

# APPLICATIONS OF ATIYAH-HIRZEBRUCH SPECTRAL SEQUENCES FOR MOTIVIC COBORDISMS

NOBUAKI YAGITA

ABSTRACT. We study applications of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences for motivic cobordisms found by Hopkins and Morel.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a smooth algebraic variety over a subfield  $k$  of the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . To prove the Milnor conjecture, V.Voevodsky [Vo1,2] constructed the motivic cobordism theory  $MGL^{*,*}(X)$  such that there are natural maps which commute the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MGL^{*,*}(X) & \xrightarrow{t_{\mathbb{C}}} & MU^*(X(\mathbb{C})) \\ \rho^{*,*} \downarrow & & \rho^* \downarrow \\ H^{*,*}(X) & \xrightarrow{t_{\mathbb{C}}} & H^*(X(\mathbb{C})). \end{array}$$

Here  $H^{*,*}(-)$  (resp.  $MU^*(-)$ ,  $H^*(-)$ ) is the motivic cohomology (resp. the complex cobordism theory, the ordinary cohomology theory),  $t_{\mathbb{C}}$  (resp.  $\rho^*$ ) is the realization map (resp. the Thom map) and  $X(\mathbb{C})$  is the complex manifold of rational  $\mathbb{C}$  points of  $X$ .

To study  $MGL^{*,*}(-)$ , M.Hopkins and F.Morel ([Ho-Mo]) found the motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (AHss)

$$(1.1) \quad E(MGL)_2^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(X, MU^*) \implies MGL^{*,*}(X).$$

Direct consequences of the existence of the motivic AHss are the isomorphisms

$$(1.2) \quad MGL^{2*,*}(pt.) \cong MU^*, \quad \text{and} \quad MGL^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU^*} \mathbb{Z} \cong CH^*(X)$$

where  $CH^*(X)$  is the classical Chow ring of  $X$ . There are many other applications.

Given a regular sequence  $S = (a_1, a_2, \dots), a_i \in MU^*$ , there is the generalized (topological) cohomology theory  $MU(S)^*(-)$  ([Sh-Ya]) such that the coefficient ring is isomorphic to  $MU(S)^* = MU^*/(Ideal(S))$ . In particular,  $MU(x_1, x_2, \dots) \cong H\mathbb{Z}$  where  $MU^* = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  and  $H\mathbb{Z}$  is the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum.

Similarly we can construct the algebraic cohomology theory  $AMU(S)^{*,*}(-)$  such that  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(AMU(S)) \cong MU(S)$ . The crucial point of the proof by Hopkins-Morel of the existence of the motivic AHss is also the isomorphism  $AMU(x_1, x_2, \dots) = AH\mathbb{Z} \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ : the spectrum representing the motivic cohomology.

We give a proof of the fact that  $AMU(p, x_1, \dots) \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}$  because the proof is quite easy for this case and most computations in this paper are given only for

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55P35, 57T25; Secondary 55R35, 57T05.

*Key words and phrases*. algebraic cobordism, Chow ring, motivic cohomology, BP-theory, Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

$\text{mod}(p)$  theories. However we use the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy Whitehead theorem and use the fact that the motivic Steenrod algebra  $A_p^{*,*}$  is multiplicatively generated by  $H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , reduced powers  $P^i$  and the Milnor operations  $Q_j$ . The last fact is proved by V.Voevodsky and G.Powell [Po], while it is not published yet.

From this fact we get the Sullivan-Baas exact sequences and AHss for many motivic cohomology theories, e.g.,  $ABP$ ,  $AP(n)$ ,  $ABP\langle n \rangle$ ,  $AK(n)$ . The differentials of AHss for these theories are related to the Milnor operation, in fact,

$$(1.3) \quad d_{2p^n-1}(x) = v_n \otimes Q_n(x) \quad \text{mod}(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$$

in AHss for  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  theory where  $BP^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$  as usual.

The following are applications of AHss for motivic theories.

