

# On Curves over Finite Fields with Jacobians of Small Exponent

KEVIN FORD

Department of Mathematics, 1409 West Green Street  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Urbana, IL 61801, USA  
ford@math.uiuc.edu

IGOR SHPARLINSKI

Department of Computing, Macquarie University  
Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia  
igor@ics.mq.edu.au

September 21, 2008

## Abstract

We show that finite fields over which there is a curve of a given genus  $g \geq 1$  with its Jacobian having a small exponent, are very rare. This extends a recent result of W. Duke in the case  $g = 1$ . We also show when  $g = 1$  or  $g = 2$ , our lower bounds on the exponent, valid for almost all finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and all curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , are best possible.

**Keywords:** Jacobian, group structure, distribution of divisors

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 11G20, 11N25, 14H40

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  denote the Jacobian of a curve  $\mathcal{C}$  defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of  $q$  elements. We denote by  $\ell_q(\mathcal{C})$  the exponent of  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (that is,  $\ell_q(\mathcal{C})$  is the

largest order of elements of the Abelian group  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ) and by  $g$  the genus of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We start with recalling two well know facts.

- The Weil bound implies that

$$(q^{1/2} - 1)^{2g} \leq \#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq (q^{1/2} + 1)^{2g}, \quad (1)$$

see Corollary 5.70, Theorem 5.76 and Corollary 5.80 of [1]. In particular, for fixed  $g$ ,

$$\#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^g + O_g(q^{g-1/2}).$$

- The Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is an Abelian group with at most  $2g$  generators, that is, for some positive integers  $m_1, \dots, m_{2g}$  we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_{2g}\mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{where } m_1 \mid \dots \mid m_{2g}, \quad (2)$$

(in particular  $m_1 = \dots = m_j = 1$  if the rank of  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is  $2g - j$ ) and also

$$m_i \mid (q - 1) \quad (1 \leq i \leq g), \quad (3)$$

see Proposition 5.78 of [1].

Thus we see  $\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) = m_{2g}$  where  $m_{2g}$  is defined by the representation (2), which together with (1) implies the following trivial bound

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \geq (\#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q))^{1/2g} \geq q^{1/2} - 1. \quad (4)$$

For elliptic curves  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}$  over finite fields the exponent  $\ell_q(\mathcal{E})$  has been studied in a number of works, see [3, 8, 9, 13, 14], with a variety of results, each of them indicating that in a “typical case”  $\ell_q(\mathcal{E})$  tends to be substantially larger than the bound (4) guarantees. However for general curves the behavior of  $\ell_q(\mathcal{C})$  has not been studied. Let  $\pi(x)$  denote the number of primes  $p \leq x$ . W. Duke [3, footnote on page 691], among other results, has proved that for a sufficiently large  $x$  and all but  $o(\pi(x))$  of prime powers  $q \leq x$ , the bound

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{E}) \geq q^{3/4} / \log q \quad (5)$$

holds for all elliptic curves  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (the paper [3] considers only primes, but including all prime powers in the statement is trivial of course).

We provide a generalization and some improvement of (5) for curves of arbitrary genus.

**Theorem 1.** Fix  $g \geq 1$  and let  $\varepsilon(x)$  be a positive, decreasing function of  $x$  with  $\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . For all but  $o(\pi(x))$  of the prime powers  $q \leq x$ , the bound

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \geq q^{3/4+\varepsilon(q)}$$

holds for all curves  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

The method of proof of (5), used in [3], is somewhat specific to elliptic curves, so here we use a slightly different approach to counting fields  $\mathbb{F}_q$  that may contain a “bad” curve.

We show that Theorem 1 is best possible for  $g = 1$  and  $g = 2$ . In particular, the bound (5) of W. Duke [3] is quite sharp.

**Theorem 2.** For any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that for sufficiently large  $x$ , there are at least  $\alpha\pi(x)$  primes  $q \leq x$  such that for some nonsupersingular elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  and some nonsupersingular curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g = 2$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the bounds

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{E}) \leq q^{3/4+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \leq q^{3/4+\varepsilon}$$

hold.

