

Approximating higher algebra by derived algebra

William Balderrama

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

March 3, 2020

Künneth spectral sequence

Fix: R an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum, $M, N \in \mathcal{M}od_R$. Then:

$$\pi_{*+q}(M \otimes_R N) \Leftarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}^{R_*}(M_*, N_{*-p}).$$

Künneth spectral sequence

Fix: R an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum, $M, N \in \mathcal{M}od_R$. Then:

$$\pi_{*+q}(M \otimes_R N) \Leftarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}^{R_*}(M_*, N_{*-p}).$$

Idea of proof

- (i) Choose resolution $M \leftarrow F_\bullet$ with $\pi_* M \leftarrow \pi_* F_\bullet$ a free resolution;
- (ii) Look at spectral sequence of $M \otimes_R N \leftarrow F_\bullet \otimes_R N$.

Künneth spectral sequence

Fix: R an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum, $M, N \in \text{Mod}_R$. Then:

$$\pi_{*+q}(M \otimes_R N) \Leftarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^{R_*}(M_*, N_{*-p}).$$

Idea of proof

- (i) Choose resolution $M \leftarrow F_\bullet$ with $\pi_*M \leftarrow \pi_*F_\bullet$ a free resolution;
- (ii) Look at spectral sequence of $M \otimes_R N \leftarrow F_\bullet \otimes_R N$.

Downsides to approach

- (i) If we replace Mod_R with more exotic (unstable etc.) categories, working directly with resolutions becomes difficult;
- (ii) Extra properties (multiplicativity, naturality, ...) need to be checked by hand, and some of these are difficult;
- (iii) No direct relation between Mod_R and $\mathcal{D}(R_*)$.

Summary

Goal of talk

To explain a framework for building obstruction theories, spectral sequences, etc. which:

- (i) Is easily applicable to a wide variety of situations;
- (ii) Is conceptual and has good formal properties.

Summary

Goal of talk

To explain a framework for building obstruction theories, spectral sequences, etc. which:

- (i) Is easily applicable to a wide variety of situations;
- (ii) Is conceptual and has good formal properties.

Outline of talk

§1 Motivation: more on Mod_R ;

§2 Well-behaved infinitary algebraic theories;

§3 Description of the general framework;

§4 Some example output;

§5 An application to chromatic homotopy theory.

Understanding $\pi_*: \mathcal{M}od_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_{R_*}$

Understanding $\pi_*: \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R_*}$

Definition

- (i) A finitary *algebraic theory* is a small \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts;
- (ii) A *model* of \mathcal{C} is a presheaf X such that
$$X(C_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg C_n) \simeq X(C_1) \times \cdots \times X(C_n).$$

Understanding $\pi_*: \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R_*}$

Definition

- (i) A finitary *algebraic theory* is a small \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts;
- (ii) A *model* of \mathcal{C} is a presheaf X such that
$$X(C_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg C_n) \simeq X(C_1) \times \cdots \times X(C_n).$$

Example

If $\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}$ = sums and shifts of R_* , then

- (i) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{R_*}$;

Understanding $\pi_*: \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R_*}$

Definition

- (i) A finitary *algebraic theory* is a small \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts;
- (ii) A *model* of \mathcal{C} is a presheaf X such that
$$X(C_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg C_n) \simeq X(C_1) \times \cdots \times X(C_n).$$

Example

If $\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}} =$ sums and shifts of R_* , then

- (i) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{R_*}$;
- (ii) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}, \mathcal{Gpd}_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{D}^+(R_*)$ (Dold-Kan, Badzioch, Bergner, Lurie).

Understanding $\pi_*: \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R_*}$

Definition

- (i) A finitary *algebraic theory* is a small \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts;
- (ii) A *model* of \mathcal{C} is a presheaf X such that
$$X(C_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg C_n) \simeq X(C_1) \times \cdots \times X(C_n).$$

Example

If $\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}$ = sums and shifts of R_* , then

- (i) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{R_*}$;
- (ii) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}) = \mathcal{D}^+(R_*)$ (Dold-Kan, Badzioch, Bergner, Lurie).

Understanding $\pi_*: \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R_*}$

Definition

- (i) A finitary *algebraic theory* is a small \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts;
- (ii) A *model* of \mathcal{C} is a presheaf X such that
$$X(C_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg C_n) \simeq X(C_1) \times \cdots \times X(C_n).$$

Example

If $\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}$ = sums and shifts of R_* , then

- (i) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Mod}_{R_*}$;
- (ii) $\text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}) = \mathcal{D}^+(R_*)$ (Dold-Kan, Badzioch, Bergner, Lurie).

