

# CLASSIFYING FORMAL GROUPS WITH DIEUDONNÉ THEORY

WILLIAM BALDERRAMA

ABSTRACT. Dieudonné theory gives an equivalence between one-dimensional commutative formal groups over a perfect field and certain modules over a certain ring. One can then attempt to develop the classic theory of such formal groups purely within the category of these modules, as this note does. At a technical level, this replaces power series manipulations with Frobenius-semilinear algebra. The motivation for this exercise was to obtain a clean formulation and proof of Theorem 3.2.3.

## CONTENTS

1. Basic properties of formal groups	1
1.1. Dieudonné modules	1
1.2. The Honda module	2
1.3. Semilinear algebra	3
2. Classification of formal groups	8
2.1. Galois cohomology	8
2.2. The classification theorem	9
2.3. Case of a finite field	11
3. Determinants	12
3.1. Exterior powers	12
3.2. Classical determinant	13

## 1. BASIC PROPERTIES OF FORMAL GROUPS

1.1. **Dieudonné modules.** Fix a perfect field  $\kappa$  of positive characteristic  $p$ . Let  $W(\kappa)$  denote the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors on  $\kappa$ , and let  $D(\kappa)$  be the Dieudonné ring of  $\kappa$ . This is the noncommutative ring obtained from  $W(\kappa)$  by adjoining two symbols  $F$  and  $V$  subject to

$$F\lambda = \lambda^\sigma F, \quad V\lambda = \lambda^{\sigma^{-1}}V, \quad FV = p = VF,$$

where  $\lambda$  ranges over  $W(\kappa)$  and  $(-)^{\sigma}$  is the canonical Frobenius automorphism of  $W(\kappa)$ . Let  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$  be the category of left  $D(\kappa)$ -module  $M$  subject to the following conditions:

- (1) As a  $W(\kappa)$ -module,  $M$  is free of finite and positive rank,
- (2) The action of  $V$  on  $M$  is topologically nilpotent, and  $M = \lim_k M/V^k M$ ,
- (3) The quotient  $M/VM$  is a simple  $W(\kappa)$ -module, i.e.  $M/VM \simeq \kappa$ .

---

*Date:* January 1, 2019.

Observe that the condition  $FV = p = VF$  tells us that for  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$ , the operators  $F$  and  $V$  determine each other. In fact, we need not have introduced  $F$  at all; see Corollary 1.3.4. The main Dieudonné theorem relevant to us is the following.

**1.1.1. Theorem.** The category  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$  is equivalent to the category of one-dimensional commutative formal groups over  $\text{Spec } \kappa$ .  $\square$

We will not need the details of the construction of this equivalence as we will be working entirely within  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$ , but let us say something to establish where our conventions sit. Let  $\mathcal{H}\text{opf}_\kappa^c$  denote the category of Hopf algebras  $H$  over  $\kappa$  such that  $\text{Spf } H^\vee$  is a connected formal group. There is an equivalence  $\text{DM}$  from  $\mathcal{H}\text{opf}_\kappa^c$  to the category of left  $D(\kappa)$ -modules  $M$  such that for any  $a \in M$ , there is some  $n$  such that  $V^n a = 0$ . Suppose now  $\text{Spf } H^\vee$  is a connected  $p$ -divisible group. Then  $H \in \mathcal{H}\text{opf}_\kappa^c$ , so we can look at  $\text{DM}(H) \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}$ . As  $H$  is a  $p$ -divisible Hopf algebra,  $\text{DM}(H)$  is  $p$ -divisible, and we can noncanonically identify

$$\text{DM}(H) \cong W(\kappa)/(p^\infty)^{\oplus h}, \quad \ker[V: \text{DM}(H) \rightarrow \text{DM}(H)] \cong \kappa^{\oplus d},$$

where  $h$  is the height and  $d$  is the dimension of  $\text{Spf } H$ . Let  $\text{DM}(H)[p^n] \subset \text{DM}(H)$  be the  $p^n$ -torsion subgroup. Multiplication by  $p$  gives maps  $\text{DM}(H)[p^n] \rightarrow \text{DM}(H)[p^{n-1}]$ , and we define  $\widehat{\text{DM}}(H) = \varinjlim_n \text{DM}(H)[p^n]$ . Under the above noncanonical isomorphism, we identify  $\text{DM}(H)[p^n] \rightarrow \text{DM}(H)[p^{n-1}]$  as the quotient map  $W(\kappa)/(p^n)^{\oplus h} \rightarrow W(\kappa)/(p^{n-1})^{\oplus h}$ , and can then identify

$$\widehat{\text{DM}}(H) \cong W(\kappa)^{\oplus h}, \quad \widehat{\text{DM}}(H)/V\widehat{\text{DM}}(H) \cong \kappa^{\oplus d}.$$

Specializing to the case where  $d = 1$ , the functor  $\text{Spf } H^\vee \mapsto \widehat{\text{DM}}(H)$  is our equivalence.

**1.1.2. Remark.** The Serre dual of  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$  is the category  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^f$ , defined in the same way except with  $F$  in place of  $V$ . For  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_{W(\kappa)}(M, W(\kappa)) \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^f$ , where we give  $\text{Hom}_{W(\kappa)}(M, W(\kappa))$  the  $D(\kappa)$ -module structure

$$(Vf)(a) = f(Fa), \quad (Ff)(a) = f(Va)$$

for  $f: M \rightarrow W(\kappa)$ . This gives a duality between  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$  and  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^f$ .  $\triangleleft$

**1.2. The Honda module.** Fix a positive integer  $h$  and let  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h} \subset \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$  be the full subcategory on those objects free of rank  $h$  over  $W(\kappa)$ . There is a distinguished object  $H \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{h,v}$  given by

$$H = W(\kappa)\{x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x\}, \quad V^h x = px, \quad Fx = V^{h-1}x.$$

This is the free  $D(\kappa)$ -module on a generator  $x$  subject to the condition  $V^h x = px$ . We obtain for any  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$  an identification

$$\text{Hom}_{D(\kappa)}(H, M) \cong \{a \in M : V^h a = pa\}, \quad f \mapsto f(x).$$

**1.2.1. Proposition.** Suppose that  $\kappa$  contains all  $(p^h - 1)$ 'th roots of unity, and let  $\mathbb{F}_{p^h} \subset \kappa$  be the subfield generated by these. Then there is a canonical identification

$$\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})\langle V \rangle / (V^h = p, Va = a^{\sigma^{-1}}V),$$

where  $a$  ranges through  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})$ .