The proof is based on a special case of a certain lower bound on the number of shifted primes  $p - 1$  having a divisor in a given interval. In full generality this bound is given in Theorem 7 of [5]. Such results have been applied to study the order of a given integer  $a > 1$  modulo almost all primes  $p$ , see [4, 7, 10], and now they have turned out to be useful for studying exponents of Jacobians. This argument also immediately implies the following result which applies to all curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of all possible genera.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\varepsilon(x)$  be a positive, decreasing function of  $x$  with  $\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . For all but  $o(\pi(x))$  of the prime powers  $q \leq x$ , the bound

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \geq q^{1/2+\varepsilon(q)}$$

holds for all curves  $\mathcal{C}$  of arbitrary genus defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Throughout the paper, the implied constants in the symbols ‘ $O$ ’, ‘ $\ll$ ’ and ‘ $\gg$ ’ do not depend on any parameter unless indicated by a subscript, that is,  $O_g$ ,  $\ll_g$  or  $\gg_g$  (we recall that the notations  $U = O(V)$ ,  $U \ll V$ , and  $V \gg U$  are all equivalent to the assertion that the inequality  $|U| \leq cV$  holds for some constant  $c > 0$ ).

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Bjorn Poonen for a number of very helpful discussions. During the preparation of this paper, K. F. was supported by NSF grants DMS-0301083 and DMS-0555367 and I. S. was supported in part by ARC grant DP0556431.

## 2 Preliminaries

We have already mentioned that our results are based on some estimates from [5] on shifted primes having a divisor in a given interval. Here we give a brief guide to these estimates.

As in [5] we use  $H(x, y, z)$  to denote the number of positive integers  $n \leq x$  having a divisor  $d$  with  $y < d \leq z$ . Theorem 1 of [5] gives the right order of magnitude of  $H(x, y, z)$  in the full range of parameters. However for our purposes we need only the estimate

$$H(x, y, z) \ll xu^\delta (\log(2/u))^{-3/2} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1 + \log \log 2}{\log 2} = 0.086071 \dots$$

and  $u$  is defined by the equation  $y^{1+u} = z$ , which holds uniformly in the range  $2y \leq z \leq y^2$ ,  $3 \leq y \leq \sqrt{x}$ .

Furthermore, we need the upper bound on  $H(x, y, z)$  only as tool of estimating  $H(x, y, z, \mathcal{P}_\lambda)$  which is the number of primes  $p \leq x$  such that  $p + \lambda$  has a divisor  $d$  with  $y < d \leq z$ . Theorem 6 of [5] gives the upper bound

$$H(x, y, z, \mathcal{P}_\lambda) \ll \frac{H(x, y, z)}{\log x} \quad (7)$$

which holds for every fixed non-zero integer  $\lambda$  in the range  $z \geq y + (\log y)^{2/3}$  and  $3 \leq y \leq \sqrt{x}$ , which is much wider than is necessary for the purposes of this paper.

We also need Theorem 7 of [5] which gives a lower bound on  $H(x, y, z, \mathcal{P}_\lambda)$  in a certain range of  $x, y, z$ . However, since its proof is quite short, we give an independent derivation in Section 4.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

The number of prime powers  $q = p^a \leq x$  with  $a \geq 2$  is  $O(x^{1/2})$ . Thus, it suffices to show that for all but  $o(x/\log x)$  of the primes  $q$  with  $x/2 < q \leq x$ , the bound

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \geq q^{3/4+\varepsilon(q)}$$

holds for all curves  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

For a  $(2g-1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{2g-1})$  of positive integers, we consider the set  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{k}}$  of primes  $x/2 \leq q \leq x$  for which there exists a curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g \geq 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $m_1 = k_1$ ,  $m_i = m_{i-1}k_i$ , where  $m_i$  is as in (2) and (3),  $i = 1, \dots, 2g-1$ . In particular, if such a curve  $\mathcal{C}$  exists, then

$$q-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k_1 \dots k_g}. \quad (8)$$