Recall that  $BP\langle n \rangle^*(X)$  is the theory with the coefficient  $BP\langle n \rangle^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$ . It is proved that if  $ABP\langle n \rangle^*(X)$  is  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ -torsion, then  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is a  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -free module. For a nonzero element  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$  in the Milnor K-theory  $K_{n+1}^M(k)$ , let  $\tilde{C}(Q_a)$  be the reduced *Čech* complex defined from the norm quadric  $Q_a$  of dimension  $2^n - 1$  (see [Vo1,2] for details). Then we see that  $H^{*,*}(\tilde{C}(Q_a); \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -free. This gives a little different proof of some parts in the proof of Milnor conjecture by Voevodsky. Moreover we show that

$$(1.4) \quad H^{*,*}(\tilde{C}(Q_a); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong K_*^M(k)/(Ker(a)) \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[\delta_a^2]\{a\tau^{-1}\}$$

where  $\deg(\delta_a^2) = (2^{n+2} - 2, 2^{n+1} - 2)$  and  $\deg(a\tau^{-1}) = (n+1, n)$ .

Hu and Kriz ([Hu-Kr1,2]) studied the Real cobordism theories. In particular, they computed the coefficient of the Real  $BP$ -theory  $BP\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt)$ . By the Real realization map  $t_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the Real theories are related to the motivic theories over  $k = \mathbb{R}$ . We see that  $ABP/2^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{R}))$  is a  $BP/2^*$ -submodule of  $BP/2\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt)$  and

$$(1.5) \quad grABP^{*,*}/2(Spec(\mathbb{R})) \cong BP^*[\rho]\{1, v_n t_2^{2^{n-1}\ell} | \ell, n \geq 1\} / (2, v_n \rho^{2^{n+1}-1}) \otimes \Lambda(t_0)$$

where  $\deg(t_i) = (0, 2^i)$ ,  $\deg(\rho) = (1, 1)$ .

Recall that  $P(n)^*(-)$  (resp.  $K(n)^*(-)$ ) is the theory with  $P(1)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n, \dots]$  (resp.  $K(n)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ ). When  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , we see that  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  and  $AK(n)^{*,*}(-)$  work very well. In particular,

$$(1.6) \quad AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n) \wedge AP(n)) \cong P(n)^*(P(n) \wedge P(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$$

where  $\deg(\tau) = (0, 1)$ . Then we prove that  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  has a good product and holds the Conner-Floyd type theorem, i.e.,  $AK(n)^{*,*}(-) \cong K(n)^* \otimes_{K(n)^*} AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  ([Ya1],[Wu]).

Let  $\Omega_{BP}^*(-)$  be the  $BP$ -version of the algebraic cobordism  $\Omega^*(-)$  defined by Morel and Levine ([Mo-Le1,2]). Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $BG$  be its classifying space [To1,2]. We note that

$$(1.7) \quad ABP^{2*,*}(BG) \cong \Omega_{BP}^*(BG) \cong BP^*(BG)$$

hold for all groups with no  $p$ -torsion in  $H^*(BG)$  or finite abelian groups. However for nonabelian  $p$ -groups, we give only weaker results.

Next consider another type of groups. Let  $G$  be a simply connected Lie group and  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the corresponding reductive algebraic group. Let us write  $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell})$  where  $P(y)$  is a truncated polynomial generated by even dimensional generators. Let  $I = (p, v_1, \dots)$  be the (invariant prime) ideal in  $BP^*$ . We

also note that we have the isomorphism of  $BP^*$ -modules

$$(1.8) \quad ABP^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}})/I^2 \cong \Omega_{BP}^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})/I^2 \cong BP^* \otimes P(y)/(I_2^2, \sum_j v_j Q_j x_i | 1 \leq i \leq \ell).$$

The last isomorphism is proved in [Ya5], while the first isomorphism is immediate consequence from the existence of AHss (1.2).

Section 2 (resp. 8) is a short introduction to the motivic cohomology theory (resp. algebraic cobordism). In §3, we define  $Ah^{*,*}(-)$  theory for certain topological theory  $h^*(-)$  and prove  $AH\mathbb{Z}/p \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}$ , and give AHss for these theories. In §4, we note the relation of  $Q_i$  and the differential of AHss. In §5, we study  $\tilde{C}(Q_a)$  and the Rost motives. In §6,  $ABP^{*,*}/2(Spec(\mathbb{R}))$  is studied. In §7, we study  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  theories for  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Section 9 (resp. 10) treats the  $ABP^{*,*}(-)$  for classifying spaces  $BG$  for algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{C}$  (resp. the reductive groups  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  for simply connected Lie groups).

