let X_k be the space of $(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_{N_k}}$, equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\max_{N_k}} = \|\cdot\|_k$ described above (note that $\dim X_k = |S_{N_k}| = 2^{2N_k+1} - 2$). Let $X = (\sum_k X_k)_1$, with the basis obtained by concatenating the canonical bases of the summands X_k . We claim that this basis is (i) superdemocratic, (ii) not QG, and (iii) **n**-QG, with $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$.

(i) X is superdemocratic – in fact, for any A we have $\|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A}\| \sim |A|$. Indeed, we can write $A = \cup_k A_k$, where $A_k = A \cap S_k$. Then $\|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A}\| = \sum_k \|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon A_k}\|$. Clearly $\|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon B}\|_{s_{N_k}} \leq |B|$. As observed in [2], $\|\mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon B}\|_f \sim |B|$.

(ii) The proof that X is not QG is essentially due to [2], with minor changes. We sketch an argument for the sake of completeness. We only need to find a sequence (x_k) , and the corresponding greedy sets A_k , so that $\sup_k \|x_k\| < \infty$, while $\sup_k \|A_k x_k\| = \infty$. Consider

$$x_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} 2^{-i} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_i} - \sum_{i=N_k+1}^{2N_k} 2^{-i} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_i}.$$

As in [2] we verify that $\|x_k\|_k \sim 1$. Further, note that $A_k = \cup_{i=1}^{N_k} \mathcal{D}_i$ is a greedy set of A_k , and

$$\|A_k x_k\|_k \geq \gamma_k \|A_k x_k\|_{s_{N_k}} = N_k \gamma_k.$$

Now recall that $\lim_k N_k \gamma_k = \infty$.

(iii) Fix a norm one $x = (x_j) \in X$ ($x_j \in X_j$). We have to show that, for any k , $\|Ax\| \leq \kappa/t$ if A is a t -greedy set for x with $|A| = n_k$ (κ is an absolute constant).

As noted above, for any $(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_k}$ we have

$$c_2^{-1} \|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_k}\|_{\ell_{1\infty}} \leq \|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_k}\|_k \leq \|(\alpha_I)_{I \in S_k}\|_{\ell_1}.$$

Consequently, if we express $x \in X$ in its canonical basis, we obtain

$$c_2^{-1} \|x\|_{\ell_{1\infty}} \leq \|x\| \leq \|x\|_{\ell_1}.$$

Let $A_j = S_j \cap A$. Write $x = (\alpha_{I,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}, I \in S_k}$. Let $\alpha = \min_{(I,k) \in A} |\alpha_{I,k}|$, then $\alpha \leq \|x\|_{1\infty}/n_k \leq c_2/n_k$. Further, for $(I,k) \notin A$, $|\alpha_{I,k}| \leq \alpha/t$.

For $j \leq k$,

$$\|A_j x_j\|_j \leq \|x_j\|_j + \frac{\alpha}{t} |A_j^c| \leq \|x_j\|_j + \frac{c_2}{tn_k} |A_j^c| \leq \|x_j\|_j + \frac{c_2}{tn_k} 2^{2N_j+1}.$$

For $j > k$,

$$\|A_j x_j\|_j = \max \left\{ \|A_j x_j\|_f, \gamma_j \|A_j x_j\|_{s_j} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\|A_j x_j\|_{s_j} \leq \|A_j x_j\|_1 \leq (\log |A_j| + 1) \|A_j x_j\|_{1\infty}.$$

Recall that, for $j > k$,

$$\gamma_j \leq \gamma_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{\log n_k + 1} \leq \frac{1}{\log |A_j| + 1},$$

hence

$$\|A_j x_j\|_j \leq \max\{\|A_j x_j\|_f, \|A_j x_j\|_{1^\infty}\} \leq c_2 \|A_j x_j\|_f.$$

By the unconditionality of $\|\cdot\|_f$,

$$\|A_j x_j\|_f \leq c_3 \|x_j\|_f \leq c_3 \|x_j\|_j,$$

for some universal constant $c_3 \geq 1$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\| &= \sum_{j \leq k} \|A_j x_j\|_j + \sum_{j > k} \|A_j x_j\|_j \leq \sum_{j \leq k} \left(\|x_j\|_j + \frac{c_2}{tn_k} 2^{2N_j+1} \right) + c_2 c_3 \sum_{j > k} \|x_j\|_j \\ &\leq c_2 c_3 \sum_j \|x_j\|_j + \frac{c_2}{tn_k} \sum_{j \leq k} 2^{2N_j+1} \leq c_2 c_3 + \frac{c_2}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{j \leq k} 2^{2N_j+1} \leq n_k$. We have shown that $\|\mathbf{G}_{n_k}^t x\| \leq 2c_2 c_3/t$ for any $x \in \mathbf{B}(X)$. \square

