

# Almost all $C_4$ -free graphs have less than $(1 - \varepsilon) \text{ex}(n, C_4)$ edges

József Balogh\*, Wojciech Samotij†

February 15, 2009

## Abstract

A graph is called  $H$ -free if it contains no copy of  $H$ . An old result of Kleitman and Winston [12] states that there are  $2^{\Theta(n^{3/2})}$   $C_4$ -free graphs on  $n$  vertices. Füredi [8] showed that almost all  $C_4$ -free graphs of order  $n$  have at least  $c \cdot \text{ex}(n, C_4)$  edges for some positive constant  $c > 0$ . We prove that there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that almost all  $C_4$ -free graphs have at most  $(1 - \varepsilon) \cdot \text{ex}(n, C_4)$  edges. This resolves a conjecture of Balogh, Bollobás and Simonovits [4] for the 4-cycle.

Mathematics subject classification: 05C35, 05C30, 05D40, 05A16

Keywords: *asymptotic graph structure; asymptotic graph enumeration;  $C_4$ -free; Turán's problem; extremal graphs*

## 1 Introduction

Let  $H$  be a fixed graph. A graph is called  $H$ -free if it does not contain a copy of  $H$  as a (not necessarily induced) subgraph. We will denote the family of all labeled  $H$ -free graphs on the vertex set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  by  $\mathcal{F}_n(H)$ . Let  $\text{ex}(n, H)$  be the Turán number of  $H$ , i.e. the maximum number of edges that an  $H$ -free graph on  $n$  vertices may have. The problem of estimating  $|\mathcal{F}_n(H)|$  has been an object of intense study. Erdős conjectured (see [5]) that if  $H$  contains a cycle, then  $|\mathcal{F}_n(H)| = 2^{(1+o(1))\text{ex}(n, H)}$ . The conjecture was resolved in the affirmative by Erdős, Frankl and Rödl [7], under the additional assumption that  $\chi(H) \geq 3$ . More precise estimates and structural results can be found in Balogh, Bollobás and Simonovits [2]. The case of bipartite  $H$  is still wide open. For some partial results see [11] and [12].

The following statement can be proved using the methods from [2] and [3]. Let  $H$  be a fixed non-bipartite graph. Then for every positive  $\varepsilon > 0$ , almost all  $H$ -free graphs of order  $n$  have at least  $(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon) \text{ex}(n, H)$  and at most  $(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) \text{ex}(n, H)$  edges. It is not unreasonable to claim a similar behavior when  $\chi(H) = 2$ , though it seems that the case when  $H$  is bipartite

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. E-mail address: jobal@math.uiuc.edu. This material is based upon work supported by NSF CAREER Grant DMS-0745185 and DMS-0600303, UIUC Campus Research Board Grants 09072 and 08086, and OTKA Grant K76099.

†Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA. Research supported in part by Tritzinsky Fellowship and James D. Hogan Memorial Scholarship Fund. E-mail address: samotij2@illinois.edu.

is much harder to handle. The main reasons might be that if  $\chi(H) = 2$ , all  $H$ -free graphs are sparse (i.e.  $\text{ex}(n, H) = o(n^2)$ ) and no Erdős-Simonovits type stability results are known. There are natural settings where a similar concentration around the half does not occur. For example, recently Gerke and McDiarmid [9] proved that the expected number of edges in a random  $n$ -vertex planar graph is at least  $(13/7 + o(1))n > (3n - 6)/2$ . Still one should expect that the number of edges in a “typical”  $H$ -free graph is bounded away from the extremal values,  $\text{ex}(n, H)$  and 0. Balogh, Bollobás and Simonovits [4] formalized this intuition in the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** *For every bipartite graph  $H$  that contains a cycle, there is a constant  $c = c(H) > 0$  such that almost all  $H$ -free graphs on  $n$  vertices have at least  $c \cdot \text{ex}(n, H)$  and at most  $(1 - c) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H)$  edges.*

The lower bound is known only for  $H = C_4$  (see [8]) and  $C_6$  (the argument from [8] can be quite easily extended using methods from [11]), whereas the upper bound has not been proved for any bipartite  $H$ . In this note we estimate the upper bound for  $H = C_4$ , consequently resolving Conjecture 1 for the 4-cycle.