Arguments with John Greenlees, Jens Hornbostel, Fabien Morel and Burt Totaro have been very helpful to write this paper. The author thanks them very much.

## 2. MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY

We use some category  $Spc$  of (algebraic) spaces, defined by Voevodsky, where schemes  $A$ , their quotients  $A_1/A_2$  and  $colim(A_{\alpha})$  are all contained ([Vo2],[Mo-Vo]). Here schemes are defined over a field  $k$  with  $ch(k) = 0$ . The motivic cohomology is the double indexed cohomology  $H^{*,*}(X)$  defined by Suslin and Voevodsky directly related with the Chow ring and the Milnor K-theory. Indeed, for a smooth scheme  $X$ ,  $H^{2n,n}(X) \cong CH^n(X)$ , the classical Chow group of codim  $n$  cycles on  $X$  modulo rational equivalence. The cohomology  $H^{n,n}(Spec(k)) \cong K_n^M(k)$ ; the Milnor K-group for the field  $k$ .

Since  $Spc$  contains  $colimit$ , we can consider the infinite projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{\infty} = B\mathbb{G}_m$  and the infinite Lens space  $colim_n(\mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}/p) = L_p^{\infty} = B\mathbb{Z}/p$ . The Chow rings of these spaces are given [To1] by

$$(2.1) \quad CH^*(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}) \cong H^{2*,*}(\mathbb{P}^{\infty}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y], \quad CH^*(B\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{2*,*}(B\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y]/(py)$$

with  $deg(y) = (2, 1)$ .

The Milnor K-theory is the graded ring  $\oplus_n K_n^M(k)$  defined by  $K_n^M(k) = (k^*)^{\otimes n}/J$  where the ideal  $J$  is generated by elements  $a \otimes (1 - a)$  for  $a \in k^* - \{1\}$ . Here the addition of  $k^*$  is given by the multiplication in the field  $k$ . Hence  $K_0^M(k) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K_1^M(k) = k^*$ . Hilbert's theorem 90, which is essentially said that the Galois cohomology  $H^1(G(k_s/k); k_s^*) = 0$ , implies the isomorphism  $K_1^M(k)/p \cong k^*/(k^*)^p \cong H^1(G(k_s/k); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  for  $1/p \in k$ . Similarly we can define a map (the norm residue map) for any extension  $F$  of  $k$  of finite type

$$(2.2) \quad K_n^M(F)/p \rightarrow H^n(G(F_s/F); \mu_p^{\otimes n})$$

where  $\mu_p^{\otimes n}$  is the discrete  $G(F_s/F)$ -module of  $n$ -th tensor power of the group of  $p$ -roots of 1. The Bloch-Kato conjecture is that this map is an isomorphism for all field  $k$  and the Milnor conjecture is its  $p = 2$  case. This conjecture is solved when  $n = 2$  by Merkurjev-Susulin [Me-Su], and for  $p = 2$  by Voevodsky [Vo1].

Notice that  $H^n(G(k_s/k); \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \cong H_{et}^n(Spec(k), \mu_p^{\otimes n})$  the étale cohomology of the point. The étale cohomology  $H_{et}^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  has the following properties. If  $k$

contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of 1, then there is the additive isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad H_{et}^m(X, \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \cong H_{et}^m(X; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

For smooth  $X$  over  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad H_{et}^m(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^N) \cong H^m(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p^N) \quad \text{for all } N \geq 1.$$

