

# From power operations to $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ maps

William Balderrama

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

September 17, 2020

## Background: complex orientations

Fix  $E$  a homotopy ring spectrum, or multiplicative cohomology theory.

### Definition

A (periodic) complex orientation of  $E$  is a class

$$u \in \tilde{E}^0 BU(1) = \tilde{E}^0 \mathbb{C}P^\infty$$

such that under  $j: S^2 \subset BU(1)$ ,

$$j^*(u) \in \tilde{E}^0 S^2 = \pi_2 E$$

is invertible.

## Background: complex orientations

Fix  $E$  a homotopy ring spectrum, or multiplicative cohomology theory.

### Definition

A (periodic) complex orientation of  $E$  is a class

$$u \in \tilde{E}^0 BU(1) = \tilde{E}^0 \mathbb{C}P^\infty$$

such that under  $j: S^2 \subset BU(1)$ ,

$$j^*(u) \in \tilde{E}^0 S^2 = \pi_2 E$$

is invertible.

### Example: $E = KU$

$\pi_* KU = \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{\pm 1}]$  with  $|\beta| = 2$ . Canonical bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow BU(1)$  gives

$$u = 1 - \mathcal{L} \in \widetilde{KU}^0 BU(1).$$

Then

$$j^*(u) = -\beta \in \pi_2 KU.$$

# Chern classes

What does a complex orientation  $u \in E^0BU(1)$  give you?

## Facts

- $E^0BU(1) \cong E^0[[u]]$ ;
- In general  $E^0BU(n) \cong E^0[[c_1, \dots, c_n]]$ .

# Chern classes

What does a complex orientation  $u \in E^0 BU(1)$  give you?

## Facts

- $E^0 BU(1) \cong E^0[[u]]$ ;
- In general  $E^0 BU(n) \cong E^0[[c_1, \dots, c_n]]$ .

## Chern classes

- Fix  $\xi \rightarrow X$  complex vector bundle;
- Classified by some  $p: X \rightarrow BU(n)$ ;
- Get Chern classes  $c_i(\xi) = p^*(c_i) \in E^0 X$ .

So a complex orientation lets us define invariants of vector bundles.

# Formal group laws

## Tensor products

- Have  $p: BU(1) \times BU(1) \rightarrow BU(1)$  classifying tensor product;
- Have  $E^0(BU(1) \times BU(1)) = E^0[[u_1, u_2]]$ , so  $p^*(u) = \Gamma(u_1, u_2)$ ;
- In general  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) = \Gamma(c_1(\mathcal{L}_1), c_1(\mathcal{L}_2))$ .

# Formal group laws

## Tensor products

- Have  $p: BU(1) \times BU(1) \rightarrow BU(1)$  classifying tensor product;
- Have  $E^0(BU(1) \times BU(1)) = E^0[[u_1, u_2]]$ , so  $p^*(u) = \Gamma(u_1, u_2)$ ;
- In general  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) = \Gamma(c_1(\mathcal{L}_1), c_1(\mathcal{L}_2))$ .

## Formal group laws

Power series  $\Gamma$  satisfies

$$\Gamma(u_1, u_2) = \Gamma(u_2, u_1), \quad \Gamma(u_1, \Gamma(u_2, u_3)) = \Gamma(\Gamma(u_1, u_2), u_3).$$

These are called formal group laws.

# Formal group laws

## Tensor products

- Have  $p: BU(1) \times BU(1) \rightarrow BU(1)$  classifying tensor product;
- Have  $E^0(BU(1) \times BU(1)) = E^0[[u_1, u_2]]$ , so  $p^*(u) = \Gamma(u_1, u_2)$ ;
- In general  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) = \Gamma(c_1(\mathcal{L}_1), c_1(\mathcal{L}_2))$ .

## Formal group laws

Power series  $\Gamma$  satisfies

$$\Gamma(u_1, u_2) = \Gamma(u_2, u_1), \quad \Gamma(u_1, \Gamma(u_2, u_3)) = \Gamma(\Gamma(u_1, u_2), u_3).$$

These are called formal group laws.