4. BASES IN \mathbb{N}

In the preceding section we gave examples of sequences \mathbf{n} “with large gaps” for which there exist non-quasi-greedy \mathbf{n} -QG bases. In this section we show that, if the gaps of a sequence $\mathbf{n} \subset \mathbb{N}$ are “sufficiently small”, then any \mathbf{n} -QG basis must necessarily be quasi-greedy (Proposition 4.1).

Recall some notation from additive combinatorics: for $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, kS stands for the set of numbers $s_1 + \dots + s_k$ ($s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$ need not be distinct). We set $k * S = \cup_{\ell=1}^k \ell S$. A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is called an *asymptotic basis* if there exist $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\{m, m+1, \dots\} \subset k * S$. We refer the reader to [8], [11], or [14] for information on bases in \mathbb{N} .

Numerous examples of asymptotic bases are known, for instance:

- The set of primes [14].
- Any set S of positive lower asymptotic density provided $\gcd\{|a-b| : a, b \in S\} = 1$ [10].
- $\{i^r : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (Hilbert’s solution to Waring’s Problem).
- $\{p(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where p is an integer-valued polynomial with the property that no $d \geq 2$ divides $p(i)$ for any i . This is due to Kamke; we refer to [7] for the precise references, and more information.
- $\{\lfloor f(i) \rfloor : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a basis, if f belongs to a Hardy field, increases no faster than a polynomial, and is “far” from a rational function [3].

Motivated by this, we say that $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a *crude basis* if there exist $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $[im, (i+1)m] \cap k * S \neq \emptyset$. Crude bases are “stable under finite perturbations”: it is easy to see that, if S is a crude basis, and F is a finite subset of S , then $S \setminus F$ is a crude basis as well.

It follows from [3, Lemma 2.3] that, if S is a crude basis, then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ so that every element of S is divisible by d , and S/d is an asymptotic basis; moreover, $S \cup \{a\}$ is an asymptotic basis whenever a is co-prime with d . In particular, any subset of \mathbb{N} with positive lower asymptotic density is a crude basis (this can also be deduced directly from [10]). Further (see e.g. [7]), $\{p(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a crude basis whenever p is an integer-valued polynomial.

Proposition 4.1. *If an increasing sequence \mathbf{n} forms a crude basis in \mathbb{N} , then any \mathbf{n} - t -QG basis is actually t -QG.*

The following lemma allows us to “concatenate” greedy sets.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose A is a t -greedy set for $x \in X$, and $n_1 + \dots + n_k = |A|$. Then we can represent A as a disjoint union $A = \cup_{s=1}^k A_s$, where, for every s , the set A_s has cardinality n_s , and is a t -greedy set for $(\cup_{j < s} A_j)^c x$.*

Proof. For $s \leq k - 1$, let A_s be a greedy set of $(A \setminus (\cup_{j < s} A_j))x$, of cardinality n_s . Then $A_k = A \setminus (\cup_{j < k} A_j)$ has cardinality n_k . From the construction it easily follows that A_s is a t -greedy set for $(\cup_{j < s} A_j)^c x$ for any s . \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Suppose a basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is \mathbf{n} - t -QG for some sequence $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 < n_2 < \dots)$. Equivalently, there exists $C \geq 1$ so that $\|A^c x\| \leq C\|x\|$ whenever A is a t -greedy set for $x \in X$, and $|A| \in \mathbf{n}$ (Theorem 2.1).