The last cohomology is the usual mod  $p$  ordinary cohomology of  $\mathbb{C}$ -rational point of  $X$ . Of course  $H_{et}^*(Spec(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ . It is also known that  $H_{et}^*(Spec(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho]$  with  $deg(\rho) = 1$  for the real number field  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We recall the Lichtenbaum motivic cohomology ([Vo1,2]). Lichtenbaum defined the similar cohomology  $H_L^{*,*}(X; R)$  by using the étale topology, while  $H^{*,*}(X; R)$  is defined by using Nisnevich topology. Since Nisnevich covers are some restricted étale covers, there is the natural map  $H^{*,*}(X; R) \rightarrow H_L^{*,*}(X; R)$ . We say that the condition  $B(n, p)$  holds if

$$(2.5) \quad H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong H_L^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \quad \text{for all } m \leq n + 1$$

and all smooth  $X$ . The Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture is that  $B(n, p)$  holds for all  $n, p$ . It is proved that the  $B(n, p)$  condition is equivalent the Bloch-Kato conjecture for degree  $n$  and prime  $p$ . Hence  $B(n, p)$  holds for  $n \leq 2$  or  $p = 2$ . Moreover Suslin-Voevodsky proves

$$(2.6) \quad H_L^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H_{et}^m(X; \mu_p^{\otimes n}).$$

When  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ , there are maps (realization maps)

$$t_{\mathbb{C}}^{m,n} : H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^m(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

which sum up  $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{*,*} = \bigoplus_{m,n} t_{\mathbb{C}}^{m,n}$  the natural ring homomorphism. Suppose that  $B(n, p)$  condition holds. When  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , by isomorphisms (2.3)-(2.6), we have

$$(2.6)' \quad H^{n,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^n(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

This isomorphism also represents the realization map  $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{n,n}$ .

Now we compute  $H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) = H^{*,*}(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Define the weight (resp. difference)  $w(x) = 2n - m$  (resp.  $d(x) = m - n$ ) for  $deg(x) = (m, n)$ . For a smooth  $X$ , if  $H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \not\cong 0$ , then it is known ([Vo1,2]) that

$$(2.7) \quad w(x) \geq 0, \quad d(x) \leq \dim(X).$$

We also note  $w(x) = 0$  if  $x \in CH^*(X)$  and  $w(xy) = w(x) + w(y)$ ,  $d(xy) = d(x) + d(y)$ .

Hereafter this paper, we assume that  $k$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of 1 and  $B(n, p)$  holds for all  $n$  but  $X = Spec(k)$ . Then

$$H^{m,n}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H_{et}^m(pt; \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \cong H_{et}^m(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \quad \text{if } m \leq n$$

and  $H^{m,n}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong 0$  for  $m > n$ . Let  $\tau \in H^{0,1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  be the element corresponding a generator of  $H_{et}^0(Spec(k); \mu_p) \cong H_{et}^0(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Then we get the isomorphism

$$H^{*,*}(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H_{et}^*(Spec(k); \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$$

since  $\tau : H_{et}^m(pt; \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \cong H_{et}^m(pt; \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)})$ . For examples,

$$(2.8) \quad H^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau], \quad H^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[\tau, \rho].$$

Next we compute cohomology of  $\mathbb{P}^\infty$  and  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . For the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , there is an isomorphism

$$(2.9) \quad H^{*,*}(X \times \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}; R) \cong H^{*,*}(X; R)\{1, y'\}$$

with  $\deg(y') = (2n, n)$  and  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(y') \neq 0$  for  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . For any (algebraic) map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in the category  $Spc$ , we can construct the cofiber sequence

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \text{cone}(f) = Y/X$$

which induces the long exact sequence (Voevodsky [Vo2],[Mo-Vo])

$$(2.10) \quad H^{*,*}(X; R) \leftarrow H^{*,*}(Y; R) \leftarrow H^{*,*}(Y/X; R) \leftarrow H^{*-1,*}(X; R).$$

In particular, we get the Mayer-Vietoris, Gysin and blow up long exact sequences.

By the cofiber sequence  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and (2.9), we can inductively see that

$$(2.11) \quad H^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[y]/(y^{n+1}) \quad \text{with } \deg(y) = (2, 1).$$

For the Lens spaces, we also have the cofiber sequence in the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -stable category  $SHot$  (see Section 3 below)

$$(2.12) \quad L_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \xrightarrow{\times p} \mathbb{P}^n.$$

Thus we get the additive isomorphism  $H^{*,*}(L_p^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}/p)\{1, x\}$ . This induces the ring isomorphism for  $p = \text{odd}$  ([Vo3])

$$(2.13) \quad H^{*,*}(L_p^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y]/(y^{n+1}) \otimes \Lambda(x) \otimes H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

with  $\deg(x) = (1, 1)$ . However note that when  $p = 2$ , we see  $x^2 = y\tau + x\rho$  [Vo3] where  $\rho \in H^{1,1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong k^*/k^{2*}$  represents  $-1$ . (Hence  $\rho = 0$  when  $\sqrt{-1} \in k^*$ .) This is proved by the wellknown fact  $\{a, a\} = \{a, -1\}$  in the Milnor  $K$ -theory  $K_2^M(k)$ .