## Philosophy of chromatic homotopy theory

There is a close correspondence

$$\{\text{Stable homotopy theory}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{Geometry of formal group laws}\}.$$



# Quillen's theorem

## Quillen's theorem

- There is a universal complex oriented spectrum  $MUP$ :  
 $\{\text{Complex orientations of } E\} \cong \{\text{Multiplicative maps } MUP \rightarrow E\}$
- Formal group law over  $\pi_0 MUP$  is universal:  
 $\{\text{Formal group laws over ring } R\} \cong \{\text{Ring maps } \pi_0 MUP \rightarrow R\}.$

# Quillen's theorem

## Quillen's theorem

- There is a universal complex oriented spectrum  $MUP$ :  
 $\{\text{Complex orientations of } E\} \cong \{\text{Multiplicative maps } MUP \rightarrow E\}$
- Formal group law over  $\pi_0 MUP$  is universal:  
 $\{\text{Formal group laws over ring } R\} \cong \{\text{Ring maps } \pi_0 MUP \rightarrow R\}.$

## Formal groups

- Have coproduct  $E^0 BU(1) \rightarrow E^0 BU(1) \hat{\otimes} E^0 BU(1)$ ;
- Description without  $E^0 BU(1) \cong E^0[[u]]$  gives “formal group”  $\mathbb{G}_E$ ;
- Complex orientation  $u \in E^0 BU(1)$  is a “coordinate”, on  $\mathbb{G}_E$  and  
 $\{\text{Coordinates on } \mathbb{G}_E\} \cong \{\text{Complex orientations } MUP \rightarrow E\}$

# Highly structured orientations

## $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ rings

$MUP$  is a  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring:

- $MUP^{\otimes n} \rightarrow MUP$  refines to  $P_n: MUP_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow MUP$ ;
- Maps  $P_n$  satisfy compatibilities: “ $P_m \circ P_n \simeq P_{nm}$ ”;
- Homotopies witnessing compatibilities satisfy compatibilities, etc.

Just the first two say  $MUP$  is an  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  ring.

# Highly structured orientations

## $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ rings

$MUP$  is a  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring:

- $MUP^{\otimes n} \rightarrow MUP$  refines to  $P_n: MUP_{\mathbb{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow MUP$ ;
- Maps  $P_n$  satisfy compatibilities: “ $P_m \circ P_n \simeq P_{nm}$ ”;
- Homotopies witnessing compatibilities satisfy compatibilities, etc.

Just the first two say  $MUP$  is an  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  ring.

## Big question

Say  $E$  is  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ . Can we make  $MUP \rightarrow E$  a map of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  rings?

## Goal

Describe a positive answer for a number of  $E$ .

# Lubin-Tate spectra

## Cohomology theories from formal group laws

- Given fgl  $\Gamma$  on ring  $R_0$ , classified by  $\pi_0 MUP \rightarrow R_0$ , so can define

$$R_0 X = R_0 \otimes_{\pi_0 MUP} MUP_0 X.$$

- Landweber identifies class of “Landweber exact” formal group laws where this is a homology theory.
- Example: have  $KU_0 X = KU_0 \otimes_{\pi_0 MUP} MUP_0 X$  (Conner-Floyd).

# Lubin-Tate spectra

## Cohomology theories from formal group laws

- Given fgl  $\Gamma$  on ring  $R_0$ , classified by  $\pi_0 MUP \rightarrow R_0$ , so can define

$$R_0 X = R_0 \otimes_{\pi_0 MUP} MUP_0 X.$$

- Landweber identifies class of “Landweber exact” formal group laws where this is a homology theory.
- Example: have  $KU_0 X = KU_0 \otimes_{\pi_0 MUP} MUP_0 X$  (Conner-Floyd).

## Lubin-Tate spectra

Morava singles out formal group laws constructed by Lubin-Tate:

- Fgl  $\Gamma$  over char.  $p$  perfect field  $\kappa$  has a “height”  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ ;
- For  $n < \infty$ , have universal lift  $\Gamma_u$  to complete local ring  $E_0$ ;
- $\Gamma_u$  is Landweber exact, so get  $E$  with  $\pi_0 E = E_0$ .

