

2013 UI MOCK PUTNAM EXAM

September 25, 2013, 5 pm – 7 pm

Solutions

1. Let $f(n)$ denote the n -th term in the sequence $1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, \dots$, obtained by writing one 1, two 2's, three 3's, four 4's, etc.
- (a) Find, with proof, $f(2013)$.
- (b) Find, with proof, a simple general formula for $f(n)$. (The formula can involve the floor or ceiling function.)

Solution. Answer: (a) $f(2013) = 63$; (b) $f(n) = \lceil \sqrt{2n + 1/4} - 1/2 \rceil$.

(a) The first k blocks occupy $1 + 2 + \dots + k = k(k+1)/2$ positions. Thus, we have $f(n) = k$ if and only if n occurs among the last k of these positions, i.e., if and only if

$$(1) \quad \frac{k(k-1)}{2} < n \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$$

For the case $n = 2013$, a direct calculation gives the appropriate k -value: $62 \cdot 63/2 = 63 \cdot 31 = 1953$ and $63 \cdot 64/2 = 63 \cdot 32 = 2016$, so the k -value satisfying (1) is $k = 63$, and hence $f(n) = 63$.

(b) To get a general formula, we multiply (1) by 2 and complete the square on both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 &< 2n + \frac{1}{4} \leq \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2, \\ k - 1 &< \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $f(n) = k = \lceil \sqrt{2n + 1/4} - 1/2 \rceil$.

2. (Problem B1, Putnam 1971) Let S be a set and $*$ a binary operation on S satisfying $x * x = x$ for all $x \in S$ and $(x * y) * z = (y * z) * x$ for all $x, y, z \in S$. Prove that $*$ is commutative, i.e., that $x * y = y * x$ holds for all $x, y \in S$.

Solution. Applying the two given rules repeatedly, we have, for any $x, y \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= (x * y) * (x * y) = ((x * y) * x) * y = ((y * x) * x) * y = ((x * x) * y) * y \\ &= (x * y) * y = (y * y) * x = y * x, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the commutativity of $*$.

3. (Problem B1, Putnam 1980) For which real numbers c is

$$\frac{1}{2} (e^x + e^{-x}) \leq e^{cx^2}$$

for all real numbers x ? Prove your answer.

Solution. Answer: $c \geq 1/2$

To show that any $c \geq 1/2$ “works”, expand both sides into a Taylor series and compare coefficients

of corresponding powers of x :

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{2}(e^x + e^{-x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n + (-x)^n}{n!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2m}}{(2m)!},$$

$$(2) \quad e^{cx^2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^m x^{2m}}{m!}.$$

The constant terms on both sides are equal, and for $m \geq 1$, the coefficients of x^{2m} in (1) and (2) are $1/(2m)!$ and $c^m/m!$, respectively. Since $(2m)! = m!(m+1)\dots(2m) \geq 2^m m!$, we have $1/(2m)! \leq c^m/m!$, for $m \geq 1$, when $c \geq 1/2$, so all terms in the series (1) are less than or equal to the corresponding terms in the series (2). This proves that the inequality holds when $c \geq 1/2$.

To show that $c < 1/2$ does not work, we consider the behavior of both sides when $x \rightarrow 0$: From (1) and (2) we see that

$$e^{cx^2} - \frac{1}{2}(e^x + e^{-x}) = \left(1 + \frac{cx^2}{1!} + O(x^4)\right) - \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{1!} + O(x^4)\right) = \frac{2c-1}{2}x^2 + O(x^4),$$

and hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x^2} \left(e^{cx^2} - \frac{1}{2}(e^x + e^{-x}) \right) = \frac{2c-1}{2} < 0,$$

when $c < 1/2$. Thus, if $c < 1/2$, the inequality fails when x is sufficiently close to 0.

Alternative solution (Krishna Srinivasan): First note that, since both sides of the inequality are even functions of x , we may restrict to values $x \geq 0$.

Taking logarithms on both sides, we can rewrite the inequality as $cx^2 \geq \ln \cosh(x)$, or

$$(1) \quad f(x) = cx^2 - \ln \cosh(x) \geq 0.$$

Now compute

$$(2) \quad f'(x) = 2cx - \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x},$$

$$(3) \quad f''(x) = 2c - \frac{1}{(\cosh x)^2}$$

At $x = 0$, $f(0) = 0 - \ln 1 = 0$ by (1), and $f'(0) = 0$ by (2).

If $c \geq 1/2$, then (3) gives $f''(x) \geq 1 - 1/(\cosh x)^2 \geq 1 - 1 \geq 0$ for $x \geq 0$, so $f'(x)$ is nondecreasing for $x \geq 0$, and hence $f'(x) \geq f'(0) = 0$. Therefore $f(x) \geq f(0) = 0$ for $x \geq 0$, so (1) holds for all $x \geq 0$ in the case $c \geq 1/2$.