For a fixed real number  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(H)$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{F}_n(H)$  consisting only of graphs that have at least  $(1 - \varepsilon) \cdot \text{ex}(n, H)$  edges. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *There exist an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)|}{|\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)|} = 0. \quad (1)$$

We would like to remark that our result seems to be closely related to another classical Turán-type problem of estimating  $\text{ex}(G(n, 1/2), C_4)$ , proposed by Erdős and Spencer (see [6]). Trivially almost surely we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \text{ex}(n, C_4) \leq \text{ex}(G(n, 1/2), C_4) \leq \text{ex}(n, C_4), \quad (2)$$

but no better estimates are known. Since actually the proof of Theorem 2 shows that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$|\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)| = 2^{-\Omega(n^{3/2})} |\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)|, \quad (3)$$

it follows that if Erdős’ conjecture was true for  $C_4$ , i.e.  $|\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)| = 2^{(1+o(1))\text{ex}(n, C_4)}$ , then (3) coupled with a simple first moment argument would improve the upper bound in (2) to  $(1 - \varepsilon') \cdot \text{ex}(n, C_4)$ , for some positive constant  $\varepsilon' > 0$ .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notation and prove some technical lemmas. The proof of the main result, Theorem 2, is given in Section 3.

## 2 Notation and technical lemmas

Given an arbitrary set  $A$ , we will denote its power set (i.e. the set of all subsets of  $A$ ) by  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . For a positive integer  $k$ , the set of all functions from  $A$  to the set  $\{0, \dots, k - 1\}$  will be abbreviated by  $k^A$ . Given a graph  $G$  and a subset of its vertices  $A \subseteq V(G)$ , the subgraph induced on  $V(G) - A$  will be denoted by  $G - A$ . The number of edges in  $G$  is  $e(G)$ . Given

a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , its degree in  $G$  is denoted by  $\deg_G(v)$  or simply  $\deg(v)$ , whenever  $G$  is clear from the context. The minimum degree of  $G$  is  $\delta(G) = \min_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v)$ . Finally, for any  $A \subseteq V(G)$  and  $v \in V(G)$ , we let  $\deg(v, A)$  denote the number of neighbors of  $v$  in  $A$ .

First, let us recall that  $\text{ex}(n, C_4) = \frac{1+o(1)}{2}n^{3/2}$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a  $C_4$ -free graph on  $n$  vertices which has at least  $(1 - \varepsilon) \text{ex}(n, C_4)$  edges. If the minimum degree of  $G$  was smaller than  $\frac{3}{4}n^{1/2}$ , then by removing a vertex of smallest degree from  $G$ , we would increase the *relative edge density* (the ratio  $e(G)/v(G)^{3/2}$ ) of the resulting graph. Since removing vertices cannot create a copy of  $C_4$  in our graph, the relative edge density will never exceed  $\frac{1}{2} + o(1)$ , and hence we cannot continue removing low degree vertices indefinitely. It follows that after removing a relatively small set of low degree vertices from our graph  $G$ , we will obtain a graph with large minimum degree. We formalize the above discussion in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *For every positive constant  $\alpha > 0$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$ , where  $n$  is large enough. Then there is a set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  of size  $|X| \leq \alpha n$  such that  $\delta(G - X) \geq (3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon = \alpha^2/3$ . Define an ordering of the vertices of  $G$  as follows. Let  $v_1$  be a vertex of minimum degree in  $G$ . Provided that  $v_1, \dots, v_i$  have already been defined, we let  $v_{i+1}$  to be a vertex of minimum degree in  $G - \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ . Since every subgraph of  $G$  is  $C_4$ -free, and  $\text{ex}(n, C_4) \leq \frac{2n^{3/2}+n}{4}$ , the function

$$f(k) = \frac{2(n-k)^{3/2} + (n-k)}{4} - e(G - \{v_1, \dots, v_k\})$$

is non-negative for all  $k$ .

