

Lecture 42 Results for the quartic surface

$$X_0 = \{x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

$$X_\infty = \{x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0\} \quad B = X_0 \cap X_\infty$$

$M_0 = X_0 \setminus B$ Last time we constructed 64 Lagrangian Spheres in M_0 as vanishing cycles of the "Dwork pencil"

$$X_2 = \{x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 - 2x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0\}$$

Let \mathcal{F}_{64} denote the total morphism A_{∞} -algebra of these objects, as objects of the Affine Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(M_0)$

let $\mathcal{F}_{64,q}^*$ denote the total morphism A_{∞} -algebra of the same objects, as objects of the relative Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(X_0, B)$.

$$\text{let } \mathcal{F}_{64,q}^{*} = \mathcal{F}_{64,q} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Q}$$

We will now sketch out the proof of HMS for X_0

$H^0(\mathcal{F}(X_0)^{\text{perf}}) \cong \Psi^* D^b \text{Coh}(\mathbb{Z}_q^*)$. There are a number of things to establish

Lemma 1 The 64 vanishing cycles split-generate all versions of the Fukaya category.

$$\mathcal{F}(M_0)^{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{F}(X_0)^{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{F}(X_0, B)^{\text{perf}}$$

This has to do with Seidel's theory of spherical twists.

Lemma 1 implies A_{∞} -equivalences

$$\mathcal{F}(M_0)^{\text{perf}} \cong \mathcal{F}_{64}^{\text{perf}} \quad \mathcal{F}(X_0)^{\text{perf}} = (\mathcal{F}_{64,q}^{\times})^{\text{perf}}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(X_0, B) = \mathcal{F}_{64,q}^{\text{perf}}$$

Lemma 2 The deformation class of $\mathcal{F}(X_0, B)$ in $\text{HH}^2(\mathcal{F}(M_0), \mathcal{F}(M_0))$ is nontrivial.

The deformation class of $\mathcal{F}_{64,q}$ in $\text{HH}^2(\mathcal{F}_{64}, \mathcal{F}_{64})$ is nontrivial.

The first assertion implies the second. The first is proved by showing that a certain object gets nontrivially deformed.

Proposition 3 $\mathcal{F}_{64} \cong Q_{64}$.

To show this, we actually divide by a Γ_{16} action on M_0 , and work in the quotient.

$$\text{Let } \Gamma_4^* = \{\pm I, \pm iI\} \subset \text{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{Then } M = \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus X_0 \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \cong \{x \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 1\} / \Gamma_4^*$$

Now $\Gamma_{16}^* \subset \text{PSL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ consisting diagonal mat. of order 4 acts on \mathbb{P}^3 , M and preserves the kähler structure, and $\pi_4: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$\overline{M} = M / \Gamma_{16}^*$ and the induced map is

$$\overline{M} = \{u_0 u_1 u_2 u_3 = 1\} \quad \pi_{\overline{M}}(u) = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

$$u_i = x_i^k$$

This $\pi_{\bar{M}}$ has 4 vanishing cycles. Each one has 16 lifts giving the 64 ones from M .

By thinking about the topology of the covering, we can show

Lemma 4 $\mathcal{F}_{64} \cong \mathcal{F}_4 \rtimes \Gamma_{16}$ where \mathcal{F}_4 is the A_∞ -algelbra of the 4 cycles in \bar{M} .

Lemma 5 $\mathcal{F}_4 \cong Q_4$.

Seidel uses now his symplectic Picard-Lefschetz machinery to break this down into a series of calculations that can be fed to a computer.

Lemmas 4+5 imply Proposition 3. Then using our classification results for A_∞ -structures compatible with symmetry completes the proof.