# Lubin-Tate spectra

## Cohomology theories from formal group laws

- Given fgl  $\Gamma$  on ring  $R_0$ , classified by  $\pi_0 MUP \rightarrow R_0$ , so can define

$$R_0 X = R_0 \otimes_{\pi_0 MUP} MUP_0 X.$$

- Landweber identifies class of “Landweber exact” formal group laws where this is a homology theory.
- Example: have  $KU_0 X = KU_0 \otimes_{\pi_0 MUP} MUP_0 X$  (Conner-Floyd).

## Lubin-Tate spectra

Morava singles out formal group laws constructed by Lubin-Tate:

- Fgl  $\Gamma$  over char.  $p$  perfect field  $\kappa$  has a “height”  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ ;
- For  $n < \infty$ , have universal lift  $\Gamma_u$  to complete local ring  $E_0$ ;
- $\Gamma_u$  is Landweber exact, so get  $E$  with  $\pi_0 E = E_0$ .

## Goerss-Hopkins-Miller theorem

Lubin-Tate spectra have canonical  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  ring structures.

## $\mathbb{H}_\infty$ orientations

Before studying  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientations, study  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations.

### $\mathbb{H}_\infty$ orientations

- $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientation:  $MUP \rightarrow E$  giving homotopy commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MUP_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & E_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MUP & \longrightarrow & E \end{array} .$$

- Cohomological condition: asks for equality in  $E^0(MUP_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n})$ .



## $\mathbb{H}_\infty$ orientations

Before studying  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientations, study  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations.

### $\mathbb{H}_\infty$ orientations

- $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientation:  $MUP \rightarrow E$  giving homotopy commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MUP_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & E_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MUP & \longrightarrow & E \end{array} .$$

- Cohomological condition: asks for equality in  $E^0(MUP_{\mathfrak{h}\Sigma_n}^{\otimes n})$ .

### Theorems of Ando, Ando-Hopkins-Strickland, Zhu

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  = formal group of  $E$ , deformation of  $\mathbb{G}_0$  over  $\kappa = E_0/\mathfrak{m}$ .

- $\mathbb{H}_\infty(MUP, E) \subset \text{Coord}(\mathbb{G})$  describable with formal group data;
- Composite  $\mathbb{H}_\infty(MUP, E) \rightarrow \text{Coord}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Coord}(\mathbb{G}_0)$  is iso.

# Statement of theorem

## Some known $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ orientations

- Walker:  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MU, KU_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\infty(MU, KU_p)$  iso, so in particular can get  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientation  $MU \rightarrow KU$ ;
- Möllers:  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MU, R) = \mathbb{H}_\infty(MU, R)$  for  $K(1)$ -local even-periodic Landweber exact  $R$  (see also Hopkins-Lawson);
- Senger (unpublished):  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MU, E) \neq \emptyset$  for Lubin-Tate  $E$  at  $n = 2$  with  $\kappa = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ ;
- Hahn-Yuan:  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MUP, E) \neq \emptyset$  for Lubin-Tate  $E$  at  $n = 1$ ,  $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

# Statement of theorem

## Some known $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ orientations

- Walker:  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MU, KU_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\infty(MU, KU_p)$  iso, so in particular can get  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientation  $MU \rightarrow KU$ ;
- Möllers:  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MU, R) = \mathbb{H}_\infty(MU, R)$  for  $K(1)$ -local even-periodic Landweber exact  $R$  (see also Hopkins-Lawson);
- Senger (unpublished):  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MU, E) \neq \emptyset$  for Lubin-Tate  $E$  at  $n = 2$  with  $\kappa = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ ;
- Hahn-Yuan:  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MUP, E) \neq \emptyset$  for Lubin-Tate  $E$  at  $n = 1$ ,  $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_2$ .

## Theorem (B.)

- $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MUP, R) = \mathbb{H}_\infty(MUP, R)$  for  $K(1)$ -local even-periodic  $R$ , so in particular can get  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientation  $MUP \rightarrow KU$ ;
- Surj  $\mathbb{E}_\infty(MUP, E) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\infty(MUP, E)$  for height 2 Lubin-Tate  $E$ .