Since

$$k_1^{2g} k_2^{2g-1} \dots k_{2g-1}^2 \mid \#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

we see by (1) that there are at most

$$U_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g}}{k_1^{2g} k_2^{2g-1} \dots k_{2g-1}^2} \quad (9)$$

possibilities for the cardinality  $N = \#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

For each of such values  $N$ , we see by (1) that

$$N^{1/g} - 2N^{1/2g} + 1 \leq q \leq N^{1/g} + 2N^{1/2g} + 1.$$

Recalling (8) we deduce that for each possible cardinality  $N$  the prime powers  $q$  may take at most

$$V_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{5(x^{1/2} + 1)}{k_1 k_2 \dots k_g} + 1 \quad (10)$$

values. Therefore, combining (9) and (10), we derive

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{k}} \leq U_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \frac{5(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g+1}}{k_1^{2g+1} k_2^{2g} \dots k_g^{g+2} k_{g+1}^g \dots k_{2g-1}^2} + \frac{(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g}}{k_1^{2g} k_2^{2g-1} \dots k_{2g-1}^2}. \quad (11)$$

When  $g = 1$ , we interpret the right side as  $5(x^{1/2} + 1)^3 k_1^{-3} + (x^{1/2} + 1)^2 k_1^{-2}$ .

For any curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g \geq 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and any positive integer  $s \leq 2g-1$ , we have

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) = m_{2g} \geq \left( \frac{\#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{m_1 \dots m_s} \right)^{1/(2g-s)} \geq \left( \frac{(q^{1/2} - 1)^{2g}}{k_1^s k_2^{s-1} \dots k_s} \right)^{1/(2g-s)}. \quad (12)$$

In fact, we only need (12) for  $s = g$  and  $s = 2g - 1$ .

Suppose without loss of generality that  $\varepsilon(x) \geq (\log x)^{-1/2}$  and write  $\eta = \varepsilon(x/2)$ . Assume  $x$  is large, in particular so large that

$$\eta < \frac{1}{100g}.$$

Let  $I$  be the interval  $(x^{1/4-3\eta}, x^{1/4+3\eta}]$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the set of  $\mathbf{k}$  satisfying

$$k_1 \dots k_g \notin I, \quad (13)$$

$$k_1^g k_2^{g-1} \dots k_g \geq x^{g/4-2g\eta}, \quad (14)$$

$$k_1^{2g-1} k_2^{2g-2} \dots k_{2g-1} \geq x^{g-3/4-2\eta}. \quad (15)$$

Partition the primes  $q \in (x/2, x]$  into three sets:  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is the set of such primes for which  $q-1$  has a divisor in  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is the set of such primes lying in a set  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{k}}$  with  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_3$  is the set of remaining primes. By Theorems 1 and 6 of [5], that is, by a combination of (6) and (7), we have

$$\#\mathcal{T}_1 \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \eta^\delta (\log 1/\eta)^{-3/2} \quad (16)$$

Now consider  $q \in \mathcal{T}_2$ . By (14),

$$k_1 \dots k_g \geq (k_1^g k_2^{g-1} \dots k_g)^{1/g} \geq x^{1/4-2\eta},$$

hence  $k_1 \dots k_g > x^{1/4+3\eta}$  by (13). Combined with (11), (15), and the inequality  $k_i \leq (x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g}$  for each  $i$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{T}_2 &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}} \#\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{5(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g+1}}{x^{g-1/2+\eta}} + \frac{(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g}}{x^{g-3/4-2\eta}} \right) \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{1}{k_1 \dots k_{2g-1}} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{5(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g+1}}{x^{g-1/2+\eta}} + \frac{(x^{1/2} + 1)^{2g}}{x^{g-3/4-2\eta}} \right) (2g \log(x^{1/2} + 1) + 1)^{2g-1} \\ &\ll_g (\log x)^{2g-1} (x^{1-\eta} + x^{3/4+2\eta}) \\ &\ll_g x^{1-\eta/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (16), we see that all but  $o(x/\log x)$  primes  $q \in (x/2, x]$  lie in  $\mathcal{T}_3$ . For  $q \in \mathcal{T}_3$ , the condition (13) holds, thus either (14) is false or (15) is false. In either case, the bound (12) implies that  $\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \gg_g x^{3/4+2\eta}$ , and hence for large  $x$

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \geq q^{3/4+\varepsilon(q)}$$

for any curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . □

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

We start with the case  $g = 1$ .

Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\varepsilon < 1/20$ . Put

$$y = x^{1/4-\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad z = x^{1/4-\varepsilon/2}.$$

Since  $y > x^{1/5}$ , an integer  $k \leq x$  can have at most 4 prime factors  $p$  with  $y < p \leq z$ . Hence, the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of primes  $x/\log x \leq q \leq x$  such that  $q-1$  has a prime divisor  $p$  with  $y < p \leq z$ , is of cardinality least

$$\#\mathcal{P} \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \pi(x; p, 1) + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right),$$

where, as usual,  $\pi(x; k, a)$  is the number of primes  $q \leq x$  with  $q \equiv a \pmod{k}$ .

By the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem (see, for example, Section 28 of [2]),

$$\sum_{\substack{y < p \leq z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left| \pi(x; p, 1) - \frac{1}{p-1} \pi(x) \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\#\mathcal{P} \geq \frac{1}{4} \pi(x) \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \pi(x) \sum_{\substack{y < p \leq z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right).$$

By the Mertens theorem (see Theorem 4.1 of Chapter 1 in [11]),

$$\sum_{\substack{y < p \leq z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log z - \log \log y + o(1) = \log \frac{1-2\varepsilon}{1-4\varepsilon} + o(1),$$

thus for large  $x$  we have  $\#\mathcal{P} \geq \alpha\pi(x)$  for a positive  $\alpha$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ . This result is a special case of Theorem 7 of [5], but we include the proof because it is short.

For a sufficiently large  $x$  and for any  $q \in \mathcal{P}$ , there are at least  $2q^{1/2}z^{-2} - 1 \geq q^\varepsilon$  integers  $k \in [q + 1 - 2q^{1/2}, q]$  with  $p^2|k$  for some prime  $p|q - 1$  with  $y < p \leq z$ . For any such  $k$ , by [12, 16, 17] one can always find an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q) = k$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points and the exponent  $\ell_q(\mathcal{E}) = k/p \leq q/y \leq q^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ . This concludes the proof in the case  $g = 1$ .

For  $g = 2$ , Proposition 5.4 in Section 5 of Chapter X of [15] implies that the cardinalities of elliptic curves  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $j$ -invariant  $j(\mathcal{E}) = 0, 1728$  take  $O(1)$  values. Therefore we can choose  $k$  and an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of exponent  $\ell_q(\mathcal{E}) \leq q^{3/4+\varepsilon}$  as in the above with the additional condition  $j(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0, 1728$ . By Corollary 6 of [6] we see that there is a curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g = 2$  such that the Jacobian  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is isogenous to  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Moreover, there exists an isogeny from  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  to  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , whose kernel (over an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . So  $\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) \geq \ell_q(\mathcal{E})/2$ , which concludes the proof for  $g = 2$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3

The desired bound follows immediately from Theorems 1 and 6 of [5], that is, from (6) and (7), and the congruence  $q - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_g}$ , where  $m_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2g$ , are as in (2). Again without loss of generality assume that  $\varepsilon(x) \geq (\log x)^{-1/2}$ . For  $\eta = 2\varepsilon(x/2)$ , similarly to (16), we see that the set  $\mathcal{R}$  of primes  $q \leq x$  such that  $q - 1$  has a divisor  $m \in [x^{1/2-2\eta}, x^{1/2+2\eta}]$ , is of cardinality  $\#\mathcal{R} = o(x/\log x)$ . Consider a prime  $q \in (2x^{1-\eta}, x]$  which does not lie in  $\mathcal{R}$ , and any curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $m_g > x^{1/2+\eta}$  then