New interpretation of π_*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_R & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \text{Mod}_{R_*} \\ \downarrow h & & \simeq \downarrow h \\ \text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \text{Psh}^\times(\text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}}), \text{Set}) \xrightarrow{=} & \text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_{R_*}^{\text{fgf}}, \text{Set}) \end{array}$$

Closer look at $\mathcal{M}od_R^{\text{fgf}}$

Observations

- (i) The inclusion $h: \mathcal{M}od_R \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\times(\mathcal{M}od_R^{\text{fgf}})$ is not an equivalence:
- (ii) If $X = h(M)$, then $X(\Sigma F) \simeq \Omega X(F)$.

Closer look at $\mathcal{M}od_R^{\text{fgf}}$

Observations

- (i) The inclusion $h: \mathcal{M}od_R \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\times(\mathcal{M}od_R^{\text{fgf}})$ is not an equivalence:
- (ii) If $X = h(M)$, then $X(\Sigma F) \simeq \Omega X(F)$.

Theorem (Hopkins-Lurie)

This is a full characterization: $\mathcal{M}od_R \simeq \text{Psh}^{\times, \Omega}(\mathcal{M}od_R^{\text{fgf}})$.

Closer look at $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}}$

Observations

- (i) The inclusion $h: \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}})$ is not an equivalence:
- (ii) If $X = h(M)$, then $X(\Sigma F) \simeq \Omega X(F)$.

Theorem (Hopkins-Lurie)

This is a full characterization: $\text{Mod}_R \simeq \text{Psh}^{\times, \Omega}(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}})$.

General philosophy

Looking at $\text{Mod}_R \subsetneq \text{Psh}^\times(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}})$ lets us relate
 $\text{Mod}_R = \text{Psh}^{\times, \Omega}(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}})$ with $\mathcal{D}^+(R_*) = \text{Psh}^\times(\text{Ho}(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{fgf}}))$.

Mal'cev theories I

Definition

A *Mal'cev theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

- (i) \mathcal{P} has small coproducts;
- (ii) ... κ ...
- (iii) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ admits the structure of a coherd;

Mal'cev theories I

Definition

A *Mal'cev theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

- (i) \mathcal{P} has small coproducts;
- (ii) ... κ ...
- (iii) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ admits the structure of a coherd;

Models = $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{presheaves } X \text{ with } X(\coprod_{i \in I} P_i) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} X(P_i).$

Mal'cev theories I

Definition

A *Mal'cev theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

- (i) \mathcal{P} has small coproducts;
- (ii) ... κ ...
- (iii) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ admits the structure of a coherd;

Models = $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{presheaves } X \text{ with } X(\coprod_{i \in I} P_i) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} X(P_i)$.

Herds

- (i) Minimal thingy so “every simplicial thingy is a Kan complex”;

Mal'cev theories I

Definition

A *Mal'cev theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

- (i) \mathcal{P} has small coproducts;
- (ii) ... κ ...
- (iii) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ admits the structure of a coherd;

Models = $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{presheaves } X \text{ with } X(\coprod_{i \in I} P_i) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} X(P_i)$.

Herds

- (i) Minimal thingy so “every simplicial thingy is a Kan complex”;
- (ii) Explicitly: X with $t: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$t(x, x, y) = y, \quad t(x, y, y) = x$$

Mal'cev theories I

Definition

A *Mal'cev theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

- (i) \mathcal{P} has small coproducts;
- (ii) ... κ ...
- (iii) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ admits the structure of a coherd;

Models = $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{presheaves } X \text{ with } X(\coprod_{i \in I} P_i) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} X(P_i)$.

Herds

- (i) Minimal thingy so “every simplicial thingy is a Kan complex”;
- (ii) Explicitly: X with $t: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ such that
$$t(x, x, y) = y, \quad t(x, y, y) = x$$
- (iii) Main example: X a group, $t(x, y, z) = xy^{-1}z$;

Mal'cev theories I

Definition

A *Mal'cev theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

- (i) \mathcal{P} has small coproducts;
- (ii) ... κ ...
- (iii) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ admits the structure of a coherd;

Models = $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) =$ presheaves X with $X(\coprod_{i \in I} P_i) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} X(P_i)$.

Herds

- (i) Minimal thingy so “every simplicial thingy is a Kan complex”;
- (ii) Explicitly: X with $t: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$t(x, x, y) = y, \quad t(x, y, y) = x$$

- (iii) Main example: X a group, $t(x, y, z) = xy^{-1}z$;
- (iv) Why herds: if $X \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$, then \mathcal{P}/X still a Mal'cev theory.