*Proof.* As above, identify  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) = \{a \in H : F^h a = pa\}$ . Write an arbitrary element  $a \in H$  as  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i V^i$ . Then

$$F^h a = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i \sigma_i^{-h} V^{h+i} x = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i \sigma_i^{-h} p V^i x.$$

We find that  $F^h a = pa$  precisely when  $a_i \sigma_i^{-h} = a_i$  for each  $i$ , which holds precisely when each  $a_i \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})$ .  $\square$

**1.2.2. Remark.** The object more commonly seen in the study of formal groups is  $E = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})\langle F \rangle / (F^h = p, Fa = a^\sigma F)$ . There is an isomorphism

$$\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) \rightarrow E^{\text{op}}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i V^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} F^i a_i.$$

This corresponds to Remark 1.1.2.  $\triangleleft$

Let  $\mathbb{S}_h = \text{Aut}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$ . As underlying  $H$  is a free module over  $W(\kappa)$  of rank  $h$  and with distinguished basis, we have canonical inclusions

$$\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) \subset \text{End}_{W(\kappa)}(W(\kappa)^{\oplus h}), \quad \mathbb{S}_h \subset \text{GL}_h(W(\kappa)).$$

We can give  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$ , and thus  $\mathbb{S}_h$ , the topology arising from these inclusions and the  $p$ -adic topology on  $W(\kappa)$ . As  $h$  is finite, this is equivalent to the topology induced from the filtration on  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}$  by powers of  $V$ , and makes  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$  into a profinite ring and  $\mathbb{S}_h$  into a profinite group.

We will prove the following theorem in the next section.

**1.2.3. Theorem.** Suppose  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. Then every  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  is isomorphic to  $H$ .

We can rephrase this as follows. For a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\pi_0 \mathcal{C}$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the previous theorem states

$$\pi_0 \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h} = \{H\},$$

so long as  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. We will later leverage this into an identification of  $\pi_0 \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  for a general perfect field  $\kappa$ .

**1.3. Semilinear algebra.** Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $\phi: R \rightarrow R$  an endomorphism, and let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules. For  $r \in R$ , we may write  $\phi(r) = \phi r$ . A  $\phi$ -semilinear map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is defined as a function satisfying

$$f(m+n) = f(m) + f(n), \quad f(rm) = \phi(r)f(m).$$

Given such  $f$ , define  $N^{(\phi)} = R_\phi \otimes_R N$ . Then

$$M \rightarrow N^{(\phi)}, \quad m \mapsto 1 \otimes f(m)$$

is an  $R$ -linear map. Of particular interest is the case where  $R = \kappa$  is a perfect field,  $\phi = \sigma^{\pm 1}$ , and  $M = N$  is a finite-dimensional vector space. It turns out that in this case  $M$  is the sum of an  $f$ -nilpotent subspace and a subspace generated by  $f$ -fixed elements; we will not need this exact fact, but mention it as it provides some motivation for the following results. We first deal with the case of certain nilpotent operators.

**1.3.1. Lemma.** Let  $k$  be a field, and  $\phi$  an endomorphism of  $k$ . Let  $U$  be a rank  $h$  vector space over  $k$ , and  $V: U \rightarrow U$  a  $\phi$ -semilinear operator. Suppose that  $V$  is nilpotent, and  $U/VU \cong k$ . Then there is  $x \in U$  such that  $x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x$  give a basis for  $U$ .

*Proof.* Set  $U_0 = U$ , and inductively define  $U_n$  to be the image of  $U_{n-1} \rightarrow U^{(\phi^n)}$ . Our assumptions give us a sequence

$$U_0 \rightarrow U_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U_{h-1} \rightarrow U_h = 0$$

of surjective  $k$ -linear maps, each with one-dimensional kernel. In particular, the  $\phi$ -semilinear operator  $V$  satisfies  $V^{h-1} \neq 0$  and  $V^h = 0$ . Let  $x \in U$  be such that  $V^{h-1}x \neq 0$ . It is sufficient to show  $x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x$  are linearly independent. Indeed, a linear relation of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \lambda_i V^i x = 0$  yields, upon application of  $V^{h-1-l}$ , the identity  $\phi^{h-1-l} \lambda_l V^{h-1} x = 0$ , so that  $\lambda_l = 0$  as  $\phi$  is necessarily injective.  $\square$

**1.3.2. Proposition.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $\phi$  an endomorphism of  $k$ , and  $M$  a free module over  $W(k)$  of finite rank  $h$  equipped with a  $\phi$ -semilinear topologically nilpotent endomorphism  $V$  satisfying  $M/VM \cong k$ . Then there exists  $y \in M$  such that  $V^{h-1}y \neq 0$  in  $M/(p)$ . Moreover, for any such  $y$ , we have

$$p^n M \cong W(k)\{V^{nh}y, V^{nh+1}y, \dots, V^{nh+h-1}y\}$$

for each  $n$ . In particular,  $V^h y = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} p a_i V^i y$  with  $a_0 \in W(k)^\times$ , and  $p^{-1}V^h$  is a  $\phi$ -semilinear automorphism of  $M$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.3.1, we find  $\bar{y} \in M/(p)$  such that  $\bar{y}, V\bar{y}, \dots, V^{h-1}\bar{y}$  form a basis for  $M/(p)$ . Choose a lift  $y$  over  $\bar{y}$  to  $M$ . Then  $y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y$  form a basis for  $M$ , and  $V^h y, \dots, V^{2h-1}y$  lie in  $pM$ . By repeating our arguments with each  $p^n M$ , it is sufficient to verify that these form a basis for  $pM$ . Out of  $y, \dots, V^{h-1}y$ , only  $y$  is not in the image of  $V$ ; thus when we expand  $V^h y = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} p a_i V^i y$ , the element  $a_0 \in W(k)$  must be unit. We learn that for  $0 \leq i \leq h-1$ , the expansion of  $V^{h+i}y$  in our basis mod  $p^2$  is of the form  $p b_i V^i y + \dots + p b_{h-1} V^{h-1}y$  with  $b_i$  a unit. It is easily seen from this that  $V^h y, \dots, V^{2h-1}y$  project to a basis for  $pM/p^2 M$ , and thus form a basis of  $pM$ .  $\square$

**1.3.3. Corollary.** Let  $k$  and  $M$  be as above, and moreover let  $N$  be of the same type as  $M$ . Suppose given a  $W(k)$ -linear map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  which commutes with  $V$ . Then for each  $r \geq 0$ , there are unique automorphisms  $\mu: M \rightarrow M^{(\phi^r)}$  and  $\eta: N \rightarrow N^{(\phi^r)}$  fitting into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{V^{hr}} & & \\ & & \searrow & & \\ M & \xrightarrow{p^r} & M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M^{(\phi^r)} \\ & \downarrow f & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^{(\phi^r)} \\ N & \xrightarrow{p^r} & N & \xrightarrow{\eta} & N^{(\phi^r)} \\ & & \swarrow & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{V^{hr}} & & \end{array}$$

of  $W(k)$ -linear maps that commute with  $V$ . Here,  $f^{(\phi^r)}$  indicates functoriality of  $(-)^{(\phi^r)}$  applied to  $f$ .  $\square$

**1.3.4. Corollary.** Let  $\kappa$  be a perfect field, and  $M$  a free module over  $W(\kappa)$  of finite rank  $h$  equipped with a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear topologically endomorphism  $V$  satisfying  $M/VM \cong \kappa$ . Then  $M$  can be uniquely upgraded to an object of  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$ .

