

COS, Day 9

Last Time Euler sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and its dual}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma If $V = W \oplus W'$ as k -vector spaces, then $\Lambda_K^n(V) \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^n \Lambda_K^{n-r}(W) \otimes \Lambda_K^r(W')$.

Better Functoriality gives $\Lambda_K^n(W) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_K^n(V)$ whenever $W \subseteq V$.

Claim Quotient $\Lambda_K^n(V) / \Lambda_K^n(W) \cong \Lambda_K^{n-1}(W) \otimes V/W$.

Iterate Gives filtration

$$F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_n \subseteq \Lambda_K^n(V)$$

with each $F_l / F_{l-1} \cong \Lambda_K^{n-l}(W) \otimes \Lambda_K^l(V/W)$.

Want to apply fiberwise to Euler sequence.

For each j , gives exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^j \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^j \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j-1} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0$$

\parallel $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^j$ \parallel $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{j-1}$

$$j=1 \quad H^0(\Omega^1) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(\Omega^1) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1}) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega^1) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow H^1(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \simeq k, \quad H^i(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1,$$

Next, $j=2$

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\Omega^2) \rightarrow H^0(\Lambda^2(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1})) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega^1) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\Omega^2) \rightarrow H^1(\Lambda^2(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1})) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega^1) \\ \rightarrow H^2(\Omega^2) \rightarrow H^2(\Lambda^2(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1})) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

Rank $\Lambda^j(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1}) = \binom{n+1}{j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$.

So all $H^i(\Lambda^j(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1})) = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, $(i,j) \neq (0,0)$.

In general get

$$H^{i-1}(\Omega^{j-1}) \rightarrow H^i(\Omega^j) \rightarrow H^i(\Lambda^j(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{n+1})) \rightarrow H^i(\Omega^{j-1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

By induction on j , get

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ k & 0 \leq i = j \leq n \end{cases}$$

Hodge Numbers of a variety X (projective, or at least proper) are

$$h^{i,j}(X) = \dim_k (H^j(X, \Omega^i)).$$

Aside

Thm Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a closed subscheme, of a
coherent sheaf on X . Then
 $\dim_k H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.

PF sketch

- (1) Use Čech complex to see that
 $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F})$ for all $i \geq 0$.
- (2) Use that every coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n
is of the form $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$ for some
 $M \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ -mod_{gr}
(e.g. graded modules).
- (3) Use that every $M \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ -mod_{gr}
has a finite, graded-free resolution,
[Hilbert Syzygy Theorem]
- (4) Conclude that every $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^n)$
has a finite resolution by locally
free sheaves $\bigoplus \mathcal{O}(n_j)$.
- (5) Use an induction, plus corresponding
fact for $H^i(\mathcal{O}(n_j))$, to prove it for
all $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$.

Remark

Grothendieck proved w/ "projective" replaced
by "proper", but proof very different.

Ext

For (X, \mathcal{O}_X) a ringed space, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$,
define $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}, -)$ to be right derived
functors of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, -)$.

Fact If X is a locally noetherian scheme,
then injective objects of $\text{Qcoh}(X)$ are the
same as injective objects of $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$
that are quasicoherent as sheaves.

Pf. Hartshorne, Residues and Duality,
Thm 7.18. □

Fact If X is a scheme, $\text{Qcoh}(X)$
has enough injectives.

Pf. Enochs & Estrada, 2005.

Conclusion The two types of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}, -)$ for
 $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Qcoh}(X)$, computed in either $\text{Qcoh}(X)$
or $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$, agree for $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Qcoh}(X)$.

Prop If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact
sequence in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$, get a long exact sequence
 $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}'', \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G})$

$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{i+1}(\mathcal{F}'', \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \dots$

Pf. Use injective resolution $g \rightarrow I^\bullet$ and compute complexes. \square

Thus if $L_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a locally free resolution of \mathcal{F} , can in principle compute $\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, g)$ in terms of $\text{Ext}_X^j(L_\ell, \mathcal{F})$ for the various j, ℓ .

