

An optimal L^p -bound on the Krein spectral shift function

(Birmingham, November 10–12, 2000)

Barry Simon and D. H.

Let $\xi_{A,B}$ be the Krein spectral shift function for a pair of operators A, B , with $C = A - B$ trace class. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(|\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)|) d\lambda &\leq \int F(|\xi_{|C|,0}(\lambda)|) d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [F(j) - F(j-1)] \mu_j(C), \end{aligned}$$

where F is any non-negative convex function on $[0, \infty)$ with $F(0) = 0$ and $\mu_j(C)$ are the singular values of C .

The Krein spectral shift function

Let A, B be bounded self-adjoint operators such that their difference $A - B$ is trace class. The Krein spectral shift function $\xi_{A,B}$ for the pair A, B is determined by

$$\operatorname{tr}(f(A) - f(B)) = \int f'(\lambda) \xi_{A,B}(\lambda) d\lambda$$

for all functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\xi(\lambda) = 0$ if $|\lambda|$ is large enough.

The two bounds

$$\int |\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)| d\lambda \leq \operatorname{tr}(|A - B|) \quad (1)$$

and

$$|\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)| \leq n \quad \text{if } A - B \text{ is rank } n \quad (2)$$

are well known

Theorem 1 (Combes, Hislop, and Nakamura)

One has the L^p -bound

$$\|\xi_{A,B}\|_p := \left(\int |\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)|^p d\lambda \right)^{1/p} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j(C)^{1/p}$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Note that this bound includes the endpoint cases (1) and (2) for $p = 1$ and in the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$, respectively.

Proof: Write $C := A - B = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j(C) \langle \phi_j, \cdot \rangle \psi_j$ and $B_n := B + \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j(C) \langle \phi_j, \cdot \rangle \psi_j$.

Then ζ_{B_{n+1}, B_n} is the spectral shift function of a rank one pair. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\zeta_{B_{n+1}, B_n}|^p &= \int |\zeta_{B_{n+1}, B_n}|^{p-1} |\zeta_{B_{n+1}, B_n}| \\ &\leq \int |\zeta_{B_{n+1}, B_n}| \leq \mu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Use the triangle inequality

$$\|\zeta_{A,B}\|_p = \left\| \sum \zeta_{B_{n+1}, B_n} \right\|_p \leq \sum \|\zeta_{B_{n+1}, B_n}\|_p$$

to sum this up. ■

A special spectral shift function

Let C be a positive trace class operator with eigenvalues μ_j . The spectral shift function for the pair $C, 0$ is simply given by

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{C,0}(\lambda) &= n \text{ if } \mu_{n+1} \leq \lambda < \mu_n \\ \xi_{C,0}(\lambda) &= 0 \text{ if } \lambda < 0 \text{ or } \lambda \geq \mu_1.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\xi_{C,0}$ enjoys the following important properties:

- $\xi_{C,0}$ takes only values in \mathbb{N}_0 (or \mathbb{Z} if C is not non-negative).
- For any non-negative function F on $[0, \infty)$ with $F(0) = 0$, we have

$$\int F(|\xi_{C,0}(\lambda)|) d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F(j) (\mu_j - \mu_{j+1}).$$

- In addition, if F is monotone increasing, then

$$\int F(|\xi_{C,0}(\lambda)|) d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [F(j) - F(j-1)] \mu_j.$$

Main Result

The above example $\zeta_{C,0}$ is an extreme case:

Theorem 2 (Barry Simon, 100DM)

Let F be a non-negative convex function on $[0, \infty)$ vanishing at zero. Given a non-negative compact operator C with singular values $\mu_j(C)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(|\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)|) d\lambda &\leq \int F(|\xi_{C,0}(\lambda)|) d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [F(j) - F(j-1)] \mu_j(C) \end{aligned}$$

for all pairs of bounded operators A, B with $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu_j(|A - B|) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu_j(C)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, this is the case if $|A - B| \leq C$.

Corollary 3 In terms of the singular values μ_j of the difference $A - B$, we have the L^p -bound

$$\|\xi_{A,B}\|_p \leq \|\xi_{|A-B|,0}\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n^p - (n-1)^p] \mu_n \right)^{1/p}.$$

Remark:

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n^p - (n-1)^p] \mu_n \right)^{1/p} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{1/p}.$$

Proof: With $\mu(n) := \mu_n - \mu_{n+1} \geq 0$ rewrite

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n^p - (n-1)^p] \mu_n \right)^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^p \mu(n) \right)^{1/p}$$

The right-hand side is the l^p -norm of the function $n \rightarrow n^p$ in the weighted l^p -space $l^p(\mu)$. Write $n = 1 + (n-1)$ and use Minkowski's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^p \mu(n) \right)^{1/p} &\leq \\ &\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)^p \mu(n) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \mu_1^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)^p \mu(n) \right)^{1/p} \leq \dots \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (n-N)^p \mu(n) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

■

The Proof

Let $m_f(t) := |\{\lambda : |f(\lambda)| > t\}|$. We will write $m_{A,B}$ for the distribution function of $\xi_{A,B}$.