*Proof.* As  $VF = p = FV$  and  $M$  is torsion-free, we see that there is at most one choice of  $F$ . Choose  $y \in M$  such that  $M \cong W(\kappa)\{y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y\}$ . We are forced to define  $FV^i y = pV^{i-1}y$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ . As  $M/VM \cong \kappa$ , necessarily  $py = Va$  for some  $a \in M$ , and we are forced to define  $Fy = a$ . This description on the basis  $y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y$  extends by semilinearity to a description on all of  $M$ .  $\square$

**1.3.5. Proposition.** Let  $\kappa$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $U$  a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\kappa$ . Let  $T: U \rightarrow U$  be a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear automorphism. Then there exists some nonzero  $x \in U$  which is fixed by  $T$ .

*Proof.* By replacing  $T$  with  $T^{-1}$ , we may instead deal with a  $\sigma$ -linear automorphism. Let us work for now with a general  $\phi$ -semilinear automorphism  $T: U \rightarrow U$ , where  $\phi$  is some endomorphism of  $k$ .

Pick  $y \in U$ . As  $U$  is finite-dimensional, there is some minimal  $n$  such that  $T^{n+1}y$  is in the span of  $y, \dots, T^n y$ ; write  $T^{n+1}y = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T^i y$ . As  $T$  is an automorphism, not all  $a_i$  are zero. After possibly replacing  $y$  with some  $T^i y$ , we may suppose  $a_0 \neq 0$ , so that  $y, Ty, \dots, T^n y$  are linearly independent. For indeterminates  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n$ , write

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i T^i y.$$

Then solving  $Tx = x$  amounts to solving

$$(\lambda_0 - \phi \lambda_n a_0)y + (\lambda_1 - \phi \lambda_0 - \phi \lambda_n a_1)Ty + \dots + (\lambda_n - \phi \lambda_{n-1} - \phi \lambda_n a_n)T^n y = 0.$$

As  $y, Ty, \dots, T^n y$  are linearly independent, this is equivalent to asking each coefficient in parentheses to vanish. Starting with  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_n^\phi a_0$ , we can substitute each equation into the next, allowing us to reduce to solving a single equation. Writing  $\lambda = \lambda_n$  and  $b_i = \phi^i a_{n-i}$ , this comes out to solving

$$\lambda = \phi^{n+1} \lambda b_n + \phi^n \lambda b_{n-1} + \dots + \phi \lambda b_0.$$

In our case,  $\phi = \sigma$ . Recall also  $b_0, \dots, b_n$  are not all zero. So we are reduced to finding a nonzero root of the nontrivial separable equation

$$t = t^{p^{n+1}} b_n + t^{p^n} b_{n-1} + \dots + t^p b_0,$$

which can be done under our assumption that  $k$  is algebraically closed.  $\square$

We would like to lift the previous proposition from  $\kappa$ -vector spaces to suitable  $W(\kappa)$ -modules.

**1.3.6. Lemma.** Let  $M$  be a  $W(\kappa)$ -module which is locally  $p$ -power torsion, and let  $T: M \rightarrow M$  be a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear map. Let  $M^T = \{a \in M : Ta = a\}$ , which is a subgroup of  $M$ . Then the resulting map  $W(\kappa) \otimes M^T \rightarrow M$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $M_0^T \subset M^T$  be a finitely generated subgroup; it will suffice to show that  $W(\kappa) \otimes M_0^T \rightarrow M$  is injective. Write

$$M_0^T = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbb{Z}/(p^{t_i}),$$

so that  $M_0^T \subset M$  is given by the choice of  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M$  satisfying  $Ta_i = a_i$  and  $p^{t_i}a_i = 0$ . Suppose towards a contradiction we had

$$\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_k a_k = 0$$

for some  $\lambda_i \in W(\kappa)/(p^{t_i})$  with not all  $\lambda_i$  zero. We can suppose this relation is chosen so that each  $\lambda_i$  is nonzero, and  $k$  is minimal so that such a relation holds. Moreover, we may suppose  $\lambda_1 = p^c$  for some integer  $c$ . Applying  $T$  to the above gives

$$p^c a_1 + \dots + \lambda_k^{\sigma^{-1}} a_k = 0.$$

Subtracting these equations yields

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_2^{\sigma^{-1}})a_2 + \dots + (\lambda_k - \lambda_k^{\sigma^{-1}})a_k = 0,$$

so that  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^{\sigma^{-1}}$  for each  $i$  by minimality of  $k$ . But then  $\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_k a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes M^T = M^T$ , and certainly  $M^T \subset M$  is injective.  $\square$

**1.3.7. Lemma.** Suppose  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. Let  $M$  be an Artinian  $W(\kappa)$ -module, and  $T: M \rightarrow M$  a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear injection. Then  $M$  is generated by  $M^T = \{x \in M : Tx = x\}$  over  $W(\kappa)$ .

*Proof.* By considering the induced  $W(\kappa)$ -linear injection  $M \rightarrow M^{(\sigma^{-1})}$  between Artinian  $W(\kappa)$ -modules of equal length, we find that  $T$  is an isomorphism. Let  $M' \subset M$  be the  $W(\kappa)$ -submodule generated by  $M^T$ , and let  $M'' = M/M'$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow T'^{-1} & & \downarrow T^{-1} & & \downarrow T''^{-1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} .$$

As  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed,  $\sigma^{-1} - \text{id}: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  is surjective. By induction on composition series, we learn that  $\sigma^{-1} - 1: W(\kappa) \otimes A \rightarrow W(\kappa) \otimes A$  is a surjection for any finite abelian group  $A$ . In case  $A = M^T$ , under the isomorphism  $W(\kappa) \otimes M^T \cong M'$  given by Lemma 1.3.6 we find that  $T - 1: M' \rightarrow M'$  is surjective. As  $\ker(T' - 1) \cong \ker(T - 1)$  by construction, the long exact sequence associated to the above diagram tells us that  $T'' - 1$  is an injective endomorphism of  $M''$ . So  $T''$  is a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear automorphism of  $M''$  with no fixed points. The same then must be true of  $T''$  acting on the  $p$ -torsion submodule of  $M''$ , contradicting Proposition 1.3.5.  $\square$

Putting the previous two lemmata together, we learn that under the assumptions and notation of the previous lemma, the map  $W(\kappa) \otimes M^T \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism.