Better yet: proof only really uses smoothness of  $\pi_0(E \otimes MUP)$  over  $E_0$ .

# Power operations

To study  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations, Ando introduced  $E$ -power operations.

# Power operations

To study  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations, Ando introduced  $E$ -power operations.

## Formal construction

- Have a category  $\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{Cpl}(\mathfrak{m})}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$ -complete  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$   $E$ -algebras;
- $E$ -power operations are natural transformations  $\prod_i \pi_{r_i} \rightarrow \pi_s$ ;
- Have free functor  $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}: \text{Mod}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{Cpl}(\mathfrak{m})}$ . By Yoneda:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}_E^{\text{loc}}, \text{Set})}(\prod \pi_{r_i}, \pi_s) = \pi_s \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \bigoplus \Sigma^{r_i} E;$$

## Power operations

To study  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations, Ando introduced  $E$ -power operations.

### Formal construction

- Have a category  $\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{Cpl}(\mathfrak{m})}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$ -complete  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$   $E$ -algebras;
- $E$ -power operations are natural transformations  $\prod_i \pi_{r_i} \rightarrow \pi_s$ ;
- Have free functor  $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}: \text{Mod}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{Cpl}(\mathfrak{m})}$ . By Yoneda:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}}, \text{Set})}(\prod \pi_{r_i}, \pi_s) = \pi_s \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \bigoplus \Sigma^{r_i} E;$$

### Fact

The following are equivalent:

- $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations  $MUP \rightarrow E$ ;
- $E_0^\wedge MUP \rightarrow E_0$  that respect power operations.

$\mathbb{H}_\infty$  is far from  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ , but this suggests we should start by understanding power operations.

# Algebraic theories

## General recipe

- Input: homotopy theory  $\mathcal{M}$  and collection  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$  of free objects;
- Output: homotopy groups  $\pi_P M = \pi_0 \mathcal{M}(P, M)$  for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and homotopy operations  $\text{Hom}(\pi_P, \pi_Q) = \pi_Q P$ .

We can nicely package this using algebraic theories.

# Algebraic theories

## General recipe

- Input: homotopy theory  $\mathcal{M}$  and collection  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$  of free objects;
- Output: homotopy groups  $\pi_P M = \pi_0 \mathcal{M}(P, M)$  for  $P \in \mathcal{M}$ , and homotopy operations  $\text{Hom}(\pi_P, \pi_Q) = \pi_Q P$ .

We can nicely package this using algebraic theories.

## Definition

A (bounded Mal'cev algebraic) theory is a category  $\mathcal{P}$  such that

- $\mathcal{P}$  has all small coproducts;
- Technical conditions: boundedness, Mal'cev.

Category of models  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is presheaves  $X$  with  $X(\coprod_i P_i) = \prod_i X(P_i)$ .



# Algebraic theories

## General recipe

- Input: homotopy theory  $\mathcal{M}$  and collection  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$  of free objects;
- Output: homotopy groups  $\pi_P M = \pi_0 \mathcal{M}(P, M)$  for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and homotopy operations  $\text{Hom}(\pi_P, \pi_Q) = \pi_Q P$ .

We can nicely package this using algebraic theories.

## Definition

A (bounded Mal'cev algebraic) theory is a category  $\mathcal{P}$  such that

- $\mathcal{P}$  has all small coproducts;
- Technical conditions: boundedness, Mal'cev.

Category of models  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is presheaves  $X$  with  $X(\coprod_i P_i) = \prod_i X(P_i)$ .

## Idea

Theories encode algebraic structures defined using operations with set-indexed arities and “equational” or “universally quantified” axioms.

## Algebraic theories (cont.)

General recipe (repackaged)

From  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$  free objects, get theory  $\mathbf{hP}$  and functor  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Model}_{\mathbf{hP}}$ .

# Algebraic theories (cont.)

## General recipe (repackaged)

From  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$  free objects, get theory  $\mathbf{hP}$  and functor  $\pi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Model}_{\mathbf{hP}}$ .