Find k and m so that $S = k * \mathbf{n}$ meets $[im, (i + 1)m]$ for any i . Then $\|A^c x\| \leq C^k \|x\|$ whenever A is a t -greedy set for x , and $|A| \in k * \mathbf{n}$. Indeed, by Lemma 4.2, we can find disjoint sets A_1, \dots, A_ℓ ($\ell \leq k$) so that (i) $|A_s| \in \mathbf{n}$ for every s , and (ii) A_s is a t -greedy set for $(\cup_{j < s} A_j)^c x$. Then

$$A^c x = A_\ell^c (A_{\ell-1}^c (\dots (A_2^c (A_1^c x)) \dots)),$$

hence

$$\|A^c x\| \leq C \|A_{\ell-1}^c (\dots (A_2^c (A_1^c x)) \dots)\| \leq \dots \leq C^{\ell-1} \|A_1^c x\| \leq C^\ell \|x\|.$$

Now suppose B is a t -greedy set for $x \in X$. Find $n \in k * \mathbf{n}$ so that $r = \|B\| - n \leq m$. By Lemma 2.4, we can find a t -greedy set A of cardinality n , so that $|A \Delta B| \leq m$. Further,

$$\|B^c x\| \leq \|A^c x\| + |A \Delta B| \sup_{i \in I} \|e_i\| \|e_i^*\| \|x\| \leq K \|x\|,$$

where $K = C^k + m \sup_{i \in I} \|e_i\| \|e_i^*\|$. By [18] (cf. Theorem 2.1), the basis (e_i) is t -QG. \square

5. SOME CLASSICAL BASES ARE NOT \mathbf{n} -QG

It is known that the Haar basis in L_1 , and the trigonometric basis in L_p ($p \neq 2$), are not QG. Below we show there is no sequence \mathbf{n} making them \mathbf{n} -QG.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose \mathbf{n} is a sequence of positive integers.*

- (1) *The normalized Haar basis in $L_1(0, 1)$ is not \mathbf{n} -QG.*
- (2) *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, and the weight w on the torus $\mathbb{T} = (0, 2\pi)$ is such that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} w(t) dt$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}} w(t)^{-1/(p-1)} dt$ are finite. If the trigonometric basis in $L_p(w)$ is \mathbf{n} -QG, then $p = 2$, and w is equivalent to a constant function – that is, there exists $C \geq 1$ so that $C^{-1} \leq w \leq C$ almost everywhere.*

In (2), we equip $L_p(w)$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p,w} = \left(\int |f(t)|^p w(t) dt \right)^{1/p},$$

and consider the trigonometric basis $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (here $e_j(\omega) = \exp(itj)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T} = (0, 2\pi)$, $\iota = \sqrt{-1}$). The biorthogonal system consists of functions $e_j^* = w^{-1} e_j / (2\pi)$. The integrability of w and of $w^{-1/(p-1)}$ guarantees that e_j and e_j^* belong to $L_p(w)$ and $L_q(w)$ ($1/p + 1/q = 1$), respectively. Note that basis (e_j) need not be Schauder – by [12], it is a Schauder basis in $L_p(w)$ iff w belongs to the class A_p .

The rest of the section is devoted to proving Proposition 5.1. First note a property of \mathbf{n} -QG bases, related to unconditionality with constant coefficients.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose a basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is \mathbf{n} -QG. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ with the property that, for any $n \in \mathbf{n}$, and any sets $A, B \subset I$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $|A| = |B| = n$, we have $C^{-1} \|\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_B\| \leq \|\mathbf{1}_A + \mathbf{1}_B\| \leq C \|\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_B\|$.*

Proof of Proposition 5.1(1). Consider $h_j = 2^{j-1}(\mathbf{1}_{(0,2^{-j})} - \mathbf{1}_{(2^{-j},2^{1-j})})$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$). For $n \in \mathbf{n}$ set $x = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} h_k$. It is easy to check that $\|x\| \sim 2$. One greedy projection maps x to $y = \sum_{k=1}^n h_{2k}$, and one can show $\|y\| \sim n/2$. By Theorem 2.1, the basis of normalized Haar functions cannot be \mathbf{n} -QG. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.1(2). The proof follows the lines of [12]. We can and do assume that all the members of the sequence \mathbf{n} are even. Indeed, for a sequence $\mathbf{n} = (n_1 < n_2 < \dots)$, define $\mathbf{n}' = (n'_1 < n'_2 < \dots)$, where $n'_k = n_k$ if n_k is even, $n'_k = n_k + 1$ otherwise (with duplications eliminated). By the results from Section 2, a basis is \mathbf{n} -QG iff it is \mathbf{n}' -QG.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (later, we take N to be even) consider the Dirichlet kernel

$$D_N(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e_j(t) = \frac{1 - \exp(\iota Nt)}{1 - \exp(\iota t)},$$

then

$$|D_N(t)|^2 = \frac{1 - \cos(Nt)}{1 - \cos t} = \frac{\sin^2(Nt/2)}{\sin^2(t/2)}.$$