Lemma 4 (Basic Lemma) *With $C = A - B$, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*

$$\int_n^\infty m_{A,B}(t) dt \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty \mu_j(C) = \int_n^\infty m_{|C|,0}(t) dt.$$

Proof: Set $(x - s)_+ := \sup\{0, x - s\}$. Then

$$\int_s^\infty m_f(t) dt = \int (|f(\lambda)| - s)_+ d\lambda \quad (3)$$

for all $s \geq 0$. Write

$|\xi_{A,B}| = |\xi_{A,B+C_n} + \xi_{B+C_n,B}| \leq |\xi_{A,B+C_n}| + n$,
with $C_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j(C) \langle \phi_j, \cdot \rangle \psi_j$. Thus

$$(|\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)| - n)_+ \leq |\xi_{A,B+C_n}(\lambda)|.$$

Using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_n^\infty m_{A,B}(t) dt &= \int (|\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)| - n)_+ d\lambda \\ &\leq \int |\xi_{A,B+C_n}(\lambda)| d\lambda = \text{tr}(C - C_n). \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 5 *For any non-negative, convex function F on $[0, \infty)$ which vanishes at zero, there exists a non-negative, locally finite measure ν_F on $[0, \infty)$ such that*

$$F(t) = \int_0^\infty (t - u)_+ \nu_F(du) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

F is strictly convex if and only if ν_F is strictly positive, that is, $\nu_F([a, b]) > 0$ for all $0 \leq a < b$.

Proof: Let F' be the left derivative of F , $F'(0) := 0$. Define ν_F by

$$\nu_F([a, b)) := F'(b) - F'(a).$$

Then $F'(s) = \nu_F([0, s))$. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (t - u)_+ \nu_F(du) &= \int_{[0, t)} \int_u^t ds \nu_F(du) \\ &= \int_0^t \nu_F([0, s)) ds = \int_0^t F'(s) ds = F(t). \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(|f(\lambda)|) d\lambda &= \int_0^\infty \int (|f(\lambda)| - u)_+ d\lambda \nu_F(du) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \underbrace{\int_u^\infty m_f(u) du}_{=: Q_f(\mathbf{u})} \nu_F(du) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

Lemma 6 *Let F be any non-negative, convex function F on $[0, \infty)$ which vanishes at zero. Given two functions f and g , $Q_f \leq Q_g$ implies*

$$\int F(|f(\lambda)|) d\lambda \leq \int F(|g(\lambda)|) d\lambda.$$

Moreover, if F is strictly convex and $Q_f < Q_g$ on a set of positive Lebesgue measure, then the inequality above is strict.

Lemma 7 *Suppose that g takes only values in \mathbb{N}_0 . Then the inequality $Q_f(n) \leq Q_g(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ implies*

$$Q_f(t) \leq Q_g(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Proof: Q_f and Q_g are convex AND Q_g is linear on $[n, n+1]$. The claim follows from convexity. ■

Proof of the Theorem: Given A and B , let $D = |A - B|$ and C be any non-negative trace class operator with

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu_j(D) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu_j(C) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The Basic Lemma shows

$$Q_{\xi_{A,B}}(n) \leq Q_{\xi_{|D|,0}}(n) \leq Q_{\xi_{C,0}}(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 7 then implies that (4) extends from \mathbb{N}_0 to all positive real n . Once one has that, Lemma 6 proves

$$\int F(|\xi_{A,B}(\lambda)|) d\lambda \leq \int F(|\xi_{C,0}(\lambda)|) d\lambda.$$

Fubini-Tonelli implies summation by parts*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F(j) (\mu_j - \mu_{j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^j (F(n) - F(n-1)) (\mu_j - \mu_{j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq j} \underbrace{(F(n) - F(n-1))}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(\mu_j - \mu_{j+1})}_{\geq 0} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(n) - F(n-1)) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (\mu_j - \mu_{j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F(n) - F(n-1)) \mu_n, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (\mu_j - \mu_{j+1})$ telescopes to μ_n .

*Or Riemann integral = Lebesgue integral!

Remark:

If

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n^p \ln(n+1)^\alpha}$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{1/p} < \infty$$

if and only if $\alpha > p$.

Whereas

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^p - (n-1)^p) \mu_n < \infty$$

if and only if $\alpha > 1$.