## Examples

- $G$  a finite group,  $A$  a  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{A}_\infty$ -ring,  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{LMod}_A^{\text{free}} = \{\bigoplus \Sigma^\alpha A \otimes (G/H)_+\}$ , get  $\mathbf{Model}_{\mathbf{hP}} = \mathbf{LMod}_{\underline{\pi}_* A}$ ;
- $R$  an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring,  $\mathcal{P} =$  free associative  $R$ -algebras, get  $\mathbf{Model}_{\mathbf{hP}} = R_*$ -algebras (you can even add in  $G$ );
- $R \in \mathcal{CAlg}_{H\mathbb{F}_p}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{CAlg}_R^{\text{free}}$ , get  $\mathbf{Model}_{\mathbf{hP}} =$  commutative  $R_*$ -rings with Dyer-Lashof operations;
- $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{CAlg}_{KU}^{\text{Cpl}(p), \text{free}}$ , get  $\mathbf{Model}_{\mathbf{hP}} =$  Ext- $p$ -complete graded  $\theta$ -rings
- Many more.

# Homotopical algebra

## Quillen's insight

For  $\mathcal{P}$  a theory, there is a good homotopy theory of simplicial models.

# Homotopical algebra

## Quillen's insight

For  $\mathcal{P}$  a theory, there is a good homotopy theory of simplicial models.

## Facts

We can let a theory  $\mathcal{P}$  be an  $\infty$ -category; models are  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\text{pd}_{\infty}$ .

- $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$  freely adjoins geometric realizations to  $\mathcal{P}$ ;
- For  $\mathcal{P}$  a 1-category,  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Quillen's homotopy theory of simplicial set-valued models of } \mathcal{P}$ .

# Homotopical algebra

## Quillen's insight

For  $\mathcal{P}$  a theory, there is a good homotopy theory of simplicial models.

## Facts

We can let a theory  $\mathcal{P}$  be an  $\infty$ -category; models are  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\text{pd}_{\infty}$ .

- $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$  freely adjoins geometric realizations to  $\mathcal{P}$ ;
- For  $\mathcal{P}$  a 1-category,  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Quillen's homotopy theory of simplicial set-valued models of } \mathcal{P}$ .

## Example usage

$\Lambda \in \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{A}\text{b}(\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}})$ , get Quillen cohomology groups

$$H_{\mathcal{P}}^n(\Lambda; M) = \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}}(\Lambda; B^n M).$$

# What's the point of all this?

## Metatheorem (B.)

For good  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , one can build obstruction theories etc.

$$\{\text{Homotopical algebra of } \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}}\} \Rightarrow \{\text{Homotopy theory of } \mathcal{M}\}$$

# What's the point of all this?

## Metatheorem (B.)

For good  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , one can build obstruction theories etc.

$$\{\text{Homotopical algebra of } \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}}\} \Rightarrow \{\text{Homotopy theory of } \mathcal{M}\}$$

## Very brief idea

Study the context

$$\mathcal{M} \leftarrow\rightsquigarrow \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} \leftarrow\rightsquigarrow \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}}$$



# What's the point of all this?

## Metatheorem (B.)

For good  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , one can build obstruction theories etc.

$$\{\text{Homotopical algebra of } \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}}\} \Rightarrow \{\text{Homotopy theory of } \mathcal{M}\}$$

## Very brief idea

Study the context

$$\mathcal{M} \iff \text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} \iff \text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}}$$

## Particular case

Given  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\phi: \pi A \rightarrow \pi B$  in  $\text{Model}_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}}$ ;

- Obstructions in  $H_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}/\pi B}^{n+1}(\pi A; \pi B^{S^n})$  for  $n \geq 1$  to realizing  $\phi$ ;
- Given a lift  $f$ , fringed  $H_{\text{h}\mathcal{P}/\pi B}^{p-q}(\pi A; \pi B^{S^p}) \Rightarrow \pi_q(\mathcal{M}(A, B), f)$ .

