

The maximum triangle-free graph has $n^2/4$ edges [8]. Hence, the number of triangle-free graphs is at least $2^{n^2/4}$. This was shown to be the correct order of magnitude by Erdős, Kleitman and Rothschild [5] (see Balogh-Morris-Samotij [2] or Saxton-Thomason [13] for recent proofs). Moreover, almost every triangle-free graph is bipartite [5], even if there is a restriction on the number of edges (first shown by Osthus-Prömel-Taraz [11], extended by Balogh-Morris-Samotij-Warnke [3]; see [2] and [3] for a more detailed history of the problem). This suggests that most of those graphs are bipartite, and subgraphs of a complete bipartite graph, therefore most of them are not maximal. Erdős suggested the following problem (as stated in Simonovits [14]):

Problem 0.1. *Determine or estimate the number of maximal triangle-free graphs on n vertices.*

Note that every complete-bipartite graph (roughly 2^{n-1} graphs) is maximal triangle-free, but we can also find more.

We give a construction of a family of $2^{n^2/8}$ maximal triangle-free graphs. Let $M = \{a_1b_1, \dots, a_{n/4}b_{n/4}\}$ be a matching on $n/2$ vertices (and $n/4$ edges), and let $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_{n/2}\}$ be an independent set of size $n/2$.

Our family of graphs can be built from the above construction by adding edges as follows: for every $i \leq n/4, j \leq n/2$, we put exactly one edge from $\{a_ic_j, b_ic_j\}$ into our graph. There are $(n/4)(n/2) = n^2/8$ choices to make, and hence $2^{n^2/8}$ possible graphs obtained from this construction. It is easy to see that any such graph is triangle-free, but not necessarily maximally triangle-free. Thus if applicable, we add edges to each non-maximal graph until the resulting graph is maximally triangle-free (note that any such edge must be contained in either M or C).

Next we present an asymptotically-matching upper bound due to Balogh and Petříčková [4].

Theorem 0.2. *The number of maximal triangle-free graphs with vertex set $[n]$ is at most $2^{n^2/8+o(n^2)}$.*

Later, Balogh, Liu, Petříčková, and Sharifzadeh [1] showed that the typical structure of a maximal triangle-free graph is that of the above construction.

Theorem 0.3. *For almost every maximal triangle-free graph G on $[n]$, there is a vertex partition $X \cup Y$ such that $G[X]$ is a perfect matching and Y is an independent set.*

A main tool for proving Theorem 0.2 will be the Ruzsa-Szemerédi triangle-removal lemma [12].

Theorem 0.4. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that any graph G on n vertices with at most $\delta(\varepsilon)n^3$ triangles can be made triangle-free by removing at most εn^2 edges.*

An independent set I is a *maximal independent set* if $I \cup \{v\}$ contains an edge for every $v \in V(G) - I$. Moon and Moser [10] proved that the number of maximal independent sets in an n -vertex graph is at most $3^{n/3}$. Moreover, Hujter and Tuza [7] proved a smaller upper bound for triangle-free graphs.

Theorem 0.5. *Every triangle-free graph G has at most $2^{|V(G)|/2}$ maximal independent sets.*

We use the container method due to Balogh-Morris-Samotij [2, Theorem 2.2.], and Saxton-Thomason [13].

Theorem 0.6. *For each $\delta > 0$ there is $t < 2^{O(\log n \cdot n^{3/2})}$ and a set $\{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ of graphs, each containing at most δn^3 triangles, such that for every triangle-free graph H there is $i \in [t]$ such that $H \subseteq G_i$, where n is sufficiently large.*

By the Erdős-Simonovits supersaturation theorem [6], each G_i has at most about $n^2/4$ edges.

Theorem 0.7. *For every $\gamma > 0$ there is $\delta(\gamma) > 0$ such that every n -vertex graph with $(1/4 + \gamma)n^2$ edges contains at least $\delta(\gamma)n^3$ triangles.*

We now give a proof for Theorem 0.2.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. We show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $\gamma > 0$, the number of maximal triangle-free graphs with vertex set $[n]$ is $2^{(1/8+2\varepsilon+\gamma)n^2}$ for sufficiently large n . Fix arbitrarily small constants $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$, and apply Theorem 0.4 with ε , and Theorem 0.7 with γ . This provides us with $\delta(\varepsilon)$ and $\delta(\gamma)$.

Define $\delta := \min\{\delta(\varepsilon), \delta(\gamma)\}$ and apply the containers theorem (Theorem 0.6) with δ . We obtain a set of containers G_1, \dots, G_t such that each maximal triangle-free graph H is contained in some G_i . For every $i \in [t]$, we will count the number of such graphs H that H_i contains. Denote \mathcal{H} the set of maximal triangle-free graphs with vertex set $[n]$, and let $\mathcal{H}_i = \{H \in \mathcal{H} : H \subseteq G_i\}$.

Since $t \leq 2^{\varepsilon n^2}$ for sufficiently large n , we have

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^t |\mathcal{H}_i| \leq 2^{\varepsilon n^2} \max_{i \in [t]} |\mathcal{H}_i|.$$

Fix $i \in [t]$. Since G_i contains at most $\delta(\varepsilon)n^3$ triangles, by Theorem 0.4, there is $F_i \subseteq E(G_i)$ such that $|F_i| \leq \varepsilon n^2$ and $G_i - F_i$ is triangle-free. For each G_i we fix one such F_i . For every subset of edges $F^* \subseteq F_i$ define $\mathcal{H}_i(F^*) := \{H \in \mathcal{H}_i : E(H) \cap F_i = F^*\}$. Note that we can assume that F^* is triangle-free since otherwise $\mathcal{H}_i(F^*) = \emptyset$.