Let us say that a space  $X$  satisfies the Kunneth formula for a space  $Y$  if

$$H^{*,*}(X \times Y; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes_{H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)} H^{*,*}(Y; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

By the above cofiber sequences, we can easily see that  $\mathbb{P}^\infty$  and  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$  satisfy the Kunneth formula for all spaces. In particular, we have the ring isomorphisms

$$(2.14) \quad H^{*,*}((\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_n] \otimes H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

$$(2.15) \quad H^{*,*}((B\mathbb{Z}/p)^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_n] \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

(when  $p = 2$ ,  $x_i^2 = y_i\tau + x_i\rho$ ).

This fact is used to defined the reduced power operation  $P^i$ . Indeed, we have (the Bockstein, the reduced powers) operations

$$(2.16) \quad \beta : H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+1,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

$$P^i : H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+2(p-1)i, *+(p-1)i}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

which commutes with the realization map  $t_{\mathbb{C}}$  when  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover we have the Milnor primitive operation

$$Q_i : H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+2p^i-1, *+p^i-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

such that  $Q_i = [Q_{i-1}, P^{p^{i-1}}]$  modulo  $(\rho)$  (see [Vo3] for details). Note that  $w(P^i) = 0$ ,  $w(Q_i) = -1$ ,  $w(\tau) = 2$ , and  $d(P^i) = i(p-1)$ ,  $d(Q_i) = 2^i$ ,  $d(\tau) = -1$ .

V.Voevodsky and G.Powell [Po] also showed that the mod  $p$  motivic Steenrod algebra  $A_p^{*,*}$  is generated as an  $H^{*,*}(pt, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ -module by the product of  $P^i$  and  $\beta$ , in particular

$$(2.18) \quad A_p^{*,*} \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes RP \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, Q_1, \dots)$$

where  $RP$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -module generated by products of reduced powers.

We can see ([Ho-Kr 2])

$$(2.19) \quad H^{*,*}(BGL_n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_n] \otimes H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z})$$

where the Chern class  $c_i$  with  $\deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$  are identified with the elementary symmetric polynomial in  $H^{*,*}((\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n; \mathbb{Z})$ . So we can define the Chern class  $\rho^*(c_i) \in H^{2*,*}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  for each representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_n$ .

### 3. GENERALIZED MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGIES

From the category  $Spc$ , Morel and Voevodsky constructs ([Vo1,2],[Mo-Vo]) the  $(\mathbb{A}^1, \text{algebraic})$  homotopy category  $Hot$  and stable homotopy category  $SHot$ . There are two different types of spheres in  $Spc$

$$(3.1) \quad S_s^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 / \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_t^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}.$$

The Tate object is  $T = \mathbb{A}^1 / (\mathbb{A}^1 - 0) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \cong S_t^1 \wedge S_s^1$  in  $Hot$ . The category  $SHot$  is defined by the  $T$  as the suspension, e.g.,  $E = \{E_i\}$ ,  $E_i \in Spt$  is a spectrum if there are the maps  $T \wedge E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$ .

Let  $\Sigma_T^\infty$  be the functor from  $Spc$  to  $T$ -spectra by  $X$  to  $\{T^i \wedge X\}$ . If  $E$  is a  $T$ -spectrum, then the motivic (generalized) cohomology  $E^{*,*}(-)$  is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad E^{m,n}(X) = Hom_{SHot}(\Sigma_T^\infty(X), S^{m,n} \wedge E)$$

where  $S^{m,n} = S_s^{m-n} \wedge S_t^n$  and  $Hom_{SHot}(-, -)$  are homomorphisms defined on  $SHot$ .