**1.3.8. Lemma.** Let

$$I_1 \xleftarrow{j_1} I_2 \xleftarrow{j_2} I_3 \xleftarrow{j_3} \dots$$

be a tower of  $p$ -complete Hausdorff abelian groups, and suppose  $j_n(I_{n+1}) \subset pI_n$  for each  $n$ . Then

$$\lim_n I_n = 0 = \lim_n {}^1 I_n.$$

*Proof.* The assertion that  $\lim_n I_n = 0$  is immediate, so let us verify  $\lim_n^1 I_n = 0$ . Recall that  $\lim_n^1 I_n$  can be identified as the cokernel of

$$\prod_n I_n \rightarrow \prod_n I_n, \quad (a_n)_n \mapsto (a_n - j_n(a_{n+1}))_n,$$

so we must show this map is surjective. Indeed, fix an element  $(b_n)_n \in \prod_n I_n$ . Then  $(b_n)_n = (a_n - j_n(a_{n+1}))_n$  has the solution

$$a_n = \sum_{k \geq n} (j_n \circ \cdots \circ j_{k-1})(b_k),$$

which converges under our assumptions.  $\square$

**1.3.9. Lemma.** Suppose given  $W(\kappa)$ -modules  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  fitting into exact sequences

$$N_{n+1} \xrightarrow{p^n} N_{n+1} \longrightarrow N_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

and suppose  $N_1$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\kappa$ . Let  $N = \lim_n N_n$ . Then  $N$  is a finitely generated  $W(\kappa)$ -module, and  $N/(p^n) = N_n$ .

*Proof.* The assumptions give for all  $n$  an exact sequence of towers whose  $m$ 'th level is

$$N_{n+m} \xrightarrow{p^n} N_{n+m} \longrightarrow N_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

The leftmost towers consist of surjections, so by the Mittag-Leffler condition for vanishing  $\lim^1$  we obtain in the limit an exact sequence

$$N \xrightarrow{p^n} N \longrightarrow N_n \longrightarrow 0$$

telling us that  $N/(p^n) = N_n$ . We learn that  $N = \lim_n N/(p^n)$  is a  $p$ -complete Hausdorff  $W(\kappa)$ -module such that  $N/(p)$  is a finite-dimensional  $\kappa$ -vector space. It follows by the Nakayama lemma for complete Hausdorff modules that  $N$  is finitely generated over  $W(\kappa)$ .  $\square$

**1.3.10. Proposition.** Let  $\kappa$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $M$  be an  $W(\kappa)$ -module free of finite rank  $h$  and  $T: M \rightarrow M$  a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear automorphism. Let  $M^T = \{a \in M : Ta = a\}$ . Then  $W(\kappa) \widehat{\otimes} M^T \cong M$ , where  $\widehat{\otimes}$  is taken with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology.

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $M_n^T = \{a \in M : Ta \equiv a \pmod{p^n}\}$ . We obtain a tower  $M_1^T \leftarrow M_2^T \leftarrow \cdots$  of inclusions, and  $M^T = \lim_n M_n^T$ . Let  $I_n = p^n M \cap M_n^T$ , and observe  $M_n^T/I_n = M/(p^n)^T$ . Combining this with Lemma 1.3.6 and Lemma 1.3.7 we learn

$$W(\kappa) \otimes M_n^T/I_n \cong M/(p^n).$$

In particular, this gives us exact sequences

$$W(\kappa) \otimes M_{n+1}^T/I_{n+1} \xrightarrow{p^n} W(\kappa) \otimes M_{n+1}^T/I_{n+1} \longrightarrow W(\kappa) \otimes M_n^T/I_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

and as  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset W(\kappa)$  is faithfully flat this passes to exact sequences

$$M_{n+1}^T/I_{n+1} \xrightarrow{p^n} M_{n+1}^T/I_{n+1} \longrightarrow M_n^T/I_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 1.3.8, we know  $\lim_n I_n = \lim_n^1 I_n = 0$ , so that  $M^T = \lim_n M_n^T = \lim_n M_n^T/I_n$ . By Lemma 1.3.9, we learn  $M^T/(p^n) = M_n^T/I_n$ . So we can identify  $W(\kappa) \widehat{\otimes} M^T \rightarrow M$  as

$$\begin{aligned} W(\kappa) \widehat{\otimes} M^T &= \lim_{m,n} W(\kappa)/(p^m) \otimes M^T/(p^n) \\ &= \lim_{m,n} W(\kappa)/(p^m) \otimes M_n^T/I_n \\ &= \lim_n W(\kappa) \otimes M_n^T/I_n \\ &= \lim_n M/(p^n) = M. \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, Theorem 1.2.3 is an immediate consequence of the following.

**1.3.11. Proposition.** Let  $\kappa$  be an algebraically closed field, and  $M$  a free module over  $W(\kappa)$  of finite rank  $h$  equipped with a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -linear topologically nilpotent endomorphism  $V: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $M/VM \cong \kappa$ . Then there exists  $x \in M$  such that  $M \cong W(\kappa)\{x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x\}$  and  $V^h x = px$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.3.2, we know that  $p^{-1}V^h: M \rightarrow M$  is a  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear automorphism, and moreover there is  $y \in M$  such that  $y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y$  forms a basis for  $M$ . On the other hand, Proposition 1.3.10 tells us that  $M$  admits a basis  $x_0, \dots, x_{h-1}$  with  $p^{-1}V^h x_i = x_i$  for each  $i$ . If we write  $y = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i x_i$  and choose  $n$  such that  $a_n$  is nonzero in  $M/(p)$ , then Proposition 1.3.2 tells us that  $x_n, Vx_n, \dots, V^{h-1}x_n$  is a basis for  $M$ . So  $x = x_n$  has the stated properties. □

## 2. CLASSIFICATION OF FORMAL GROUPS

**2.1. Galois cohomology.** For our purposes, a topological group will be a group  $G$  equipped with topology arising from an inverse limit construction  $G = \lim_i G/U_i$ , where  $U_i \subset G$  is a normal subgroup not necessarily of finite index. Let  $\Gamma$  and  $S$  be topological groups, and suppose we have an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $S$ . We can define the semidirect product group  $S \rtimes \Gamma$  with multiplication  $(s, \gamma) \cdot (t, \delta) = (s \cdot {}^\gamma t, \gamma \cdot \delta)$ . This is equipped with a projection  $\pi: S \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ , and we define the set of  $S$ -valued cocycles on  $\Gamma$  by

$$Z^1(\Gamma, S) = \{\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow S \rtimes \Gamma : \pi \circ \alpha = \text{id}_\Gamma\}.$$