Let  $k_0$  be the smallest number such that  $\delta(G - \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}) \geq (3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}$ , or  $k_0 = n$  if such number does not exist. If  $k_0 \leq \alpha n$ , then  $X = \{v_1, \dots, v_{k_0}\}$  is good for our purposes. Otherwise for all  $k \leq \alpha n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(k) - f(k-1) &= \frac{(n-k)^{3/2} - (n-k+1)^{3/2}}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \deg(v_k, V(G) - \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}) \\ &\leq -\frac{3}{4}(n-k)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \alpha\right)n^{1/2} \\ &\leq -\frac{3}{4}(1-\alpha)n^{1/2} + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \alpha\right)n^{1/2} \leq -\frac{\alpha}{4}n^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$-f(0) \leq f(\alpha n) - f(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha n} (f(k) - f(k-1)) \leq \alpha n \cdot \left(-\frac{\alpha}{4}n^{1/2}\right) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4}n^{3/2},$$

and hence

$$e(G) = \frac{2n^{3/2} + n}{4} - f(0) \leq \frac{2 - \alpha^2}{4}n^{3/2} + \frac{n}{4} < \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon + o(1)\right) \cdot \text{ex}(n, C_4),$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The next Lemma formalizes the following intuition. A random partition of the vertex set of a graph into two sets of sizes  $a$  and  $b$  splits neighborhood of each vertex roughly in proportion  $a : b$ .

**Lemma 4.** For all positive reals  $\beta, \rho \in (0, 1)$  there exists an  $n_0 = n_0(\beta, \rho)$  such that the following holds. Let  $n \geq n_0$  and  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq \log^2 n$ . Then there exists an  $A \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|A| \in ((1 - \rho)\beta n, (1 + \rho)\beta n)$  such that for all  $v \in V(G)$ ,

$$(1 - \rho)\beta \deg(v) \leq \deg(v, A) \leq (1 + \rho)\beta \deg(v).$$

*Proof.* Let us pick, randomly and independently, each vertex of  $G$  with probability  $\beta$ . Let  $A$  be the set of selected vertices. By Chernoff bound (see, e.g., Corollary A.1.14 in [1])

$$\Pr[||A| - \beta n| \geq \rho\beta n] \leq 2e^{-cn},$$

where  $c$  is some positive constant depending only on  $\beta$  and  $\rho$ . Similarly, for every vertex  $v$  we have

$$\Pr[|\deg(v, A) - \beta \deg(v)| \geq \rho\beta \deg(v)] \leq 2e^{-c\deg(v)} \leq 2e^{-c\delta(G)} \leq 2e^{-c\log^2 n}.$$

By the union bound the set  $A$  has all the required properties with probability tending to 1 as  $n$  goes to infinity. Hence, provided that  $n$  is large enough, there exists a set  $A$  satisfying all the required conditions.  $\square$

The key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2 is the following Lemma, which builds on a slick counting argument of Kleitman and Winston from [12], which was later used in many papers, including [10], [11] and [13].

**Lemma 5.** Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $C_4$ -free graph with minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq \delta \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{1/2}$ . Suppose we want to add to  $G$  a new vertex  $v$  of degree  $d \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{1/2}$ , so that the resulting graph remains  $C_4$ -free, and moreover we have already chosen  $pd$  neighbors of  $v$ , where  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Then the number of ways we can select the remaining  $(1 - p)d$  neighbors of  $v$  is at most

$$2^{o(\log^3 n)} \cdot \binom{n/\delta - pd}{(1 - p)d}.$$

*Proof.* We slightly modify the argument from [12]. The key idea there was the following. We proceed in  $d$  steps, adding one edge between  $v$  and  $G$  at each step. At the first step all  $n$  vertices of  $G$  are *eligible* to become neighbors of  $v$ . At each step we list all eligible vertices in the following way. After  $i$  vertices have been put on the list, the  $(i + 1)^{\text{st}}$  vertex is a vertex that is connected by a path of length 2 to the greatest number of eligible vertices not yet on the list. We then locate the vertex with the least index (in the above ordering) that we want to connect to  $v$  and add the appropriate edge to our graph. All vertices preceding the chosen vertex on our list, as well as all vertices connected to it by a path of length 2, become ineligible.