Conversely, if $c < 1/2$, then (3) shows $f''(0) = 2c - 1 < 0$, so $f'(x)$ is decreasing for sufficiently small x , and hence $f'(x) < f'(0) = 0$ and $f(x) < 0$ for such x . Thus, (1) fails for small enough x in the case $c < 1/2$.

4. Find, with proof, a simple formula for the sum

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n} \binom{k}{n} 2^{2n-k}.$$

Solution. Answer: $\boxed{4^n}$

Let $S(n)$ denote the given sum. We will show by induction that

$$(*) \quad S(n) = 2^{2n}.$$

For $n = 1$, we have $S(1) = \binom{1}{0} + \binom{2}{1}2^{-1} = 2$, so $(*)$ holds in this case. Now let $n \geq 1$ and suppose $(*)$ holds for this n . Then, using the recurrence formula $\binom{k}{n} = \binom{k-1}{n} + \binom{k-1}{n-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S(n+1) &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{2(n+1)} \binom{k}{n+1} 2^{2n+2-k} \\
&= \sum_{k=n+2}^{2n+2} \binom{k-1}{n+1} 2^{2n+2-k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+2} \binom{k-1}{n} 2^{2n+2-k} \\
&= \sum_{h=n+1}^{2n+1} \binom{h}{n+1} 2^{2n+1-h} + \sum_{h=n}^{2n+1} \binom{h}{n} 2^{2n+1-h} \quad (\text{set } h = k-1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(S(n+1) - \binom{2n+2}{n+1} \right) + 2 \left(S(n) + \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n+1}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} S(n+1) + 2S(n),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\binom{2n+2}{n+1} = \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!(n+1)!} = \frac{2n+2}{n+1} \binom{2n+1}{n} = 2 \binom{2n+1}{n}.$$

Hence $S(n+1) = 4S(n) = 4 \cdot 4^n = 4^{n+1}$. This proves the formula for $n+1$ and completes the induction.

5. (B3, Putnam 1982) Let $f(n)$ be the number of ordered pairs (a, b) of integers from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a + b$ is a perfect square (i.e., of the form k^2 , for some integer k). Prove that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)n^{-3/2}$ exists and express this limit in the form $r(\sqrt{s} - t)$, where s and t are integers and r is a rational number.

Solution. Answer: $\boxed{\frac{4}{3}(\sqrt{2} - 1)}$.

By definition, $f(n)$ is the number of pairs (a, b) of integers from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a + b = k^2$ for some positive integer k . We first count the number of such pair for fixed k .

- If $k^2 \leq n$, then there are exactly $k^2 - 1$ such pairs, namely $(1, k^2 - 1), (2, k^2 - 2), \dots, (k^2 - 1, 1)$.
- If $n < k^2 \leq 2n$, then the possible pairs are $(k^2 - n, n), (k^2 - n + 1, n - 1), \dots, (n, k^2 - n)$, so there are $n - (k^2 - n) + 1 = 2n + 1 - k^2$ such pairs in this case.
- If $k^2 > 2n$, there are no such pairs.

Adding up these counts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad f(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} (k^2 - 1) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{2n} \rfloor} (2n + 1 - k^2) \\
&= S(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor) - \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + (2n + 1) \left(\lfloor \sqrt{2n} \rfloor - \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor \right) - S(\lfloor \sqrt{2n} \rfloor) + S(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor),
\end{aligned}$$

where $S(t) = \sum_{k=1}^t k^2$. Now,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(t)}{t^3} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t(t+1)(2t+1)}{6t^3} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Hence, dividing (1) by $n^{3/2}$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{3} - 0 + 2(\sqrt{2} - 1) - \frac{\sqrt{2}^3}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{4}{3}(\sqrt{2} - 1).$$

6. Let x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots and y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots be sequences of positive real numbers satisfying

$$(1) \quad y_1 \geq y_2 \geq y_3 \geq \dots,$$

and

$$(2) \quad x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \geq y_1 y_2 \dots y_k$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Prove that

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \geq y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Solution. From (2) and the AGM inequality, we get

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i}{y_i} \geq k \left(\frac{x_1}{y_1} \dots \frac{x_k}{y_k} \right)^{1/k} \geq k$$

Set $d_i = (x_i - y_i)/y_i$. From (3) we get

$$(4) \quad S_k := \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i}{y_i} - k \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have (setting $S_0 = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - y_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^k d_i y_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (S_i - S_{i-1}) y_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} S_i (y_i - y_{i+1}) + S_k y_k \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $S_i \geq 0$ by (4) and $y_i - y_{i+1} \geq 0$ by (1). Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

as claimed.