Equivalently,

$$Z^1(\Gamma, S) = \{\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow S : \alpha(\gamma \cdot \delta) = \alpha(\gamma) \cdot {}^\gamma \alpha(\delta)\} \subset \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S).$$

This set is equipped with an  $S$ -action by conjugation: for  $s \in S$  and  $\alpha \in Z^1(\Gamma, S)$ , we define

$$\alpha^s(\gamma) = s^{-1} \cdot \alpha(\gamma) \cdot {}^\gamma s.$$

Let  $H^1(\Gamma, S) = Z^1(\Gamma, S)_S$  be the set of orbits of this action. If  $S$  is abelian, then  $H^1(\Gamma, S)$  agrees with the usual continuous group cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in  $S$ , as can be seen directly from a suitable bar resolution. In general,  $H^1(\Gamma, S)$  is a set pointed at the zero map  $\Gamma \rightarrow S$ . Observe that  $H^1(\Gamma, S) = 0$  precisely when for every  $\alpha \in Z^1(\Gamma, S)$  there exists some  $s \in S$  such that  $\alpha(\gamma) = s^{-1} \cdot {}^\gamma s$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .



**2.1.1. Lemma.** Suppose  $S = \lim_{n \geq 1} S/U_n$  is a complete Hausdorff topological group, and set  $U_0 = S$ . Suppose  $H^1(\Gamma, U_n/U_{n+1}) = 0$  for each  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $H^1(\Gamma, S) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in Z^1(\Gamma, S)$ . We find that  $\alpha(\gamma) \equiv s_1^{-1} \cdot \gamma s_1 \pmod{U_1}$  for some fixed  $s_1 \in S$ . Replacing  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha^{s_1^{-1}}$ , we may suppose  $\alpha$  lands in  $U_1 \subset S$ . Repeating this argument, we find elements  $s_1, s_2, \dots \in S$  such that  $s_n \in U_{n-1}$  and  $f^{(s_n \cdots s_1)^{-1}}$  lies in  $U_n$  for each  $n$ . As  $S$  is complete Hausdorff with respect to the filtration by the subgroups  $U_i$ , we can take  $s_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \cdots s_1$  and find  $f^{s_\infty^{-1}}$  lies in  $\bigcap U_n = 0$ . So  $f$  is zero in  $H^1(\Gamma, S)$ .  $\square$

Fix now a field  $k$  of positive characteristic  $p$ , and let  $k \subset K$  be a Galois extension with Galois group  $\Gamma$ . Write  $W(K)$  for the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors on  $K$ , and consider this as equipped with the  $p$ -adic topology. We obtain a continuous action of  $\Gamma$  on the Witt components of  $W(K)$ . The quotients  $W(K) \rightarrow W(K)/(p^n)$  pass to surjections  $\mathrm{GL}_n(W(K)) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(W(K)/(p^n))$ , and these make  $\mathrm{GL}_n(W(K))$  into a topological group. The action of  $\Gamma$  on the coordinates of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(W(K))$  is continuous with respect to this topology. The following can be seen as an analogue of Hilbert's Satz 90.

**2.1.2. Theorem.**  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(W(K))) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_n$  be the kernel of the quotient map  $\mathrm{GL}_n(W(K)) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(W(K)/(p^n))$ . One can show that

$$U_0/U_1 = (K^\times)^n, \quad U_{n+1}/U_n = K^{\oplus n}.$$

So by Lemma 2.1.1, we are reduced to verifying  $H^1(\Gamma, K^\times) = 0 = H^1(\Gamma, K)$ . The first is exactly Hilbert's Satz 90. For the second, let  $\alpha \in Z^1(\Gamma, K)$ , realized as a map  $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow K$ . As  $K$  is discrete and  $\Gamma$  is profinite, this factors through  $\alpha': G \rightarrow L$ , where  $k \subset L \subset K$  is some finite Galois extension and  $G = \mathrm{Aut}_k(L)$ . It is then sufficient to show  $\alpha' = 0$  in  $H^1(G, L)$ . This follows from the normal basis theorem, telling us that  $L \cong k[G]$  as  $k[G]$ -modules, and the identification of  $H^1$  with ordinary group cohomology in this case.  $\square$

**2.2. The classification theorem.** Fix a perfect field  $\kappa$  of positive characteristic, and fix an algebraic closure  $\kappa \subset \bar{\kappa}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}_k(\bar{\kappa})$  be the absolute Galois group of  $\kappa$ . For  $M \in \mathrm{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$ , let  $M_{\bar{\kappa}} = W(\bar{\kappa}) \widehat{\otimes}_{W(\kappa)} M \in \mathrm{LMod}_{D(\bar{\kappa})}^v$ . The continuous action of  $\Gamma$  on  $W(\bar{\kappa})$  gives rise to a continuous action of  $\Gamma$  on  $M_{\bar{\kappa}}$  by left  $D(\kappa)$ -module maps, and we can identify  $M_{\bar{\kappa}}^\Gamma = M$ .

Fix a positive integer  $h$ , and write  $H = W(\kappa)\{x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x\}$  for the object of  $\mathrm{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  considered in Section 1.2. We have an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\bar{\kappa})}(H_{\bar{\kappa}}, M_{\bar{\kappa}})$  defined as follows. Recall that we can identify a map  $f: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$  as the element  $a = f(x) \in M_{\bar{\kappa}}$  subject to  $V^h a = pa$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have  $\gamma(a) \in M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ , and we claim this is associated to some other  $\gamma f: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ . Indeed, as  $\Gamma$  acts by  $D(\kappa)$ -linear maps, we have

$$V^h \gamma(a) = \gamma(V^h a) = \gamma(pa) = p\gamma(a).$$

This action restricts to an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathrm{Iso}_{D(\bar{\kappa})}(H_{\bar{\kappa}}, M_{\bar{\kappa}})$ . In case  $M = H$ , this gives an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{S}_h$ . Our goal for the rest of this section is to demonstrate the following:

$$\pi_0 \mathrm{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h} \cong H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h).$$

Fix  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$ . Choose an isomorphism  $f: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ ; the existence of such is guaranteed by Theorem 1.2.3. Define then

$$\alpha_{M,f}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_h, \quad \alpha_{M,f}(\gamma) = f^{-1} \cdot \gamma f.$$