Mal'cev theories II

Facts

(i) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: freely adjoins geometric realizations to \mathcal{P} ;

Mal'cev theories II

Facts

- (i) $\mathbf{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: freely adjoins geometric realizations to \mathcal{P} ;
- (ii) \mathcal{P} a 1-category: $\mathbf{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \mathbf{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Quillen}}[W^{-1}]$.

Mal'cev theories II

Facts

- (i) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: freely adjoins geometric realizations to \mathcal{P} ;
- (ii) \mathcal{P} a 1-category: $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{sSet})_{\text{Quillen}}[W^{-1}]$.

Examples

- (i) \mathcal{A} cocomplete abelian category, $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A}$ enough projectives, then
 - $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$;
 - $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$

Mal'cev theories II

Facts

- (i) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: freely adjoins geometric realizations to \mathcal{P} ;
- (ii) \mathcal{P} a 1-category: $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{sSet})_{\text{Quillen}}[W^{-1}]$.

Examples

- (i) \mathcal{A} cocomplete abelian category, $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A}$ enough projectives, then
 - $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$;
 - $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$ (and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Sp})$).

Mal'cev theories II

Facts

- (i) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: freely adjoins geometric realizations to \mathcal{P} ;
- (ii) \mathcal{P} a 1-category: $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{sSet})_{\text{Quillen}}[W^{-1}]$.

Examples

- (i) \mathcal{A} cocomplete abelian category, $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A}$ enough projectives, then
 - $\mathcal{A} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$;
 - $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$ (and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Sp})$).
- (ii) T a monad on $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$ preserving geometric realizations, $T\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Alg}_T$ objects free on $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then
 - $\text{Alg}_T \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(T\mathcal{P})$.

Mal'cev theories III

Good setting for Quillen cohomology and left-derived functors.

Mal'cev theories III

Good setting for Quillen cohomology and left-derived functors.

Definition: Quillen's cohomology

$\Lambda \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$, $M \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A}b)$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}}^n(\Lambda; M) = \text{Map}(\Lambda; B^n M), \quad \pi_k \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}}^n(\Lambda; M) = H_{\mathcal{P}}^{n-k}(\Lambda; M).$$

Mal'cev theories III

Good setting for Quillen cohomology and left-derived functors.

Definition: Quillen's cohomology

$\Lambda \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$, $M \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Ab})$, define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}}^n(\Lambda; M) = \text{Map}(\Lambda; B^n M), \quad \pi_k \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}}^n(\Lambda; M) = H_{\mathcal{P}}^{n-k}(\Lambda; M).$$

Definition: Left-derived functors

Fix $F: \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$, set $f = \text{restriction to } \mathcal{P}'$. Define

$$\mathbb{L}F = f_! : \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}).$$

$f_! = \text{left Kan extension of } f = \text{“apply } F \text{ to free resolution”}$.

Resolution theories

Definition

A *resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(iv) For every $F =$ finite wedge of spheres, $F \otimes P = \operatorname{colim}_{x \in F} P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Resolution theories

Definition

A *resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(iv) For every $F =$ finite wedge of spheres, $F \otimes P = \operatorname{colim}_{x \in F} P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Variant: a *stable resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(v) \mathcal{P} is pointed and $\Sigma: \mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{P}$.

Resolution theories

Definition

A *resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(iv) For every $F =$ finite wedge of spheres, $F \otimes P = \operatorname{colim}_{x \in F} P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Variant: a *stable resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(v) \mathcal{P} is pointed and $\Sigma: \mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{P}$.

Set $\operatorname{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}) =$ models X with $X(F \otimes P) = X(P)^F$.

Resolution theories

Definition

A *resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(iv) For every $F =$ finite wedge of spheres, $F \otimes P = \operatorname{colim}_{x \in F} P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Variant: a *stable resolution theory* is \mathcal{P} such that

(v) \mathcal{P} is pointed and $\Sigma: \mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{P}$.

Set $\operatorname{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}) =$ models X with $X(F \otimes P) = X(P)^F$.

Related work (finitary pointed setting)

- (i) Hopkins-Lurie: uses particular stable theory to study Brauer groups of Lubin-Tate spectra;
- (ii) Pstrągowski: reinterprets Blanc-Dwyer-Goerss obstruction theory for Π -algebras, and has work generalizing in different directions in the stable case.

Resolution theories II

Theorem (B.)

For many \mathcal{M} , one can find (possibly many) $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ so $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$.

Resolution theories II

Theorem (B.)