# Getting back on track

## Where we're at

- Want  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientations  $MUP \rightarrow E$ , and have  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations;
- $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations give  $E_*^\wedge MUP \rightarrow E_*$  in  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \text{hCAlg}_E^{\text{loc, free}}$
- Obstructions to lifting in  $H_{\mathcal{P}/E_*}^{m+1}(E_*^\wedge MUP; \pi_* E^{S^m})$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

So we need to understand  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

# Getting back on track

## Where we're at

- Want  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientations  $MUP \rightarrow E$ , and have  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations;
- $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientations give  $E_*^\wedge MUP \rightarrow E_*$  in  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \text{hCAlg}_E^{\text{loc, free}}$
- Obstructions to lifting in  $H_{\mathcal{P}/E_*}^{m+1}(E_*^\wedge MUP; \pi_* E^{S^m})$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

So we need to understand  $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

## Rezk's monad

- Rezk studies a monad  $\mathbb{T}$  on  $\text{Mod}_{E_*}$  encoding  $E$ -power operations;
- $\text{Model}_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \text{Ring}_{\mathbb{T}}$  is a reflective subcategory of  $\mathfrak{m}$ -complete objects;
- In particular can identify  $H_{\mathcal{P}}^* = H_{\mathbb{T}}^*$ .

So we can work with  $\text{Ring}_{\mathbb{T}}$  instead.

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings

### Definition: $\theta$ -rings

Ring  $R$  with  $\theta: R \rightarrow R$  so  $\psi(x) = x^p + p\theta(x)$  generically a ring map:

$$\theta(x + y) = \theta(x) + \theta(y) - p^{-1}((a + b)^p - a^p - b^p);$$

$$\theta(xy) = \theta(x)y^p + x^p\theta(y) + p\theta(x)\theta(y);$$

$$\theta(1) = 1.$$

### Relevance

In height 1, even objects of  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}} = \theta$ -rings under  $E_0$ .

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings

### Definition: $\theta$ -rings

Ring  $R$  with  $\theta: R \rightarrow R$  so  $\psi(x) = x^p + p\theta(x)$  generically a ring map:

$$\theta(x + y) = \theta(x) + \theta(y) - p^{-1}((a + b)^p - a^p - b^p);$$

$$\theta(xy) = \theta(x)y^p + x^p\theta(y) + p\theta(x)\theta(y);$$

$$\theta(1) = 1.$$

### Relevance

In height 1, even objects of  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}} = \theta\text{-rings}$  under  $E_0$ .

### Algebraic facts

- $W = \text{free } \theta\text{-ring} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}, \text{Set})}(U, U)$  with  $U = \text{forgetful}$ ;
- Can identify  $W \cong \mathbb{Z}[a, \theta a, \theta^{\circ 2} a, \dots]$ .

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings (cont.)

### The additive bialgebra

- Have  $\Gamma := \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}, \mathcal{A}b)}(U, U) = \text{Prim}(W) = \mathbb{Z}[\psi]$ ;
- Identity  $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$  encoded by coproduct  $\Delta(\psi) = \psi \otimes \psi$ ;
- Coproduct equivalent to:  $\text{LMod}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$  symmetric monoidal.

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings (cont.)

### The additive bialgebra

- Have  $\Gamma := \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}, \mathcal{A}b)}(U, U) = \text{Prim}(W) = \mathbb{Z}[\psi]$ ;
- Identity  $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$  encoded by coproduct  $\Delta(\psi) = \psi \otimes \psi$ ;
- Coproduct equivalent to:  $\text{LMod}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$  symmetric monoidal.

Remember  $\psi(x) = x^p + p\theta(x)$ .

### The Wilkerson criterion

- If  $R$  is torsion-free, then  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  determine each other;
- $\text{Ring}_\theta \rightarrow \text{CMon}(\text{LMod}_\Gamma)$  is fully faithful on torsion-free objects, image characterized by the congruence  $\psi(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ ;
- As  $W$  is torsion-free, congruence determines structure of  $\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}$ .

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings (still cont.)

### Why $\theta$ -rings are well-behaved

Quillen cohomology of  $\theta$ -rings pleasant for two reasons:

- 1  $\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\mathcal{R}\text{ing}$  both monadic and comonadic;
- 2 Free  $\theta$ -ring  $W$  is (formally) smooth.

These fit into a general story of “smooth algebras over theories”.



## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings (still cont.)