**2.2.1. Claim.** We have  $\alpha_{M,f} \in Z^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$ .

*Proof.* We must verify the cocycle condition and continuity. For the cocycle condition, we directly calculate for  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{M,f}(\gamma\delta) &= f^{-1} \cdot \gamma\delta f \\ &= f^{-1} \cdot \gamma f \cdot \gamma f^{-1} \cdot \gamma\delta f \\ &= f^{-1} \cdot f^\gamma \cdot \gamma (f^{-1} \cdot \delta f) = \alpha_{M,f}(\gamma) \cdot \gamma \alpha_{M,f}(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

For continuity, write  $f(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i \otimes a_i$  with  $\lambda_i \in W(\bar{\kappa})$  and  $a_i \in M$  and let  $\kappa \subset K$  be the field extension obtained by adjoining the first  $n$  Witt components of the coefficients  $\lambda_i$ . If  $\gamma|_K = \delta|_K$ , then  $\gamma f \equiv \delta f \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ , and thus  $\alpha_{M,f}(\gamma) \equiv \alpha_{M,f}(\delta) \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ . So we learn that  $\alpha_{M,f}$  is continuous.  $\square$

We have now assigned to every  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  and choice of isomorphism  $f: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$  an element  $\alpha_{M,f} \in Z^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$ .

**2.2.2. Claim.** Upon passing to  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$ , the above assignment factors through  $\pi_0 \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose given  $M^\epsilon \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}$  with chosen isomorphisms  $f_\epsilon: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}^\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $g: M^1 \rightarrow M^2$  be an isomorphism of left  $D(\kappa)$ -modules, and define  $h \in \mathbb{S}_h$  by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{f^1} & M_{\bar{\kappa}}^1 \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow g \\ H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{f^2} & M_{\bar{\kappa}}^2 \end{array}.$$

As  $g$  is defined over  $W(\kappa)$ , we can calculate for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  that

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{-1} \cdot \gamma f_2 &= (g \cdot f_1 \cdot h)^{-1} \cdot \gamma (g \cdot f_1 \cdot h) \\ &= h^{-1} \cdot f_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \cdot \gamma (g \cdot f_1) \cdot \gamma h = h^{-1} \cdot f_1^{-1} \cdot \gamma f_1 \cdot \gamma h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha_{M^1, f_1}^h = \alpha_{M^2, f_2}$ , so that  $\alpha_{M^1, f_1}$  and  $\alpha_{M^2, f_2}$  agree in  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$ .  $\square$

We have now constructed

$$\alpha: \pi_0 \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h} \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h).$$

**2.2.3. Theorem.** The map  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let us first check that  $\alpha$  is injective. Suppose given  $M^\epsilon \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  and choose isomorphisms  $f_\epsilon: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}^\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon \in \{1, 2\}$ . Suppose that for some  $h \in \mathbb{S}_h$  we have  $\alpha_{M^1, f_1}^h = \alpha_{M^2, f_2}^h$ . Then for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{h} & H_{\bar{\kappa}} \\
 \downarrow \gamma f_2 & & \downarrow \gamma f_1 \\
 M_{\bar{\kappa}}^2 & & M_{\bar{\kappa}}^1 \\
 \uparrow f_2 & & \uparrow f_1 \\
 H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{h} & H_{\bar{\kappa}}
 \end{array}$$

commutes, telling us

$$f_2 \cdot h \cdot f_1^{-1} = \gamma (f_2 \cdot h \cdot f_1^{-1}) : M_{\bar{\kappa}}^1 \cong M_{\bar{\kappa}}^2$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . So we may take fixed points with respect to  $\Gamma$  to obtain an isomorphism  $M^1 \cong M^2$ .

Let us now check  $\alpha$  is surjective. Fix  $\eta \in Z^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$ , which we can push forward to  $Z^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{GL}_n(W(\bar{\kappa})))$ . By Theorem 2.1.2, we know that  $\eta(\gamma) = T^{-1} \cdot \gamma T$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(W(\bar{\kappa}))$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and some matrix  $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n(W(\bar{\kappa}))$ . Let  $N = W(\bar{\kappa}) \otimes_{W(\kappa)} W(\kappa)\{y_0, \dots, y_{h-1}\}$ , and using the distinguished bases treat  $T$  as an isomorphism  $T: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \cong N$  of  $W(\bar{\kappa})$ -modules. We can give  $N$  the structure of a left  $D_{\bar{\kappa}}$ -module such that this is an isomorphism of left  $D(\bar{\kappa})$ -modules; explicitly, if  $V = V_H: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow H_{\bar{\kappa}}$ , then  $V_N = T \circ V_H \circ T^{-1}$ . Observe now that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma V_N &= \gamma (T \cdot V_H \cdot T^{-1}) \\
 &= \gamma T \cdot \gamma V_H \cdot \gamma T^{-1} \\
 &= T \cdot \eta(\gamma) \cdot V_H \cdot \eta(\gamma)^{-1} \cdot T^{-1} \\
 &= T \cdot V_H \cdot T^{-1} = V_N,
 \end{aligned}$$

the last equality as  $\eta(\gamma) \in \mathbb{S}_h$  commutes with  $V_H$ . As  $V_N$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, we can take fixed points with respect to  $\Gamma$  to obtain the left  $D(\kappa)$ -module  $M = N^\Gamma$ . Evidently  $\alpha_{M,T} = \eta$ , so this concludes the proof.  $\square$

**2.3. Case of a finite field.** We maintain notation from the previous section. Suppose now  $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$  is a finite field. Then  $\Gamma = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is cyclically generated by  $\sigma^{-r}$ . We learn that evaluation on  $\sigma^{-r}$  gives an isomorphism

$$Z^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h) \cong \mathbb{S}_h.$$

Thus  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{S}_h$ , where two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{S}_h$  are equivalent precisely when there is some  $h \in \mathbb{S}_h$  such that

$$g_2 = h^{-1} \cdot g_1 \cdot \sigma^{-r} h.$$

If  $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{p^{hr}}$ , so that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^h} \subset \kappa$ , then  $\Gamma$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{S}_h$  and this description yields an isomorphism

$$\alpha: \pi_0 \mathrm{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h} \cong \mathbb{S}_h / (\mathrm{conj}).$$

Let  $M \in \mathrm{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  with  $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{p^{hr}}$ . Observe that over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{hr}}$ , the  $\sigma^{-hr}$ -semilinear map  $V^{hr}$  is in fact linear. It thus induces a  $D(\bar{\kappa})$ -linear map  $M_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ , but this is not the map  $V^{hr}$  associated  $M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ ; when treating  $V^{hr}$  in this way, we will write  $V^{hr} = B_M$ . Choose an isomorphism  $f: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ . We can give now another description of the conjugacy class  $\alpha(M)$  associated to  $M$ . By Corollary 1.3.3, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \xrightarrow{B_H} & & \\
H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{=} & H_{\bar{\kappa}} \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \sigma^{-hr} f \\
M_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & M_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M_{\bar{\kappa}} \\
& & \xrightarrow{B_M} & & 
\end{array}$$

This tells us that  $f^{-1} \cdot \sigma^{-hr} f = f^{-1} \cdot \mu \cdot f$ . So  $f^{-1} \cdot \mu \cdot f \in \mathbb{S}_h$  is a representative of the conjugacy class associated to  $M$ . Moreover,  $\mu$  is defined in  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{hr}})$ ; in particular,  $V^{hrs} = \mu^s p^{rs}$ , so that the conjugacy class associated to  $M_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{hrs}}}$  is  $f^{-1} \cdot \mu^s \cdot f$ .