The key observation in [12] is that after merely  $z = n\delta^{-2} \log n \leq 4 \log n$  steps the set of eligible vertices, from which the remaining  $d - z$  neighbors of  $v$  must be chosen, has size at most  $n/\delta$ . If  $z'$  out of the first  $z$  neighbors of  $v$  were the already chosen ones, we have to select the remaining  $(1 - p)d - z + z'$  vertices to complete the neighborhood of  $v$  from a set of size at most  $n/\delta - pd + z'$ . Hence the overall number of choices for the  $(1 - p)d$  new neighbors of  $v$  is bounded by

$$\sum_{z' \leq z} \binom{n}{z - z'} \binom{n/\delta - pd + z'}{(1 - p)d - z + z'}. \quad (4)$$

By our assumptions on  $\delta$ , we have  $z \leq 4 \log n$ , and hence we can bound (4) by

$$(z+1) \binom{n}{z} \binom{n/\delta - pd + z}{(1-p)d} \leq 2^{o(\log^3 n)} \cdot \binom{n/\delta - pd}{(1-p)d}.$$

□

Finally, we need the following well-known estimate. Let

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x)$$

be the binary entropy function.

**Lemma 6.** *For every  $0 \leq \ell = \lambda n \leq n$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{n+1} 2^{nH(\lambda)} \leq \binom{n}{\ell} \leq 2^{nH(\lambda)}.$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Very vaguely, the idea of the proof can be summarized as follows. If  $G$  is a  $C_4$ -free graph with large minimum degree, then the number of ways in which we can remove a certain proportion of its edges is much larger than the number of ways we can add the same number of edges back, so that the resulting graph remains  $C_4$ -free. In other words, every  $G \in \mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$  has many more different subgraphs  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n(C_4)$  than the number of supergraphs in  $\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$  that any such  $F$  can possibly have. This implies that  $|\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)| = o(|\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)|)$ . In the sequel we will formalize the above discussion.

Consider an arbitrary mapping

$$\varphi : \mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_n(C_4) \times 2^{[n]} \times n^{[n]}).$$

For a triple  $T \in \mathcal{F}_n(C_4) \times 2^{[n]} \times n^{[n]}$ , let

$$\psi(T) = \{G \in \mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4) : T \in \varphi(G)\}.$$

Counting appearances of all triples  $T$  in the images  $\varphi(G)$ , where  $G$  ranges over  $\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$  and  $T$  ranges over  $\mathcal{F}_n(C_4) \times 2^{[n]} \times n^{[n]}$ , yields

$$\sum_G |\varphi(G)| = \sum_T |\psi(T)|. \quad (5)$$

Equality (5) implies an obvious bound on the size of  $\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$ , namely

$$|\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)| \leq (2n)^n \cdot \frac{\sup_T |\psi(T)|}{\inf_G |\varphi(G)|} \cdot |\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)|. \quad (6)$$

Now, inequality (6) combined with any  $o((2n)^{-n})$  bound on the  $\sup_T |\psi(T)| / \inf_G |\varphi(G)|$  ratio (for a carefully chosen  $\varphi$ ) will imply (1).