### Why $\theta$ -rings are well-behaved

Quillen cohomology of  $\theta$ -rings pleasant for two reasons:

- 1  $\theta\mathcal{R}ing \rightarrow \mathcal{C}Ring$  both monadic and comonadic;
- 2 Free  $\theta$ -ring  $W$  is (formally) smooth.

These fit into a general story of “smooth algebras over theories”.

### Consequences of (1)

- Have  $\Delta := \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}, \text{Set})}(Q, Q) = Q(W) = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ ;
- Have  $\text{Ab}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}) = \text{LMod}_{\Delta}$ , and  $Q$  gives abelianization.

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings (still cont.)

### Why $\theta$ -rings are well-behaved

Quillen cohomology of  $\theta$ -rings pleasant for two reasons:

- 1  $\theta\mathcal{R}ing \rightarrow \mathcal{C}Ring$  both monadic and comonadic;
- 2 Free  $\theta$ -ring  $W$  is (formally) smooth.

These fit into a general story of “smooth algebras over theories”.

### Consequences of (1)

- Have  $\Delta := \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}, \text{Set})}(Q, Q) = Q(W) = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ ;
- Have  $\text{Ab}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}) = \text{LMod}_{\Delta}$ , and  $Q$  gives abelianization.

### Consequence of (2)

For  $A \in \theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}$  and  $M \in \text{LMod}_{\Delta}$ , have

$$H_{\theta^{\text{aug}}}^*(A; M) = \text{Ext}_{\Delta}^*(\mathbb{L}Q(A); M).$$

## Interlude: $\theta$ -rings (still cont.)

### Why $\theta$ -rings are well-behaved

Quillen cohomology of  $\theta$ -rings pleasant for two reasons:

- 1  $\theta\mathcal{R}ing \rightarrow \mathcal{C}Ring$  both monadic and comonadic;
- 2 Free  $\theta$ -ring  $W$  is (formally) smooth.

These fit into a general story of “smooth algebras over theories”.

### Consequences of (1)

- Have  $\Delta := \text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}, \text{Set})}(Q, Q) = Q(W) = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ ;
- Have  $\text{Ab}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}) = \text{LMod}_{\Delta}$ , and  $Q$  gives abelianization.

### Consequence of (2)

For  $A \in \theta\mathcal{R}ing^{\text{aug}}$  and  $M \in \text{LMod}_{\Delta}$ , have

$$H_{\theta^{\text{aug}}}^*(A; M) = \text{Ext}_{\Delta}^*(\mathbb{L}Q(A); M).$$

Generally  $\text{Ab}(\theta\mathcal{R}ing/A) = \text{LMod}_{A \otimes \Delta}$ ,  $A \otimes \Delta = A\langle\theta\rangle/(\theta \cdot a = \psi(a) \cdot \theta)$ .

# Structure of $\mathbb{T}$

Fix  $E$  height  $n$ . Everything from  $\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}$  generalizes to  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}}$ : have additive bialgebra  $\Gamma$  and algebra  $\Delta$  acting on indecomposables.

# Structure of $\mathbb{T}$

Fix  $E$  height  $n$ . Everything from  $\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}$  generalizes to  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}}$ : have additive bialgebra  $\Gamma$  and algebra  $\Delta$  acting on indecomposables.

## Strickland's theorem

$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[m]$  with  $\text{Spf } \Gamma[m]^{\vee} = \text{rank } p^m \text{ subgroups of } \mathbb{G}_E$ .

All of  $\Gamma$ 's structure is encoded by formal group theory.

# Structure of $\mathbb{T}$

Fix  $E$  height  $n$ . Everything from  $\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}$  generalizes to  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}}$ : have additive bialgebra  $\Gamma$  and algebra  $\Delta$  acting on indecomposables.

## Strickland's theorem

$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[m]$  with  $\text{Spf } \Gamma[m]^{\vee} = \text{rank } p^m \text{ subgroups of } \mathbb{G}_E$ .

All of  $\Gamma$ 's structure is encoded by formal group theory.

## Rezk's theorems

- T.f. objects of  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}}$  = t.f. objects of  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\Gamma} = \text{CMon}(\text{LMod}_{\Gamma})$  satisfying  $Q(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$  for certain  $Q \in \Gamma$ . Heuristic:  $\mathbb{T}$ -rings are like  $\theta$ -rings, but with more additive operations and relations.