$$\ell_q(\mathcal{C}) = m_{2g} \geq m_g > q^{1/2+\varepsilon(q)}.$$

Otherwise, by (3),  $m_g \leq x^{1/2-2\eta}$  and by (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_q(\mathcal{C}) &\geq \left( \frac{\#\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)}{m_1 \cdots m_g} \right)^{1/g} \geq \left( \frac{(q^{1/2} - 1)^{2g}}{m_1 \cdots m_g} \right)^{1/g} \\ &\geq \left( \frac{x^{g-g\eta}}{x^{g/2-2g\eta}} \right)^{1/g} \geq x^{1/2+\eta} > q^{1/2+\varepsilon(q)} \end{aligned}$$

for large  $x$ .  $\square$



## 6 Remarks

It is interesting to note that using (12) for other values of  $s$  (besides  $s = g$  and  $s = 2g - 1$  as in the proof of Theorem 1) and thus corresponding sets  $\mathcal{K}$ , does not lead to any improvements.

**Open Question.** *Is the exponent in Theorem 1 sharp for arbitrary  $g \geq 3$ , as it is for  $g = 1, 2$ ?*

Unfortunately the lack of knowledge about the distribution of possible cardinalities of Jacobians of curves of genus  $g \geq 2$  prevents are from deriving an analogue of Theorem 2 for  $g \geq 2$ .

## References

- [1] R. Avanzi, H. Cohen, C. Doche, G. Frey, T. Lange, K. Nguyen and F. Vercauteren, *Elliptic and hyperelliptic curve cryptography: Theory and practice*, CRC Press, 2005.
- [2] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York 1980.
- [3] W. Duke, ‘Almost all reductions modulo  $p$  of an elliptic curve have a large exponent’, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **337** (2003), 689–692.
- [4] P. Erdős and R. Murty, ‘On the order of  $a \pmod{p}$ ’, *Proc. 5th Canadian Number Theory Association Conf.* (R. Gupta and K. S. Williams, eds), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, 87–97.
- [5] K. Ford, ‘The distribution of integers with a divisor in a given interval’, *Ann. Math.* **168** (2008), 367–433.
- [6] E. W. Howe, F. Leprévost and B. Poonen, ‘Large torsion subgroups of split Jacobians of curves of genus two or three’, *Forum Math.*, **12** (2000), 315–364.
- [7] K.-H. Indlekofer and N. M. Timofeev, ‘Divisors of shifted primes’, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **60** (2002), 307–345.
- [8] F. Luca, J. McKee and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Small exponent point groups on elliptic curves’, *J. Théorie des Nombres Bordeaux*, **18** (2006), 471–476.

- [9] F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On the exponent of the group of points on elliptic curves in extension fields’, *Intern. Math. Research Notices*, **2005** (2005), 1391–1409.
- [10] F. Pappalardi, ‘On the order of finitely generated subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  (mod  $p$ ) and divisors of  $p - 1$ ’, *J. Number Theory*, **57** (1996), 207–222.
- [11] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- [12] H.-G. Rück, ‘A note on elliptic curves over finite fields’, *Math. Comp.*, **49** (1987), 301–304.
- [13] R. Schoof, ‘The exponents of the group of points on the reduction of an elliptic curve’, *Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry* (G. van der Geer, F. Oort and J. Steenbrink, eds), Progr. Math., vol. 89, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1991, 325–335.
- [14] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Orders of points on elliptic curves’, *Affine Algebraic Geometry* (J. Gutierrez, V. Shpilrain and J.-T. Yu, eds), Amer. Math. Soc., 2005, 245–252.
- [15] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [16] M. A. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlăduț, *Algebraic-Geometric Codes*, Kluwer Acad. Pres, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [17] J. F. Voloch, ‘A note on elliptic curves over finite fields’, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **116** (1988), 455–458.