For many \mathcal{M} , one can find (possibly many) $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ so $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$.

Basic examples

- (i) R an \mathbb{A}_∞ ring spectrum: Have $\text{LMod}_R \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{free}})$;
- (ii) R an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, \mathcal{O} operad in Mod_R : Have $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{free}})$.

Resolution theories II

Theorem (B.)

For many \mathcal{M} , one can find (possibly many) $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ so $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$.

Basic examples

- (i) R an \mathbb{A}_∞ ring spectrum: Have $\text{LMod}_R \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{free}})$;
- (ii) R an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, \mathcal{O} operad in Mod_R : Have $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{free}})$.

Harder example

- (iii) R an \mathbb{E}_2 ring, $I \subset R_0$ f.g. ideal, $\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I)} = I$ -complete R -modules: Have $\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I)} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I), \text{free}})$.

Resolution theories II

Theorem (B.)

For many \mathcal{M} , one can find (possibly many) $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ so $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$.

Basic examples

- (i) R an \mathbb{A}_∞ ring spectrum: Have $\text{LMod}_R \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{free}})$;
- (ii) R an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring, \mathcal{O} operad in Mod_R : Have $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{free}})$.

Harder example

- (iii) R an \mathbb{E}_2 ring, $I \subset R_0$ f.g. ideal, $\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I)} = I$ -complete R -modules: Have $\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I)} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I), \text{free}})$.

This requires infinitary theories: $\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I), \text{free}}$ not generated by compact objects in $\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I)}$. Also $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{Cpl}(I)} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{Cpl}(I), \text{free}})$.

Getting to the punchline

Once we write a category as $\text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$, we get a discrete theory $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P})$.

Getting to the punchline

Once we write a category as $\text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$, we get a discrete theory $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P})$.

Examples

- (i) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{free}}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{LMod}_{R_*}$;
- (ii) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{free}}, \text{Set}) \simeq$ “ R_* -modules with \mathcal{O} -power operations”;
- (iii) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I), \text{free}}, \text{Set})$ often \simeq “derived I -complete R_* -modules”.

Getting to the punchline

Once we write a category as $\text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$, we get a discrete theory $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P})$.

Examples

- (i) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{free}}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{LMod}_{R_*}$;
- (ii) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{free}}, \text{Set}) \simeq$ “ R_* -modules with \mathcal{O} -power operations”;
- (iii) $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{LMod}_R^{\text{Cpl}(I), \text{free}}, \text{Set})$ often \simeq “derived I -complete R_* -modules”.

Realizing the general philosophy

- (i) Given \mathcal{M} , find $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$;
- (ii) Using $\mathcal{M} \subset \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$, get new filtrations of questions about \mathcal{M} ;
- (iii) Filtration quotients are questions in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P}))$.

Example I: Mapping spaces

Setup

Goal: for $X, Y \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$, produce maps $X \rightarrow Y$. Fix:

- (i) $\phi: \pi_0 X \rightarrow \pi_0 Y$ in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P}), \text{Set})$;
- (ii) $\text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y) = f$ with $\pi_0 f = \phi$.

Postnikov tower in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: get $\text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y) = \lim_n \text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y_{\leq n})$.

Example I: Mapping spaces

Setup

Goal: for $X, Y \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$, produce maps $X \rightarrow Y$. Fix:

- (i) $\phi: \pi_0 X \rightarrow \pi_0 Y$ in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P}), \text{Set})$;
- (ii) $\text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y) = f$ with $\pi_0 f = \phi$.

Postnikov tower in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: get $\text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y) = \lim_n \text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y_{\leq n})$.

Theorem (B.)

There are canonical fiber sequences.

$$\text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y_{\leq n}) \rightarrow \text{Map}^{\phi}(X, Y_{\leq n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P})/\pi_0 Y}^{n+1}(\pi_0 X; \pi_0 Y^{S^n}).$$

Example I: Mapping spaces

Setup

Goal: for $X, Y \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$, produce maps $X \rightarrow Y$. Fix:

- (i) $\phi: \pi_0 X \rightarrow \pi_0 Y$ in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P}), \text{Set})$;
- (ii) $\text{Map}^\phi(X, Y) = f$ with $\pi_0 f = \phi$.

Postnikov tower in $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P})$: get $\text{Map}^\phi(X, Y) = \lim_n \text{Map}^\phi(X, Y_{\leq n})$.

Theorem (B.)