# Structure of $\mathbb{T}$

Fix  $E$  height  $n$ . Everything from  $\theta\mathcal{R}\text{ing}$  generalizes to  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}}$ : have additive bialgebra  $\Gamma$  and algebra  $\Delta$  acting on indecomposables.

## Strickland's theorem

$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[m]$  with  $\text{Spf } \Gamma[m]^{\vee} = \text{rank } p^m \text{ subgroups of } \mathbb{G}_E$ .

All of  $\Gamma$ 's structure is encoded by formal group theory.

## Rezk's theorems

- T.f. objects of  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\mathbb{T}}$  = t.f. objects of  $\mathcal{R}\text{ing}_{\Gamma} = \text{CMon}(\text{LMod}_{\Gamma})$  satisfying  $Q(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$  for certain  $Q \in \Gamma$ . Heuristic:  $\mathbb{T}$ -rings are like  $\theta$ -rings, but with more additive operations and relations.
- $\Delta$  is Koszul, and  $H^m(\Delta) = 0$  for  $m > n$ . Thus every  $M \in \text{LMod}_{\Delta}$  projective over  $E_*$  admits length  $n$  projective resolution.

# Application

## Theorem (B.)

Fix height  $n \leq 2$ , and  $A, B \in \mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}}$  with  $A_1 = 0 = B_1$  and  $A_0$  “completed smooth” over  $E_0$ . Then

- Every  $\mathbb{T}$ -ring map  $A_* \rightarrow B_*$  lifts to  $A \rightarrow B$ ;
- $\pi_* \mathcal{CAlg}_E(A, B)$  is algebraic, up to extensions at  $n = 2$ .



# Application

## Theorem (B.)

Fix height  $n \leq 2$ , and  $A, B \in \mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}}$  with  $A_1 = 0 = B_1$  and  $A_0$  “completed smooth” over  $E_0$ . Then

- Every  $\mathbb{T}$ -ring map  $A_* \rightarrow B_*$  lifts to  $A \rightarrow B$ ;
- $\pi_* \mathcal{CAlg}_E(A, B)$  is algebraic, up to extensions at  $n = 2$ .

## Proof sketch

- Obstructions in  $H_{\mathbb{T}/B_*}^{k+1}(A_*; \pi_* B^{S^k})$  for  $k \geq 1$  to lifting;
- By algebraic story + smoothness, this is  $\text{Ext}_{A_0 \otimes \Delta}^{k+1}(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_*|E_*}; \pi_* \Omega^k B)$ ;
- Can upgrade Rezk’s theorem to show group vanishes for  $k \geq n$ ;
- $\text{Ext}_{A_0 \otimes \Delta}^2(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_*|E_*}; \pi_* \Omega B) = 0$  as source is even and target is odd.

# Application

## Theorem (B.)

Fix height  $n \leq 2$ , and  $A, B \in \mathcal{CAlg}_E^{\text{loc}}$  with  $A_1 = 0 = B_1$  and  $A_0$  “completed smooth” over  $E_0$ . Then

- Every  $\mathbb{T}$ -ring map  $A_* \rightarrow B_*$  lifts to  $A \rightarrow B$ ;
- $\pi_* \mathcal{CAlg}_E(A, B)$  is algebraic, up to extensions at  $n = 2$ .

## Proof sketch

- Obstructions in  $H_{\mathbb{T}/B_*}^{k+1}(A_*; \pi_* B^{S^k})$  for  $k \geq 1$  to lifting;
- By algebraic story + smoothness, this is  $\text{Ext}_{A_0 \otimes \Delta}^{k+1}(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_*|E_*}; \pi_* \Omega^k B)$ ;
- Can upgrade Rezk’s theorem to show group vanishes for  $k \geq n$ ;
- $\text{Ext}_{A_0 \otimes \Delta}^2(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_*|E_*}; \pi_* \Omega B) = 0$  as source is even and target is odd.

Corollary with  $A = E \widehat{\otimes} MUP$  and  $B = E$

Every  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  orientation  $MUP \rightarrow E$  refines to an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  orientation.