We also need a ‘‘shifted version’’: for $u \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$D_{N,u}(t) = D_N(t - u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-\iota ju} e_j(t).$$

If N is even, denote by \mathcal{S} the set of subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ with cardinality $N/2$. For a function $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we can define its average value:

$$\text{Ave}_A f(A) = \binom{N}{N/2}^{-1} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{S}} f(A).$$

For $A \in \mathcal{S}$, let

$$D_{N,u,A}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon_j e_j(t - u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon_j e^{-\iota ju} e_j(t), \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_j = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in A \\ -1 & j \notin A \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 5.2 shows that, if the trigonometric basis is \mathbf{n} -QG, then there exists $C > 0$ so that

$$(5.1) \quad C^{-1} \leq \inf_{u \in \mathbb{T}, N/2 \in \mathbf{n}} \frac{\|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w}}{\text{Ave}_A \|D_{N,u,A}\|_{p,w}} \leq \sup_{u \in \mathbb{T}, N/2 \in \mathbf{n}} \frac{\|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w}}{\text{Ave}_A \|D_{N,u,A}\|_{p,w}} \leq C.$$

First estimate the denominator:

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant C , which depends on w , but not on u or N , so that $C^{-1} \sqrt{N} \leq \text{Ave}_A \|D_{N,u,A}\|_{p,w} \leq C \sqrt{N}$.*

Proof. We make use of the restricted Khintchine inequality: for complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_N ,

$$\text{Ave}_A \left| \sum_{j \in A} a_j - \sum_{j \notin A} a_j \right|^p \sim \left(\sum_j |a_j|^2 - N^{-1} \left| \sum_j a_j \right|^2 \right)^{p/2}.$$

This inequality was established in [13] for real numbers. The complex case can be handled similarly.

It follows that

$$\text{Ave}_A \|D_{N,u,A}\|_{p,w}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \text{Ave}_A \left| \sum_j \varepsilon_j e_j(t-u) \right|^p w(t) dt \sim \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(N - \frac{1 - \cos N(t-u)}{N(1 - \cos(t-u))} \right)^{p/2} w(t) dt.$$

Clearly the right hand side doesn't exceed $N^{p/2} \int w(t) dt \sim N^{p/2}$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{1 - \cos Ns}{1 - \cos s} \leq 4 \quad \text{when } s \notin \left[0, \frac{\pi}{6}\right] \cup \left(\frac{5\pi}{6}, 2\pi\right].$$

Thus, for $N \geq 8$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(N - \frac{1 - \cos N(t-u)}{N(1 - \cos(t-u))} \right)^{p/2} w(t) dt \geq \frac{N^{p/2}}{2} \int_{|t-u| \geq \pi/6} w(t) dt \geq cN^{p/2},$$

where c is a constant (depending only on w). \square

Now recall some facts from classical harmonic analysis. Suppose 0 is a Lebesgue point of a non-negative $g \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$. Then (see e.g. [9, Section 1.3]):

- (1) The sequence of functions $\left(\frac{\sin(Nt/2)}{\sqrt{N} \sin(t/2)} \right)^2$ is an approximate identity, hence

$$\lim_N \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\sin(Nt/2)}{\sqrt{N} \sin(t/2)} \right)^2 g(t) dt = g(0).$$

From this it easily follows that:

- (2) For $p < 2$,

$$\lim_N \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\sin(Nt/2)}{\sqrt{N} \sin(t/2)} \right)^p g(t) dt = 0.$$

- (3) For $p > 2$,

$$\lim_N \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{\sin(Nt/2)}{\sqrt{N} \sin(t/2)} \right)^p g(t) dt = \infty$$

provided $g(0) \neq 0$.

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that the trigonometric system is **n**-QG. Combining (5.1) with Lemma 5.3, we conclude the existence of $K > 0$ so that the inequality $K^{-1}\sqrt{N} \leq \|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w} \leq K\sqrt{N}$ holds for any $u \in \mathbb{T}$, and for any N so that $N/2 \in \mathbf{n}$. Applying the three limit statements above to $w(\cdot + u)$ instead of $g(\cdot)$, and recalling that almost every $u \in \mathbb{T}$ is a Lebesgue point of w , we conclude:

- (1) If $p = 2$, then, whenever u is a Lebesgue point for w , we have

$$K^{-2} \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, N/2 \in \mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{N} \|D_{N,u}\|_{2,w}^2 = w(u) \leq K^2.$$

Therefore, w is equivalent to a constant.