The spectrum for the ordinary motivic cohomology is defined as following. (See [Vo 1] for details.) Let  $L(X; R)$  for  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  be the presheaf sending a connected  $U$  to the free  $R$ -module generated by the set of all closed irreducible  $W \subset U \times X$  such that the projection  $W \rightarrow U$  are finite and surjective. The Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum is defined as

$$(3.3) \quad K(R(n), 2n) = L(\mathbb{A}^n; R) / L(\mathbb{A}^n - \{0\}; R).$$

We also write the spectrum  $\{K(R(n), 2n)\}$  by  $H_R$ .

Let  $BGL$  denote the infinite Grassmanian, the union over  $N$  of  $GL_N(\infty)$ . The corresponding generalized cohomology theory is the algebraic  $K$ -theory. The motivic cobordism theory  $MGL^{*,*}(-)$  is the generalized cohomology theory defined by the Thom spectrum  $MGL = \{Th(E_n \rightarrow GL_n)\}_n$  with identifying  $Th(E_n \oplus O) \cong T \wedge Th(E_n)$  and  $E_n \oplus O \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  for the  $n$ -dimensional universal bundle  $E_n$  and the trivial line bundle  $O$ .

Recall that  $H^{*,*}(BGL; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[c_1, \dots]$ . It is known that as mod  $p$ -Steenrod algebra  $A_p^{*,*}$ -modules

$$\mathbb{Z}/p[c_1, \dots] \cong RP \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[m_i | i \neq p^j - 1] \quad \text{with } |m_i| = 2i$$

where  $RP$  is the subalgebra of  $A_p^{*,*}$  generated by reduced powers. By Thom isomorphism  $H^{*,*}(MGL; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(BGL; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , we have the mod  $p$ -motivic Steenrod

algebra  $A_p^{*,*}$ -modules isomorphism (see Borghesi [Bo] also)

$$H^{*,*}(MGL; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes RP \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[m_i | i \neq p^j - 1].$$

where  $\deg(m_i) = (2i, i)$ . Moreover we have the isomorphism of the integral coefficient case

$$(3.4) \quad H^{*,*}(MGL; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \tilde{R}P \otimes \mathbb{Z}[m_i | i \neq p^j - 1]$$

where  $\tilde{R}P = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots) | \alpha_i \geq 0\}$  with  $\deg(\alpha) = (\sum_i 2(p^i - 1)\alpha_i, \sum_i (p^i - 1)\alpha_i)$  so that  $\tilde{R}P/p = RP$ .

Let us write by  $AMU$  the spectrum  $MGL_{(p)}$  representing the motivic cobordism theory, i.e.,  $MGL^{*,*}(-)_{(p)} = AMU^{*,*}(-)$ . Given a regular sequence  $S_n = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with  $a_i \in MU_{(p)}^*$ , we can inductively construct the  $AMU$ -module spectrum by

$$(3.5) \quad T^{-1/2|a_i|} \wedge AMU(S_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\times a_i} AMU(S_{i-1}) \rightarrow AMU(S_i).$$

Here we can easily see that  $AMU(S_n)$  is an  $AMU$ -module spectrum, while it seems not easy to see  $AMU$ -ring spectrum. It is also immediate that  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(AMU(S_n)) \cong MU(S_n)$  with  $MU(S_n)^* = MU^*/(Ideal(S_n))$ .

Recall  $MU^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots]$  with  $|x_i| = -2i$  and  $BP^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots]$  with identifying  $v_i = x_{p^i-1}$ . We can construct spectra

$$ABP = AMU(x_i | i \neq p^j - 1), AP(n), Ak(n), AK(n), AH\mathbb{Z}, AH\mathbb{Z}/p$$

so that  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(Ah) \cong h$  for  $h = BP, P(n), \dots$ . Here  $P(n)^* = BP^*/(p, \dots, v_{n-1})$  and  $k(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n] \cong BP^*/(p, \dots, \hat{v}_n, \dots)$ .

