

Last time

- 1) constructed a map  $p: S_n \rightarrow M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$   
 so that  $p(\sigma\mu) = p(\sigma)p(\mu)$   

$$p((ij)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (i < j)$$

- 2) Constructed  $\text{sign}: S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  so that  

$$\text{sign}(\sigma\mu) = \text{sign}(\sigma)\text{sign}(\mu) \quad \forall \sigma, \mu$$
  

$$\text{sign}((ij)) = -1$$

- 3) Defined  $\det_n: \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n}_n = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  

$$(*) \det((a_{ij})) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\text{sign}(\sigma)) a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n}$$

and observed (i)  $\det$  is  $n$ -linear

- (ii)  $\forall D: \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $n$ -linear and alternating  

$$D((a_{ij})) = \det((a_{ij})) \cdot D(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

Theorem 20.5 (\*) defines an alternating map.

Proof Fix a matrix  $(a_{ij})$ . Let  $(b_{ij})$  be the matrix obtained from  $(a_{ij})$  by switching rows  $k$  and  $l$   
columns

Then  $\forall i, j$

$$b_{ij} = a_{ij} \quad \text{if } i \neq k, l$$

$$b_{ki} = a_{lj}$$

$$b_{lj} = a_{ki}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall (i, j) \quad b_{ij} = a_{\tau(i)j} \quad \text{where } \tau = (k, l)$$

$$\det((b_{ij})) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) b_{\sigma(1)1} \dots b_{\sigma(n)n}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} a_{\sigma(2)2} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n}$$

("Change of variables"  $\mu := \tau\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma = \tau^{-1}\mu$ )

$$\Rightarrow \det((b_{ij})) = \sum_{\mu \in S_n} \text{sign}(\tau^{-1}\mu) a_{\mu(1)1} \dots a_{\mu(n)n}$$

Note  $\text{sign}(\tau^{-1}\mu) = \text{sign}(\tau^{-1}) \text{sign} \mu = -\text{sign} \mu$ .

21.2

$$\Rightarrow \det((b_{ij})) = - \sum_{\mu \in S_n} \text{sign}(\mu) a_{\mu(1)1} \dots a_{\mu(n)n}$$

Q. Why is  $\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$ ?

Note: Since  $\det$  is alternating,  $\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  &  $i, j \neq i$   $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(a_1, \dots, a_i + \lambda a_j, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_n) & \quad \downarrow \text{ith slot} \\ & = \det(a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) + \lambda \det(a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_n) \\ & = \det(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \lambda \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$$

"adding a multiple of  $j^{\text{th}}$  column to  $i^{\text{th}}$  column does not change determinant".

Lemma 21.1 Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \boxed{A_{11}} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } A_{11} \in M_{n-1, n-1}(\mathbb{R})$$

Then  $\det_n(A) = a_{11} \det_{n-1}(A_{11})$ .

Proof ①  $\det A = a_{11} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & A_{11} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$  by  $n$ -linearity.

②  $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & A_{11} & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & A_{11} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$  by Note above.

③  $D: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$D(B) = \det_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & B & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$ : is  $n-1$  linear and alternating.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow D(B) &= \det_{n-1}(B) D \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \mathbb{1}_{n-1} & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \det_{n-1}(B) \det_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \mathbb{1}_{n-1} & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det_{n-1}(B) \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 21.2 If an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & & \\ & a_{22} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{then } \det A = a_{11} a_{22} \dots a_{nn}.$$

Proof Lemma 21.1 + induction on  $n$ .

Lemma 21.3 For any  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$   
 $\det(A^T) = \det A$ .

Proof (i) Note first that  $\forall \sigma \in S_n$

$$1 = \text{sign}(\text{id}) = \text{sign}(\sigma\sigma^{-1}) = \text{sign}(\sigma) \cdot \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}).$$

$\Rightarrow$  Since  $\text{sign}(\sigma) = \pm 1$ ,  $\boxed{\text{sign} \sigma^{-1} = \text{sign} \sigma}$

(ii) The map  $\text{inv}: S_n \rightarrow S_n$   $\text{inv}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$  is a bijection.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^T) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) (A^T)_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots (A^T)_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) A_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{n\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

("Change of variables" if  $j = \sigma(i)$  then  $A_{i\sigma(i)} = A_{\sigma^{-1}(j)j}$ )  
 $\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i\sigma(i)} = \prod_{j=1}^n A_{\sigma^{-1}(j)j}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \det(A^T) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sign}(\sigma^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^n A_{\sigma^{-1}(j)j} = \left( \mu = \sigma^{-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in S_n} \text{sign}(\mu) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n A_{\mu(j)j} = \det A. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Consequences

$\det A$  is an alternating  $n$ -linear function

(1) of rows of  $A$  (which are columns of  $A^T$ ).

So

- (1) interchanging two rows changes sign of det
- (2) multiplying a row by a scalar multiplies the determinant by a scalar
- (2)(3) adding a multiple of one row to another row doesn't change the determinant.

$$\text{Ex } \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & y-x \end{pmatrix} = 1 \cdot (y-x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= -\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -12 \end{pmatrix} = -12 \end{aligned}$$

Fact Suppose  $\alpha: \underbrace{W \times \dots \times W}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $k$ -linear and alternating and  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map. Then  $T^* \alpha: \underbrace{V \times \dots \times V}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(T^* \alpha)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \alpha(Tv_1, \dots, Tv_k)$$

is  $k$ -linear and alternating.

Proof Homework.

Theorem 21.4 For any  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\det(AB) = \det A \det B.$$

Proof (i)  $A^* \det: \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $(A^* \det)(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det(Av_1, \dots, Av_n)$   
is  $n$ -linear and alternating.

$$(ii) \text{ Let } A^* \det(\cdot) = (A^* \det)(e_1, \dots, e_n) \cdot \det(\cdot).$$

Since  $\forall D: \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $n$ -lin and alternating

$$(i) \Rightarrow D(e_1, \dots, e_n) = D \cdot \det.$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(A^* \det)}_{\det(Ab_1, \dots, Ab_n)}(B) = \underbrace{(A^* \det)(e_1, \dots, e_n)}_{\det(Ae_1, \dots, Ae_n)} \cdot \det B$$

$$\therefore \det(AB) = \det A \det B.$$