- (2) If $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, N/2 \in \mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{N^{p/2}} \|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w}^p = 0$$

if u is a Lebesgue point of w . This contradicts $K\sqrt{N} \leq \|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w}$.

(3) Similarly, for $p > 2$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, N/2 \in \mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{N^{p/2}} \|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w}^p = \infty$$

whenever u is a Lebesgue point with $w(u) > 0$, contradicting $\|D_{N,u}\|_{p,w} \leq K\sqrt{N}$. \square

6. QUESTIONS

Question 6.1. Section 3 contains examples of non-quasi-greedy bases, which are \mathbf{n} -QG bases for some “very lacunary” sequences \mathbf{n} . Do we have similar examples featuring sequences \mathbf{n} with smaller (but still large enough – see Section 4) gaps? Can we have \mathbf{n} increase exponentially, or even slower?

Question 6.2. Per [4], $C[0, 1]$ has no quasi-greedy bases. Can $C[0, 1]$ have a \mathbf{n} -QG basis?

Question 6.3. Per [6], $L_1(0, 1)$ has no uniformly bounded quasi-greedy Markushevich basis. Can $L_1(0, 1)$ have a uniformly bounded \mathbf{n} -QG basis?

Question 6.4. Suppose $0 < t < s \leq 1$. From the definition, any \mathbf{n} - t -QG basis is also \mathbf{n} - s -QG. Under what conditions is the converse implication true? In particular, is every \mathbf{n} -QG basis necessarily \mathbf{n} - t -QG for any $t \in (0, 1]$?

Question 6.5. Can an “adaptive” placement of gaps offer an advantage? More specifically: for a basis $(e_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$, and $x \in X$, can we find a sequence $\mathbf{n}(x) = (n_1(x) < n_2(x) < \dots) \subset \mathbb{N}$ so that the sequence $\lim_k \mathbf{G}_{n_k(x)} x = x$? If yes, can the selection of such a sequence be accomplished in computationally simple manner?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We wish to thank P. Berna, D. Kutzarova, and K. Ford for many informative and stimulating conversations, and the anonymous referees for expressing numerous suggestions which helped to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and J. L. Ansorena. Characterization of 1-quasi-greedy bases. *J. Approx. Theory* 201 (2016), 7–12.
- [2] P. Berna, O. Blasco, and G. Garrigos. Lebesgue inequalities for the greedy algorithm in general bases. *Revista Mat. Complut.* 30 (2017), 369–392.
- [3] T. H. Chan, A. V. Kumchev, and M. Wierdl. Additive bases arising from functions in a Hardy field. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 129 (2010), 263–276.
- [4] S. Dilworth, N. Kalton, and D. Kutzarova. On the existence of almost greedy bases in Banach spaces. *Studia Math.* 15 (2003), 67–101.
- [5] S. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, and T. Oikhberg. Lebesgue constants for the weak greedy algorithm. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 28 (2015), 393–409.
- [6] S. Dilworth, M. Soto-Bajo, and V. Temlyakov. Quasi-greedy bases and Lebesgue-type inequalities. *Studia Math.* 211 (2012), 41–69.
- [7] K. Ford. Waring’s problem with polynomial summands. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 61 (2000), 671–680.
- [8] H. Halberstam and K. Roth. *Sequences*. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983.
- [9] Y. Katznelson. *An introduction to harmonic analysis*. Dover, New York, 1976.
- [10] J. Nash and M. Nathanson. Cofinite subsets of asymptotic bases for the positive integers. *J. Number Theory* 20 (1985), 363–372.
- [11] M. Nathanson. *Additive number theory. The classical bases*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [12] M. Nielsen. Trigonometric quasi-greedy bases for $L^p(T, w)$. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 39 (2009), 1267–1278.
- [13] S. Spektor. Restricted Khinchine Inequality. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 59 (2016), 204–210.
- [14] T. Tao and V. Vu. *Additive Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [15] V. Temlyakov. Greedy approximation. *Acta Numer.* 17 (2008), 235–409.
- [16] V. Temlyakov. *Greedy approximation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

- [17] V. Temlyakov. Sparse approximation with bases. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2015.
- [18] P. Wojtaszczyk. Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal systems. *J. Approx. Theory* 107 (2000), 293–314.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: `oikhberg@illinois.edu`