Since the remainder of the proof gets a tad technical, we will start by giving its short and informal outline. We start (Lemma 3) by noting that every  $C_4$ -free graph  $G$  with

many edges, i.e. one with  $e(G)$  close to the extremal number  $\text{ex}(n, C_4)$ , contains an almost spanning subgraph  $G_0$  with minimum degree about  $\frac{3}{4}n^{1/2}$ . Next, using Lemma 4, we find a subset  $A \subseteq V(G_0)$  of size about  $\beta n$  such that the minimum degree of  $G' = G_0 - A$  is still almost  $\frac{3}{4}n^{1/2}$  and all the vertices in  $A$  have (approximately) at least  $\frac{3}{4}n^{1/2}$  neighbors in  $V(G')$ . Given such a set  $A$  we define  $\varphi(G)$  to be the set of all graphs obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $p = 90\%$  cross-edges incident to each vertex in  $A$ , together with all the necessary information to identify the set  $A$  and reconstruct all relevant degrees after such a deletion. Roughly speaking this proves that

$$|\varphi(G)| \geq \left( \frac{\frac{3}{4}n^{1/2}}{p \cdot \frac{3}{4}n^{1/2}} \right)^{\beta n} \approx 2^{0.3517\beta n^{3/2}}. \quad (7)$$

Finally, given a triple  $T$  consisting of a graph  $F$ , a set  $A \subseteq V(F)$  and the list of degrees that the vertices in  $A$  had in the original  $C_4$ -free graph  $G \supseteq F$ , we prove, using Lemma 5, that the number of supergraphs  $G \supseteq F$  with  $T \in \varphi(G)$  is at most

$$|\psi(T)| \leq \left[ \sup_d \left( \frac{\frac{4}{3}n^{1/2} - pd}{(1-p)d} \right) \right]^{\beta n} \approx 2^{0.3467\beta n^{3/2}}. \quad (8)$$

Combining (7) and (8) with (6), we conclude that  $|\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)| \leq 2^{-\Omega(n^{3/2})} |\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)|$ .

Let us start by defining the mapping  $\varphi$ . Fix some very small constants  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\rho$  (we will specify them later), and let  $\varepsilon$  be as in the statement of Lemma 3. Recall that  $p = 0.9$ . Suppose  $n \geq n_0/(1-\alpha)$ , where  $n_0$  is as in the statement of Lemma 4. Finally fix some  $G \in \mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$ . By Lemma 3 there is a subset  $X \subseteq V(G)$  of size  $|X| \leq \alpha n$  such that  $\delta(G - X) \geq (3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}$ . Now, by Lemma 4, we can find an  $A \subseteq V(G) - X$  of size  $|A| \in ((1-\rho)(1-\alpha)\beta n, (1+\rho)\beta n)$  such that, if we let  $G' = G - X - A$ ,

- $\delta(G') \geq (1 - (1+\rho)\beta)\delta(G - X) \geq (1 - (1+\rho)\beta)(3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}$ , and
- $\deg(v, V(G')) \geq (1 - (1+\rho)\beta) \deg_{G-X}(v) \geq (1 - (1+\rho)\beta)(3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}$  for every  $v \in A$ .

We define  $\varphi(G)$  to be the set of all triples  $(F, X \cup A, f)$ , where

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} \deg(v, V(G')), & v \in X \cup A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $F$  is any subgraph of  $G$  obtained by deleting, for each vertex  $v \in X \cup A$ , a set of  $(1-p) \deg(v, V(G'))$  edges connecting  $v$  to  $V(G')$ .

**Claim 7.** For every  $G \in \mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)$ ,

$$|\varphi(G)| \geq 2^{H(p)(1-\rho)(1-\alpha)(1-(1+\rho)\beta)(3/4-\alpha)\beta n^{3/2} - O(n \log n)}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to count the number of subgraphs  $F$  appearing in the definition of  $\varphi(G)$ . By our bounds on the size of  $A$  and the degrees of vertices in  $A$ , this is at least

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{v \in A} \binom{\deg(v, V(G'))}{p \deg(v, V(G'))} &\geq (n+1)^{-|A|} \cdot 2^{H(p) \sum_{v \in A} \deg(v, V(G'))} \\ &\geq (n+1)^{-(1+\rho)\beta n} \cdot 2^{H(p)(1-\rho)(1-\alpha)(1-(1+\rho)\beta)(3/4-\alpha)\beta n^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from Lemma 6. □