As the conjugacy class of the identity of  $\mathbb{S}_h$  is a singleton, we learn that  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\mathbb{F}_{p^{hr}})}^{v,h}$  is isomorphic to  $H$  if and only if  $\mu = 1$  in the above, i.e.  $V^{hr} = p^r$  on  $M$ .

**2.3.1. Example.** Consider the case  $h = 1$ . In this case, the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{S}_1$  is trivial and  $\mathbb{S}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is abelian, so an object  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{v,1}$  is classified by an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Such an object  $M$  can be written as  $M = W(\mathbb{F}_p)\{y\}$  with  $Vy = apy$  for some  $a \in W(\mathbb{F}_p)^\times$ . The above description tells us that the invariant of  $M$  is the norm  $N(a) = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} a^{\sigma^i}$ .  $\triangleleft$

### 3. DETERMINANTS

**3.1. Exterior powers.** For  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^v$ , consider  $\Lambda^n M$ , the exterior power taken over  $W(\kappa)$ .

**3.1.1. Theorem.** The  $W(\kappa)$ -module  $\Lambda^n M$  admits a natural left  $D(\kappa)$ -module structure determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
V(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) &= Va_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Va_n, \\
F(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) &= p^{-(n-1)} Fa_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Fa_n.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is evidently natural; we must only verify that  $F$  can be defined this way. Choose  $y \in M$  so that  $M \cong W(\kappa)\{y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y\}$ . Then a basis for  $\Lambda^n M$  is given by the elements  $V^I y = V^{i_1} y \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_n} y$  with  $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_n\} \subset \{0, \dots, h-1\}$ . As  $FV = p$  in  $M$ , we find that with the above definition  $F(V^I y)$  lives in  $\Lambda^n M \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda^n M$ .  $\square$

**3.1.2. Example.** Consider the case  $M = H$ . Then  $\Lambda^n H$  has a basis consisting of the elements  $V^I x$  as above, and we can identify

$$\begin{aligned}
V(V^{i_1} x \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_n} x) &= \begin{cases} V^{i_1+1} x \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_n+1} x, & i_n \neq h-1, \\ (-1)^{n-1} p x \wedge V^{i_1+1} x \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_{n-1}+1} x, & i_n = h-1; \end{cases} \\
F(V^{i_1} y \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_n} y) &= \begin{cases} p V^{i_1-1} y \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_n-1} y, & i_1 \neq 0, \\ (-1)^{n-1} V^{i_2-1} x \wedge \cdots \wedge V^{i_n-1} x \wedge V^{h-1} x, & i_1 = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$\triangleleft$

Fix  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$ . For general  $n$ , we cannot say that  $\Lambda^n M$  lives in  $\text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v, \binom{h}{n}}$ ; rather, it turns out that  $\Lambda^n M$  is associated to a connected  $p$ -divisible group of

height  $\binom{h}{n}$  and dimension  $\binom{h-1}{n-1}$ . In the case  $h = n$ , one can check directly that  $\Lambda^h M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,1}$ . We obtain a functor

$$\Lambda^h: \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h} \rightarrow \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,1},$$

and by Theorem 2.2.3 this passes to a map

$$\lambda: H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_1),$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the absolute Galois group of  $\kappa$ . By Proposition 1.2.1, we can identify  $\mathbb{S}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , and this has trivial action by  $\Gamma$ . We can thus identify  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_1) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ , so that  $\lambda$  gives  $\text{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma, \mathbb{S} \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ .

Say now  $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$  is a finite field, and fix  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}^h$ . We have now  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_1) = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Let us describe the resulting element  $\lambda(M) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . As in Example 2.3.1, we can write  $V^r = \Lambda^h V^r: \Lambda^h M \rightarrow \Lambda^h M$  as  $V^r = p^r a$  for some  $a \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})^\times$ , and then  $\lambda(M) = N(a) = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} a^{\sigma^i}$ . Choose now  $y \in M$  such that  $M \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})\{y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y\}$ , and write  $V^h y = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} pa_i V^i y$  with  $a_0 \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})^\times$ .

**3.1.3. Proposition.** With notation as above, we have

$$a = \lambda(M) = (-1)^{r(h-1)} N(a_0) = (-1)^{r(h-1)} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} a_0^{\sigma^i}.$$

*Proof.* Continuing the previous discussion, we have  $\Lambda^h M \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})\{y \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y\}$  and  $V^h y = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i V^i y$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} V(y \wedge Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y) &= Vy \wedge V^2 \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y \wedge V^h y \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y \wedge pa_i V^i y \\ &= Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y \wedge a_0 y \\ &= (-1)^{h-1} pa_0 y \wedge Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we learn

$$\begin{aligned} V^r(y \wedge Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y) &= p^r (-1)^{r(h-1)} a_0^{\sigma^{-(r-1)}} \dots a_0^{\sigma^{-1}} a_0 y \wedge Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y \\ &= p^r (-1)^{r(h-1)} N(a_0) y \wedge Vy \wedge \dots \wedge V^{h-1}y, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $a = (-1)^{r(h-1)} N(a_0)$  as stated.  $\square$