Now we show that for every choice of F^* we have $|\mathcal{H}_i(F^*)| \leq 2^{e(G_i)/2}$. Fix F^* , and let

$$G := G_i - (F_i - F^*) - \{e \in E(G_i) : \exists f, g \in F^* \text{ such that } e, f, g \text{ form a triangle}\}.$$

I.e., G is obtained from G_i by removing edges that are contained in none of $H \in \mathcal{H}_i(F^*)$. We now count the number of ways to add edges of $E(G) - F^*$ to F^* such that the resulting graph is maximal triangle-free. This will give us an upper bound for $|\mathcal{H}_i(F^*)|$.

We construct an auxiliary *link graph* T as follows:

$$V(T) := E(G) - F^* \quad \text{and} \quad E(T) := \{ef \mid \exists d \in F^* : \{d, e, f\} \text{ spans a triangle in } G\}.$$

Claim 1. T is triangle-free.

Proof. Suppose not. Let e, f, g be vertices of a triangle in T . Then $e, f, g \in E(G) - F^*$ and there are $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in F^*$ such that the 3-sets $\{d_1, e, f\}$, $\{d_2, e, g\}$, and $\{d_3, f, g\}$ span triangles in G . As $G_i - F_i$ is triangle-free and $G - F^* \subseteq G_i - F_i$, it follows that the edges e, f, g share a common endpoint in G , and that the set $\{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ spans a triangle in F^* . This is a contradiction since F^* is triangle-free. \square

Claim 2. If $H \in \mathcal{H}_i(F^*)$, then $E(H) - F^*$ spans a maximal independent set in T .

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}_i(F^*)$. We first show that $E(H) - F^*$ spans an independent set in T . If not, then there is an edge ef in $E(T)$ with $e, f \in E(H) - F^*$. By the definition of $E(T)$, there is $d \in F^*$ such that the edges d, e, f form a triangle in G , which is clearly in H , contradicting that H is triangle-free.

Suppose now that $E(H) - F^*$ is an independent set in T that is not maximal. For simplicity, let I denote the corresponding vertices of $E(H) - F^*$ in T . Then there exists some vertex $x \in V(T) \setminus I$ such that $I \cup \{x\}$ is also an independent set in T . By the definition of T , for every $y \in I$, there does not exist a $z \in F^*$ such that the edges x, y, z form a triangle in G . This implies that $H \cup \{x\}$ is triangle free, contradicting the maximality of H . \square

By Theorem 0.5, the number of maximal independent sets in T is at most $2^{|T|/2}$. Thus

$$|\mathcal{H}_i(F^*)| \leq 2^{|V(T)|/2} \leq 2^{e(G_i)/2} \leq 2^{(n^2/4+\gamma)/2},$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 0.7.

The number of ways to choose $F^* \subseteq F_i$ for a given F_i is at most $2^{|F_i|} \leq 2^{\varepsilon n^2}$, so we can conclude that for sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}| &\leq 2^{\varepsilon n^2} \max_{i \in [t]} |\mathcal{H}_i| \leq 2^{\varepsilon n^2} \sum_{F^* \subseteq F_i} |\mathcal{H}_i(F^*)| \leq 2^{\varepsilon n^2} 2^{\varepsilon n^2} \max_{F^* \subseteq F} |\mathcal{H}_i(F^*)| \\ &\leq 2^{2\varepsilon n^2} 2^{(n^2/4+\gamma n^2)/2} \leq 2^{(1/8+2\varepsilon+\gamma)n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] J. Balogh, H. Liu, S. Petříčková, and M. Sharifzadeh ‘Typical structure of maximal triangle-free graphs’, *Forum of Mathematics*, Sigma, 3, e20 (2015).
- [2] J. Balogh, R. Morris and W. Samotij, ‘Independent sets in hypergraphs’, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(3), 669–709 (2015).
- [3] J. Balogh, R. Morris, W. Samotij and L. Warnke, ‘The typical structure of sparse K_{r+1} -free graphs’, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(9) (2013).
- [4] J. Balogh and S. Petříčková, ‘The number of the maximal triangle-free graphs’, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 46 (5) (2014) 1003–1006.
- [5] P. Erdős, D. J. Kleitman and B. L. Rothschild, ‘Asymptotic enumeration of K_n -free graphs’, *Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie (Rome, 1973), Tomo II, Atti dei Convegni Lincei 17, Accad. Naz. Lincei* (1976) 19–27.
- [6] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, ‘Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs’, *Combinatorica* 3 (1983) 181–192.
- [7] M. Hujter and Z. Tuza, ‘The Number of Maximal Independent Sets in Triangle-Free Graphs’, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 6(2) (1993) 284–288.
- [8] W. Mantel, ‘Problem 28’, *Wiskundige Opgaven* 10 (1907) 60–61.

- [9] B. McKay, R. Xu and D. Zare, ‘On an Open Problem of Paul Erdős: The Number of Maximal Triangle-free Graphs on n Vertices’, in progress, see also <http://mathoverflow.net/q/160595>.
- [10] J. Moon and L. Moser, ‘On cliques in graphs’, *Israel J. Math.*, (3) (1965) 23–28.
- [11] D. Osthus, H.J. Prömel and A. Taraz, ‘For which densities are random triangle-free graphs almost surely bipartite?’ *Combinatorica* 23 (2003) 105–150.
- [12] I. Z. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi, ‘Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles’, *Combinatorics* (Keszthely, 1976), *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 18, Vol. II, (1978) 939–945.
- [13] D. Saxton and A. Thomason, ‘Hypergraph containers’, *Inventiones mathematicae* 201 (3), 925–992 (2015).
- [14] M. Simonovits, ‘Paul Erdős’ influence on extremal graph theory’, *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős II* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996) 148–192.