Let  $T = (F, S, f)$  be a triple from the image of  $\varphi$ . The way we defined  $\varphi$  guarantees that the set  $S$  has size at most  $(1 + \rho)\beta n + \alpha n$ , and  $F - S$  has minimum degree  $\delta(F - S) \geq (3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}$ . By Lemmas 5 and 6, we get the following bound on the size of  $\psi(T)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(T)| &\leq 2^{o(n \log^3 n)} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} \binom{(n - |S|)/((3/4 - \alpha)n^{1/2}) - pf(v)}{(1 - p)f(v)} \\ &\leq 2^{o(n \log^3 n)} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} \binom{n^{1/2}/(3/4 - \alpha) - pf(v)}{(1 - p)f(v)} \\ &\leq 2^{o(n \log^3 n)} \cdot \prod_{v \in S} 2^{(n^{1/2}/(3/4 - \alpha) - pf(v))H\left(\frac{(1-p)f(v)}{n^{1/2}/(3/4 - \alpha) - pf(v)}\right)} \\ &\leq 2^{o(n \log^3 n)} \cdot 2^{((1+\rho+\alpha)\beta+\alpha)sn^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where (we let  $d = f(v)n^{-1/2}$ )

$$s = \sup_d \left[ [1/(3/4 - \alpha) - pd]H\left(\frac{(1-p)d}{1/(3/4 - \alpha) - pd}\right) \right].$$

If we set  $\alpha = \rho = 0$ ,  $\beta = 10^{-5}$  and  $p = 0.9$ , then  $s \leq 0.3467$ , and hence

$$|\psi(T)| \leq 2^{3467 \cdot 10^{-9} n^{3/2} + o(n^{3/2})}.$$

On the other hand Claim 7 gives

$$|\varphi(G)| \geq 2^{3517 \cdot 10^{-9} n^{3/2} - o(n^{3/2})}.$$

Since all the functions in question are continuous in  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$ , if all these parameters are small enough, we will have

$$\frac{\sup_T |\psi(T)|}{\inf_G |\varphi(G)|} \leq 2^{-4 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot n^{3/2}},$$

and therefore by (6)

$$|\mathcal{F}_n^\varepsilon(C_4)| \leq 2^{-2 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot n^{3/2}} \cdot |\mathcal{F}_n(C_4)|,$$

provided that  $n$  is large enough.

## References

- [1] N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The probabilistic method. Third edition*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008.
- [2] J. Balogh, B. Bollobás, and M. Simonovits, *The typical structure of graphs without given excluded subgraphs*, to appear in *Random Structures and Algorithms*.
- [3] ———, *The number of graphs without forbidden subgraphs*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* **91** (2004), 1–24.

- [4] ———, *The fine structure of octahedron-free graphs*, submitted, 2009.
- [5] F. Chung, *Open problems of Paul Erdős in graph theory*, *Journal of Graph Theory* **25** (1997), 3–36.
- [6] P. Erdős, *Some of my old and new combinatorial problems*, *Paths, Flows, and VLSI-Layout* (Bonn), Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 35–45.
- [7] P. Erdős, P. Frankl, and V. Rödl, *The asymptotic number of graphs not containing a fixed subgraph and a problem for hypergraphs having no exponent*, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **2** (1986), 113–121.
- [8] Z. Füredi, *Random Ramsey graphs for the four-cycle*, *Discrete Mathematics* **126** (1994), 407–410.
- [9] S. Gerke and C. McDiarmid, *On the number of edges in random planar graphs*, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **13** (2004), 165–183.
- [10] P. Haxell, Y. Kohayakawa, and T. Łuczak, *Turán’s extremal problem in random graphs: Forbidding even cycles*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* **64** (1995), 273–287.
- [11] D. Kleitman and D. Wilson, *On the number of graphs which lack small cycles*, manuscript, 1996.
- [12] D. Kleitman and K. Winston, *On the number of graphs without 4-cycles*, *Discrete Mathematics* **41** (1982), 167–172.
- [13] Y. Kohayakawa, B. Kreuter, and A. Steger, *An extremal problem for random graphs and the number of graphs with large even girth*, *Combinatorica* **18** (1998), 101–120.