

EXACT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

1. THE MAIN THEOREM

Our goal is to solve the differential equation

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition Suppose that there exists a function $\Psi(x, y)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} = M(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} = N(x, y). \quad (1.2)$$

Then the differential equation (1.1) is *exact*.

If (1.1) is exact, then, by (1.2),

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y)y' = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \Psi(x, y) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Integrating the right side of (1.3), we see that

$$\Psi(x, y) = c, \quad (1.4)$$

where c is an arbitrary constant.

To summarize, if the differential equation (1.1) is exact, then once we find $\Psi(x, y)$, our solutions are simply given by (1.4). How do we determine if (1.1) is exact? If (1.1) is exact, how do we determine $\Psi(x, y)$? The following theorem answers these questions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $R = \{(x, y) : \alpha < x < \beta, \gamma < y < \delta\}$. Let M, N, M_y, N_x be continuous on R . Then (1.1) is exact if and only if*

$$M_y(x, y) = N_x(x, y). \quad (1.5)$$

Proof. First, assume that (1.1) is exact. Thus, there exists a function $\Psi(x, y)$ such that the equations (1.2) hold. Moreover, (1.3) also holds. From (1.2), we find that, respectively,

$$\Psi_{xy}(x, y) = M_y(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{yx}(x, y) = N_x(x, y). \quad (1.6)$$

By hypothesis, $M_y(x, y)$ and $N_x(x, y)$ are continuous. Hence, by (1.6), $\Psi_{xy}(x, y)$ and $\Psi_{yx}(x, y)$ are respectively continuous. Now we know from calculus that if partial derivatives are continuous, then mixed derivatives are independent of the order in which the partial derivatives are taken. Hence, $\Psi_{xy}(x, y) = \Psi_{yx}(x, y)$. It follows from the foregoing equality and (1.6) that $M_y(x, y) = N_x(x, y)$, which is what we wanted to prove.

Next, we prove the converse. We assume that $M_y = N_x$. Integrate the first equation of (1.2) with respect to x . Recalling that $M(x, y)$ is a function of 2 variables, the constant of integration will depend on y . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x, y) &:= \int M(x, y)dx + h(y) \\ &:= Q(x, y) + h(y).\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

where $h(y)$ is the constant of integration, and where we are using the notation $Q(x, y)$ for simplicity, instead of writing integral signs throughout the sequel. First, we differentiate the second equality of (1.7) with respect to x using the fundamental theorem of calculus, and then we differentiate the resulting equality with respect to y . Hence,

$$M(x, y) = Q_x(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad M_y(x, y) = Q_{xy}(x, y).\tag{1.8}$$

Second, differentiate (1.7) with respect to y . Here is a key step. After differentiating, we are now going to define $h'(y)$ by setting the right-hand side equal to $N(x, y)$. Hence,

$$\Psi_y(x, y) = Q_y(x, y) + h'(y) := N(x, y).\tag{1.9}$$

Rearranging the second equality of (1.9) yields

$$h'(y) = N(x, y) - Q_y(x, y).\tag{1.10}$$

At this moment, we do not know if we can find a function $h(y)$ such that (1.10) is valid. If we can find such a function $h(y)$, then first we will need to show that the right-hand side of (1.10) is indeed a function of only y . To do this, we differentiate the right side of (1.10) with respect to x . If we can show that this derivative is equal to 0, then the left side of (1.10), as a function of x , must be a constant. To that end, first, in the second equality below, we use our hypothesis $M_y = N_x$, and then use the second equality in (1.8) to deduce from the continuity of M_y that $Q_{xy} = Q_{yx}$. Second, in the third equality below, we use the second equality of (1.8) again. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{N(x, y) - Q_y(x, y)\} &= N_x(x, y) - Q_{yx}(x, y) \\ &= M_y(x, y) - Q_{xy}(x, y) \\ &= M_y(x, y) - M_y(x, y) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1.11}$$

Thus, as a function of x , the left side of (1.10) is a constant, because the derivative with respect to x of the right-hand side is equal to 0. In conclusion, our assumption in (1.9) that we can find a function of y such that (1.9) indeed does hold has been justified. We can find a formula for $h(y)$ by integrating (1.10) with respect to y to obtain

$$h(y) = \int N(x, y)dy - Q(x, y).\tag{1.12}$$

In conclusion, now that we have found $h(y)$, we see that from (1.7), $\Psi_x = M$, and that from (1.9), $\Psi_y = N$, i.e., (1.1) is exact.

2. RECIPE

From our analysis above, we can develop a recipe for solving an equation of type (1.1).

- (1) Check to see that $M_y = N_x$.
- (2) Then there exists a function Ψ such that $\Psi_x = M$ and $\Psi_y = N$.
- (3) Integrate Ψ_x and remember that the constant of integration is a function of y , i.e., $h(y)$.
- (4) Now from above, we have a formula for Ψ . Differentiate it with respect to y .
- (5) From our formula above and from $\Psi_y = N$ in item 2 above, solve for $h'(y)$.
- (6) Integrate to determine $h(y)$.
- (7) Plug this evaluation of $h(y)$ into the formula for Ψ from item 3.
- (8) Remember from (1.4) that your solutions are now $\Psi(x, y) = c$, for an arbitrary constant c .