

1. Three proofs

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let (f_n) be the Cauchy sequence constructed for $b = \frac{1}{2}$. Then there is no continuous function such that $d_1 - \lim_n f_n = f$.*

PROOF. Assume $d_1 - \lim_n f_n = f$ with continuous. We will give three proofs for $f(t) = 1$ for $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$ and $f(t) = 0$ for $\frac{1}{2} < t < 1$. This is of course impossible for a continuous function f . The start of these three proof is the same. Let us assume that $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$ and $f(t) \neq 1$. Let us assume $f(t) > 1$. We define $\varepsilon = \frac{f(t)-1}{2}$. By continuity we may find $\delta > 0$ such that $t + \delta < 1$ and $t - \delta > 0$ and

$$f(s) > 1 + \varepsilon$$

for all $|t - s| \leq \delta$.

Proof 1. We choose $n > n_0$ such that $f_n(s) = 1$ on $I = [t - \delta, t + \delta]$ and

$$d_1(f - f_n, 0) < \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2}$$

for all $n > n_0$. We apply the Chebychev's inequality for $\lambda = \varepsilon$ and $\gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and find intervals J_1, \dots, J_m such that

$$\{s \in [0, 1] : |f(s) - f_n(s)| > \varepsilon\} \subset J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_m$$

and

$$\sum_k |J_k| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - 2\gamma} \int_0^1 |f_n(s) - f(s)| ds \leq \frac{3}{\varepsilon} d_1(f_n, f) < .$$

Therefore the interval I is contained in

$$I \subset J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_m$$

By renumbering the intervals J_k we may assume that there are $a_k \in [t - \delta, t + \delta]$ such that $a_0 = t - \delta$, $a_{m+1} = t + \delta$ and

$$[a_{k-1}, a_k] \subset J_k$$

This yields

$$2\delta = |I| \leq \sum_k |J_k| \leq \frac{3}{\varepsilon} d_1(f_n, f) < 3\frac{\delta}{3} = \delta.$$

This concludes the second proof. ■

Proof 2. Modifying the proof of Proposition

reflimit1, we know that for a suitable subsequence f_{n_k} converges to a function f a.e. Therefore $f_{n_k}1_{[t-\delta, t+\delta]}$ converges a.e. to $f1_{[t-\delta, t+\delta]}$. This means that there exists a set A of measure 0 such that for all $s \in [t - \delta, t + \delta] \setminus A$ we have

$$f(s) = \lim_k f_{n_k}(s) = 1.$$

So it suffices to show that I is not contained in A ! Let us assume $I \subset A$. Then we find intervals J_k such

$$A \subset \bigcup_k J_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k |J_k| < \frac{\delta}{3}.$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J_k = [a_k, b_k]$ we define $\varepsilon_k = 2^{-k} \frac{\delta}{6}$ and

$$J'_k = (a_k - \varepsilon_k, a_k + \varepsilon_k).$$

Then

$$I \subset A \subset \bigcup_k J'_k$$

We will learn in the next section that I is compact and hence there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$I \subset J'_1 \cup \dots \cup J'_m$$

By Proof 2 we deduce that

$$2\delta = |I| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |J'_k| \leq \sum_k (|J_k| + 2 \cdot 2^{-k} \frac{\delta}{6}) = \sum_k |J_k| + \frac{\delta}{3} = \frac{2}{3}\delta.$$

This contradiction concludes the proof. ■

Here is the easy proof.

Proof 3. We define the map

$$I_\delta(g) = \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} g(s) ds$$

By the definition of the Riemann integral we know that

$$|I_\delta(g)| \leq \left| \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} g(s) - h(s) ds \right| \leq \int_0^1 |g(s) - h(s)| ds = d_1(g, h).$$

Thus we may choose n_0 such that for all $n > n_0$

$$|I_\delta(f - f_n)| \leq \varepsilon \delta$$

Then we may choose also $n > n_0$ such that $f_n(s) = 1$ for all $t - \delta < s < t + \delta$. Then we see that

$$2\varepsilon\delta \leq \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} (f(s) - 1)ds \leq |I(f - f_n)| < \varepsilon\delta$$

This contradiction concludes the proof. ■

We are done. ■

REMARK 1.2. *We proved an important fact here: If*

$$I \subset \bigcup_k J_k$$

then

$$|I| \leq \sum_k |J_k|.$$

This is the beginning of measure theory. Moreover, we showed that if (f_{n_k}) converges to f a.e. Then for every interval $I_m = [t - \frac{1}{2}, t]$ there is an $s_m \in I_m$ such that

$$\lim_k f_{n_k}(s_m) = f(s_m).$$

Of course this suffices to show that if in our example f is continuous, then $f(\frac{1}{2}) = \lim_{s_m \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, s_m < \frac{1}{2}} f(s_m) = 1$ and $f(\frac{1}{2}) = \lim_{s'_m \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, s'_m > \frac{1}{2}} f(s'_m) = 0$ for suitable $s'_m \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m}]$.