Prop Let L be locally free of finite rank, $L^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L, \mathcal{O}_X)$ its dual. Then for any $\mathcal{F}, g \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$,

$$\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes L, g) \cong \text{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, L^\vee \otimes g).$$

Pf. For $i=0$, clear. (?) Then both sides are \mathcal{O} -modules in g for fixed \mathcal{F}, L , both vanish for $i>0$ when g is injective, follows from general nonsense. \square

Serre Duality, Part I $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$ k a field. Then

(i) $H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^n) \cong k$. Fix such an isomorphism.

(ii) For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_k^n , get perfect pairing

$$\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n) \times \text{Ext}^{n-i}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^n) \cong k$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.

It's functorial in \mathcal{F} , as well.

First show (i) for $i=0$, i.e.

$$\text{Ext}^0(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) \times H^n(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \quad H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^n) \cong \mathbb{K}$$

$$\parallel$$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n)$$

perfect. Clear what pairing should be:

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = H^n(u)(\varphi) \in H^n(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^n).$$

Clearly nondegenerate for $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_i)$.

For arbitrary \mathcal{F} , write $\mathcal{F} \cong \text{coker} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}(e_j) \right)$.

Get exact sequences $H^n \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_i) \right) \rightarrow H^n \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}(e_j) \right) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}(e_j), \Omega^n \right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_i), \Omega^n \right)$$

Dualizing second and using pairings, get

$$H^n \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_i) \right) \rightarrow H^n \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}(e_j) \right) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_i), \Omega^n \right) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{O}(e_j), \Omega^n \right) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^n(\mathcal{F}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \searrow & & \\ \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^n(\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

get $H^n(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n)^\vee$ as desired.

Now, show (ii) for all i . Use that each of

$H^{n-i}(\mathcal{F})^\vee$, $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n)$ is a contravariant δ -functor with same $i=0$ term. By a result of Grothendieck, enough to show both are

coefficientable, i.e. for any \mathcal{F} , exists $g \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with
 u inducing trivial ^(zero) map

$$\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \Omega^n) \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}^i(u, 1)} \text{Ext}^i(g, \Omega^n)$$

for $i > 0$.

For any \mathcal{F} , find $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{O}(d_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$

with $d_i \leq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^i(\bigoplus \mathcal{O}(d_j), \Omega^n) &= \bigoplus \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}(d_j), \Omega^n) \\ &= \bigoplus H^i(\Omega^n(d_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\Omega^n \cong \mathcal{O}(-n-1)$. So these all vanish for $i > 0$.

Similarly used $g \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{F}$ with

$$H^{n-i}(u)^* = H^{n-i}(\mathcal{F})^* \rightarrow H^{n-i}(g)^*$$

zero for $i > 0$. Clear that same g will do! \square

What about Serre Duality for other closed $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$?

Have ~~$\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O})$~~

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{n-i}(i_* \mathcal{F}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n) &\cong H^{n-i}(i_* \mathcal{F})^* \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O})^* \\ &\cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F})^* \end{aligned}$$

Suppose i_* has a right adjoint! Then we should, writing $i^!$ for it, get
 LHS $\cong \text{Ext}_X^{n-i}(\mathcal{F}, i^! \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n)$.

Fact $i^!$ does exist, as long as we are willing to work with derived categories, and

$$i^! \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n \otimes \Lambda^d(I_X/I_X^2)^\vee[-d]$$

where $d = \text{codim}(X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$ and

$\Lambda^d(I_X/I_X^2)^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_X}^d(I_X/I_X^2), \mathcal{O}_X)$,
provided X is, for example, a local complete intersection. For example if X is smooth,
 $i^! \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n = \Omega_X^{n-d}[-d]$.

Then Serre Duality on X becomes

$$\text{Ext}_X^{D-1}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_X^D) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee \text{ if } D = \dim X.$$

More generally,

$$i^! \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n = \otimes \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^d(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n)[-d]$$

for $d = \text{codim}(X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$.

Computing this, or perhaps the dualizing sheaf

$$\omega_X = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^d(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n),$$

is challenging in general. Even after decades, there are things not well understood.