

Last time A  $k$ -linear map  $\omega: \overbrace{V \times \dots \times V}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is alternating 19.1  
if  $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) = -\omega(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_k)$  for any  $i < j$ .  
 $\uparrow$   $i$ 'th slot       $\uparrow$   $j$ 'th slot

We proved:

18.2 A  $k$ -linear map  $\omega: \overbrace{V \times \dots \times V}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is alternating  $\Leftrightarrow \omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) = 0$   
for any  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$  with  $v_i = v_j$  for some  $i < j$ .

Remark 18.2 generalizes:

if  $\omega: \overbrace{V \times \dots \times V}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $k$ -linear and alternating and  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$   
are linearly dependent then  $\omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) = 0$ .

Proof homework.

Our goal Theorem (existence and uniqueness of determinants)

There exists a unique alternating  $n$ -linear map  $\det: \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n = M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
such that  $\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$  ( $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

We defined  $S_n = \{\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \sigma \text{ is a bijection}\}$

$S_n$  is a group under composition.

Elements of  $S_n$  are called permutations

$S_n$  is called the group of permutations on  $n$  letters.

Lemma 19.1 Suppose  $D: \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n = M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an alternating  
 $n$ -linear map. Then  $\forall (a_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$

$$D((a_{ij})) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n} D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)})$$

Proof The proof is "the same" as in the case  $n=3$ :

$$D\left(\sum_{i_1} a_{i_1 1} e_{i_1}, \sum_{i_2} a_{i_2 2} e_{i_2}, \dots, \sum_{i_n} a_{i_n n} e_{i_n}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1 1} a_{i_2 2} \dots a_{i_n n} D(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})$$

if  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  are not all distinct  $D(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) = 0$  by 18.2

If  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  are all distinct then  $\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\sigma(j) = i_j$  is a bijection, hence an element of  $S_n$  and

$$(i_1, \dots, i_n) = (\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n))$$

$$\Rightarrow D((a_{ij})) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n} D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) \quad \square$$

Note if  $\sigma = \text{id}$ ,  $D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = D(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$

if  $\sigma$  switches  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $i < j$

$$D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = D(e_1, \dots, e_j, \dots, e_i, \dots, e_n) \stackrel{\text{swap}}{=} -D(e_1, \dots, e_n) = -1$$

We'll see shortly that  $D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = \pm 1$ .

and the sign is uniquely determined by  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

Definition A permutation  $\tau \in S_n$  is a transposition if it switches exactly two indices:

Ex  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a transposition,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not a transposition.

Notation For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$   $(ij) \in S_n$  is defined by

$$(ij)(k) = \begin{cases} k & k \neq i, j \\ j & k = i \\ i & k = j. \end{cases}$$

Ex  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (13)$

Lemma 19.2 Let  $D: M_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an alternating  $n$ -linear map. Then

(a)  $\forall \sigma \in S_n \exists$  transpositions  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$  s.t.  $\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_k = \text{id}$

(b)  $D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1)^k D(e_1, \dots, e_n) = (-1)^k$ .

Proof Consider the ordered list  $(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n))$

If  $\sigma(1) = 1$  do nothing

If  $\sigma(1) \neq 1 \rightarrow \exists j > 1$  s.t.  $\sigma(j) = 1$ . Let  $\tau_1 = (1 j)$ . jth slot

Then  $(\sigma(\tau_1(1)), \sigma(\tau_1(2)), \dots, \sigma(\tau_1(j)), \dots, \sigma(\tau_1(n))) = (\sigma(j), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n))$   
 $= (1, \sigma(2), \sigma(3), \dots, \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)) \Rightarrow D(e_1, e_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1) D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)})$   
 $D(e_{\sigma(\tau_1(1))}, \dots, e_{\sigma(\tau_1(n))})$

Continue.

If  $e_{\sigma(\tau_1(2))} = 2$  do nothing. Otherwise  $\exists j > 2$

s.t.  $(\sigma\tau_1)(j) = 2$ . Consider  $\tau_2 = (2 j)$ . Then

$(\sigma\tau_1\tau_2(1), \sigma\tau_1\tau_2(2), \dots, \sigma\tau_1\tau_2(j), \dots, \sigma\tau_1\tau_2(n)) = (1, 2, \sigma\tau_1\tau_2(3), \dots, \sigma\tau_1\tau_2(n))$

and

$D(e_{\sigma(\tau_1(1))}, \dots, e_{\sigma(\tau_1(n))}) = (-1) D(e_{\sigma\tau_1\tau_2(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma\tau_1\tau_2(n)}) =$   
 $= (-1)^2 D(e_{\sigma\tau_1\tau_2(1)}, e_{\sigma\tau_1\tau_2(2)}, \dots, e_{\sigma\tau_1\tau_2(n)})$

In the end after  $k \leq n$  steps we obtain  $k$  transpositions

$\tau_k, \tau_{k-1}, \dots, \tau_1 \in S_n$  s.t.

(i)  $\sigma\tau_1 \dots \tau_k = \text{id}$  and  $\tau_k \dots \tau_1 = \sigma^{-1}$

(ii)  $D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1)^k D(e_{\tau_k}, \dots, e_{\tau_1}) = (-1)^k$

□

Remark 19.3 For any transposition  $\tau$ ,  $\tau = \tau^{-1}$ .

So  $\sigma\tau_1 \dots \tau_k = \text{id} \Rightarrow \sigma = (\tau_1 \dots \tau_k)^{-1} = \tau_k^{-1} \tau_{k-1}^{-1} \dots \tau_1^{-1}$

$= \tau_k \tau_{k-1} \dots \tau_1$

$\therefore \sigma = \tau_k \tau_{k-1} \dots \tau_1$

$\Rightarrow \sigma = \tau_k \tau_{k-1} \dots \tau_1$

(or  $\sigma = (\tau_k \tau_{k-1} \dots \tau_1)^{-1} = \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1} \dots \tau_k^{-1}$ )

Remark 19.4 (i) We have proved that any permutation is a product

of transpositions:  $\forall \sigma \in S_n \exists k \geq 1 \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$  transpositions

s.t.  $\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_k$

(ii) We also proved: if  $D: M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $n$ -lin and alternating

and  $\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_k$  then  $D(e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) = (-1)^k$ .

So it feels like  $D$  is uniquely determined:

For any  $\sigma \in S_n$  write  $\sigma = \tau_1 \dots \tau_k$ ; set  $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^k$   
and then  $(*) D((a_{ij})) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n}$ .

Problem 1 Decomposition of a permutation  $\sigma$  into a product of transpositions is not unique

Example  $(12)(13)(12) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \\ 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3 \\ 3 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \end{array} \right\} =$

So  $(12)(13)(12) = (23)$ . Note  $(-1)^3 = (-1)^4$

Problem 2 Suppose we can show: there is a map  $\epsilon: S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$

so that for any  $k \geq 1$  and any transpositions  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_k \in S_n$   
 $\epsilon(\tau_1 \dots \tau_k) = (-1)^k$

Then any alternating  $n$ -linear map  $D: M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
is given by  $(*)$ .  $\Rightarrow$  we get uniqueness.

However, we still need to check:  $(*)$  defines an alternating  $n$ -linear map.

Note The map  $\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$   
is  $n$ -linear. So  $\forall \sigma \in S_n \forall (a_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$

the map  $(a_{ij}) \mapsto a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n}$  is  $n$ -linear.

$\Rightarrow$   $\det((a_{ij})) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n}$  is  $n$ -linear.

Why is  $\det$  alternating?