There are canonical fiber sequences.

$$\text{Map}^\phi(X, Y_{\leq n}) \rightarrow \text{Map}^\phi(X, Y_{\leq n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P})/\pi_0 Y}^{n+1}(\pi_0 X; \pi_0 Y^{S^n}).$$

Variant

For \mathcal{P} stable, have mapping spectrum $\mathbf{Map}(X, Y)$, and

$$\pi_{-q} \mathbf{Map}(X, Y) \leftarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Ho}(\mathcal{P})}^{p+q}(\pi_0 X; \pi_0 Y[p])$$

Example II: Left derived functors

Setup

- (i) \mathcal{P}' and \mathcal{P} with \mathcal{P} stable;
- (ii) $F: \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$ preserving geometric realizations;
- (iii) Get $\overline{F}: \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$.

Example II: Left derived functors

Setup

- (i) \mathcal{P}' and \mathcal{P} with \mathcal{P} stable;
- (ii) $F: \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$ preserving geometric realizations;
- (iii) Get $\overline{F}: \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$.

Theorem (B.)

For $X \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}')$, have spectral sequence

$$\pi_q F X \Leftarrow (\mathbb{L}_{p+q} \overline{F})(\pi_0 X)[-p]$$

Example II: Left derived functors

Setup

- (i) \mathcal{P}' and \mathcal{P} with \mathcal{P} stable;
- (ii) $F: \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P})$ preserving geometric realizations;
- (iii) Get $\overline{F}: \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}', \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \text{Set})$.

Theorem (B.)

For $X \in \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}')$, have spectral sequence

$$\pi_q F X \Leftarrow (\mathbb{L}_{p+q} \overline{F})(\pi_0 X)[-p]$$

Comments

- (i) Involves studying $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P})$: comes from an explicit localization $L: \text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P})$;
- (ii) Has good monoidal properties.

Concrete application

Setup

- (i) E = height h Lubin-Tate spectrum;
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{loc}} = K(h)$ -local commutative E -algebras;
- (iii) Then $\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{loc, free}})$;
- (iv) Can show $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{loc, free}}), \text{Set}) \simeq \mathcal{A}\text{lg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}} =$ “suitably complete” \mathbb{T} -algebras, $\mathbb{T} = \text{Rezk's monad of } E\text{-power operations.}$

Concrete application

Setup

- (i) E = height h Lubin-Tate spectrum;
- (ii) $\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}} = K(h)$ -local commutative E -algebras;
- (iii) Then $\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc, free}})$;
- (iv) Can show $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc, free}}), \text{Set}) \simeq \mathcal{Alg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}} =$ “suitably complete” \mathbb{T} -algebras, $\mathbb{T} = \text{Rezk's monad of } E\text{-power operations.}$

Cohomology of $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ -algebras

- (i) Can identify $\mathcal{Ab}(\mathcal{Alg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}}/B_{\star}) \subset \text{LMod}_{\Delta_B}$ for an associative ring Δ_B ;
- (ii) If $M_{\star} \in \text{LMod}_{\Delta_B}$ projective over B_{\star} : length h Koszul resolution;
- (ii) $A_{\star} \in \mathcal{Alg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}}/B_{\star}$ “smooth”: $H_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}/B_{\star}}^*(A_{\star}; M_{\star}) = \text{Ext}_{\Delta_A}^*(\Omega_{A_{\star}|E_{\star}}, M_{\star})$.

Concrete application

Setup

- (i) E = height h Lubin-Tate spectrum;
- (ii) $\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}} = K(h)$ -local commutative E -algebras;
- (iii) Then $\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}} \simeq \text{Psh}^{\Pi, \Omega}(\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc, free}})$;
- (iv) Can show $\text{Psh}^{\Pi}(\text{Ho}(\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc, free}}), \text{Set}) \simeq \mathcal{Alg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}} =$ “suitably complete” \mathbb{T} -algebras, $\mathbb{T} = \text{Rezk's monad of } E\text{-power operations.}$

Cohomology of $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ -algebras

- (i) Can identify $\mathcal{Ab}(\mathcal{Alg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}}/B_{\star}) \subset \text{LMod}_{\Delta_B}$ for an associative ring Δ_B ;
- (ii) If $M_{\star} \in \text{LMod}_{\Delta_B}$ projective over B_{\star} : length h Koszul resolution;
- (ii) $A_{\star} \in \mathcal{Alg}_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}}/B_{\star}$ “smooth”: $H_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}/B_{\star}}^*(A_{\star}; M_{\star}) = \text{Ext}_{\Delta_A}^*(\Omega_{A_{\star}|E_{\star}}, M_{\star})$.

Example where obstructions vanish

If $h \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{CAlg}(MUP, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\infty}(MUP, E)$ surjects.