**3.2. Classical determinant.** Fix a perfect field  $\kappa$  containing all  $(p^h - 1)$ 'th roots of unity, and write  $\mathbb{F}_{p^h} \subset \kappa$  for the subfield generated by these elements. Write still  $H = W(\kappa)\{x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x\}$  with  $V^h x = px$ . Then  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$  was identified in Proposition 1.2.1, and is independent of the choice of such  $\kappa$ . Moreover, we have an injection  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) \rightarrow \text{End}_{W(\kappa)}(W(\kappa)^{\oplus h})$  which lands in the image of the induction map  $\text{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})^{\oplus h}) \subset \text{End}_{W(\kappa)}(W(\kappa)^{\oplus h})$ . Explicitly, for  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} a_i V^i$  in  $\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$ , we have  $a_i \in W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})$  for each  $a_i$  and the matrix

associated to the image of  $a$  in  $\text{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})^{\oplus h})$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & pa_{h-1}^{\sigma^{-1}} & pa_{h-2}^{\sigma^{-2}} & \cdots & pa_1^{\sigma^{-(h-1)}} \\ a_1 & a_0^{\sigma^{-1}} & pa_{h-1}^{\sigma^{-2}} & \cdots & pa_2^{\sigma^{-(h-1)}} \\ a_2 & a_1^{\sigma^{-1}} & a_0^{\sigma^{-2}} & \cdots & pa_3^{\sigma^{-(h-1)}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{h-2} & a_{h-3}^{\sigma^{-1}} & a_{h-4}^{\sigma^{-2}} & \cdots & pa_{h-1}^{\sigma^{-(h-1)}} \\ a_{h-1} & a_{h-2}^{\sigma^{-1}} & a_{h-3}^{\sigma^{-2}} & \cdots & a_0^{\sigma^{-(h-1)}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Define now

$$d: \text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) \rightarrow \text{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})^{\oplus h}) \xrightarrow{\det} W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h}).$$

This is a homomorphism, with  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})$  given its multiplicative monoid structure.

**3.2.1. Proposition.** The image of  $d$  lies in  $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})$ .

*Proof.* One can check that  $p^{-1}\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$  is a well defined algebra, and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) & \longrightarrow & p^{-1}\text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H) \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow \\ \text{End}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})}(W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})^{\oplus h}) & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q} \otimes W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})^{\oplus h}) \end{array}$$

of injections. Fix  $a \in \text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$ . Observe that inverting  $p$  also inverts  $V$ , and we can identify  $VaV^{-1} = a^{\sigma^{-1}}$ . Thus  $d(a) = d(VaV^{-1}) = d(a^{\sigma^{-1}}) = d(a)^{\sigma^{-1}}$ , so that  $d(a) \in W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .  $\square$

**3.2.2. Remark.** Let  $T \in \text{End}_{W(\kappa)}(W(\kappa)^{\oplus h})$  be associated to some  $a \in \text{End}_{D(\kappa)}(H)$ . Then the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial  $q(t)$  of  $T$  all lie in  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Indeed, we can write

$$q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^h (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\Lambda^i T) t^{h-i},$$

and as trace is invariant under cyclic permutations we can argue as above.

More generally, fix  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\kappa)}^{v,h}$  and a  $D(\kappa)$ -linear endomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow M$ . Let  $q(t)$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $g$  considered as a  $W(\kappa)$ -linear endomorphism. Then the coefficients of  $q(t)$  lie in  $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset W(\kappa)$ . Indeed,  $g$  induces a  $D(\bar{\kappa})$ -linear endomorphism  $M_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$ , and we know  $M_{\bar{\kappa}} \cong H_{\bar{\kappa}}$ ; so we can appeal to the fact that the characteristic polynomial of a linear map is independent of choice of basis.  $\triangleleft$

We obtain the determinant mapping  $d: \mathbb{S}_h \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_p)^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

**3.2.3. Theorem.** Fix  $M \in \text{LMod}_{D(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}^{v,h}$ , and let  $\alpha(M) \in \mathbb{S}_h$  represent the invariant in  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}_h)$  associated to  $M$ . Let  $\lambda(M) \in \mathbb{S}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  be the invariant associated to  $\Lambda^h M$ . Then

$$\lambda(M) = (-1)^{r(h-1)} d(\alpha(M)).$$

*Proof.* Write  $\bar{\kappa} = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$  and choose an isomorphism  $f: H_{\bar{\kappa}} \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$  so that we can say  $\alpha(M)$  is represented by  $f^{-1} \cdot \sigma^{-r} f$ . By the definition of ordinary determinants, we can identify  $d(f^{-1} \cdot \sigma^{-r} f) = \Lambda^h(f^{-1}) \cdot \Lambda^h(\sigma^{-r} f)$  as automorphisms of  $\Lambda^h H_{\bar{\kappa}} \cong W(\bar{\kappa})$ . By Corollary 1.3.3 and Proposition 3.1.3, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda^h H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & \Lambda^h H_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{r(h-1)}} & \Lambda^h H_{\bar{\kappa}} \\ \downarrow f & & \Lambda^h(f) \downarrow & & \Lambda^h(\sigma^{-r} f) \downarrow = \sigma^{-r} \Lambda^h(f) \\ \Lambda^h M_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{p^r} & \Lambda^h M_{\bar{\kappa}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda(M)} & \Lambda^h M_{\bar{\kappa}} \end{array}$$

commutes. This yields the result.  $\square$

**3.2.4. Corollary.** Fix notation as above. Choose  $y \in M$  giving an isomorphism  $M \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})\{y, Vy, \dots, V^{h-1}y\}$ , and write  $V^h y = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} pa_i y$ . Then  $d(\alpha(M)) = N(a_0) = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} a_0^{\sigma^i}$ .

*Proof.* Combine Theorem 3.2.3 and Proposition 3.1.3.  $\square$

**3.2.5. Remark.** The proof of Theorem 3.2.3 did not rely on Proposition 3.2.1, and so gives a second proof of the fact that  $d: \mathbb{S}_h \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_{p^h})^\times$  lands in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .  $\triangleleft$

**3.2.6. Example.** Suppose  $h$  is even, and write  $H = W(\mathbb{F}_p)\{x, Vx, \dots, V^{h-1}x\}$  with  $V^h x = px$ . Let  $M = \Lambda^h H$ . Then we can identify  $M = W(\mathbb{F}_p)\{y\}$  with  $Vy = -py$ . Let  $H^1 = W(\mathbb{F}_p)\{x\}$  with  $Vx = px$ . Then an isomorphism  $f: H_{\bar{\kappa}}^1 \rightarrow M_{\bar{\kappa}}$  is given by  $a \in W(\bar{\kappa})^\times$  satisfying

$$a^\sigma + a = 0.$$

We have a Galois extension  $W(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ , and the resulting trace map is

$$W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \rightarrow W(\mathbb{F}_p), \quad a \mapsto a^\sigma + a.$$

The kernel is a free one-dimensional  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -module, and any element therein not divisible by  $p$  thus gives an isomorphism  $H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^1 \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}$ . We learn that  $M_{\mathbb{F}_{p^r}} \cong H_{\mathbb{F}_{p^r}}^1$  if and only if  $r$  is even.  $\triangleleft$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801

*Email address:* balderr2@illinois.edu