For  $i \neq p^j - 1$ , the induced map  $(\times x_i)^* : H^{*,*}(AMU; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*,*}(AMU; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is the map multiplying by  $m_i$ . Indeed  $(x_i)^* = m_i$  in  $H^*(MU; \mathbb{Z})$ . Of course  $w((x_i)^*(y)) = 0$  for  $y \in \tilde{R}P \otimes \mathbb{Z}[m_i]$ . This fact implies  $(x_i)^* = m_i$  also in  $H^{*,*}(AMU; \mathbb{Z})$  since  $w(a) > 0$  if  $0 \neq a \in H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $\{*, *\} \neq \{0, 0\}$ . Thus we know that

$$H^{*,*}(AMU(x_i); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(AMU; \mathbb{Z})/(m_i).$$

Considering this argument for all  $m_i, i \neq p^j - 1$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad H^{*,*}(ABP; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \tilde{R}P.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$H^{*,*}(AP(n); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes RP \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}).$$

*Proof.* By the cofibration

$$(3.7) \quad T^{p^n-1} \wedge AP(n) \xrightarrow{v_n} AP(n) \rightarrow AP(n+1)$$

we have the long exact sequence

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_n} H^{*-2p^n+1, *-p^i+1}(AP(n); \mathbb{Z}/p) \xleftarrow{v_n^*} H^{*,*}(AP(n); \mathbb{Z}/p) \leftarrow H^{*,*}(AP(n+1); \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

By dimensional reason,  $v_n^* \iota = 0$  for  $\iota \in H^{0,0}(AP(n); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ . This induces that  $v_n^*(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in H^{*,*}(AP(n); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  since  $x$  is represented as

$$x = \theta \iota : AP(n) \xrightarrow{\iota} H_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \xrightarrow{\theta} S^{*,*} \wedge H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}$$

for  $\theta \in H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes RP \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$  by inductive assumption. Hence we get

$$H^{*,*}(AP(n+1); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(AP(n); \mathbb{Z}/p)\{1, \delta_n\}.$$

Here we know  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta_n) = Q_n$  in  $H^{*,*}(P(n+1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  ([Sh-Y],[Ya1],[Wu]). Hence

$$\delta_n = Q_n + \sum_{IJ} a_{IJ} P^I Q_J \quad \text{with } |J| > 0, \quad a_{IJ} \in H^{plus,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Thus we can inductively prove the lemma.  $\square$

In particular when  $n = \infty$ , we get

$$H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes RP \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots).$$

By Morel [Mo1], it is known that  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory holds the Hurwitz theorem. This induces the Whitehead theorem, namely, if there is a map of connected spectra

$$f : X \rightarrow Y \quad \text{such that} \quad H^{*,*}(X) \cong H^{*,*}(Y),$$

then  $X$  is equivalent to  $Y$  in  $SHot$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *In the stable homotopy category  $SHot$ , we get  $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \cong AH\mathbb{Z}/p$ , e.g.,  $AH\mathbb{Z}/p^{*,*}(X) \cong H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ .*

*Proof.* The cofiber sequence  $AH\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p} AH\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow AH\mathbb{Z}/p$  induces the long exact sequence

$$\longleftarrow H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{p^*} H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}) \longleftarrow H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Here  $p^* = p$  since the both elements  $p^*(x)$  and  $px$  are represented by the stable map

$$S^{i,j} \wedge AH\mathbb{Z} \wedge S^0 \xrightarrow{x \wedge p} H_{\mathbb{Z}} \wedge S^0 \quad \text{for } x : S^{i,j} \wedge AH\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Hence all elements in  $H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p)$  are  $p^2$ -torsion (no infinitely divisible element). Next consider the exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{p} H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Here  $H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is  $\Lambda(Q_0)$ -free and hence all elements in  $H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p)$  are just  $p$ -torsion. Thus we have

$$(3.8) \quad H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong RP \otimes \Lambda(Q_1, Q_2, \dots) \{Q_0\}.$$

The same fact holds for  $H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}$  and we have the isomorphism

$$(3.9) \quad H^{*,*}(H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}) \cong H^{*,*}(AH\mathbb{Z}/p).$$

By the Whitehead theorem for  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -stable homotopy category, we get the theorem.  $\square$

Direct application of this fact is that from the cofiber sequence

$$T^{p^n-1} \wedge Ak(n) \xrightarrow{v_n} Ak(n) \longrightarrow AH\mathbb{Z}/p = H_{\mathbb{Z}/p}$$

we have the Bockstein spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes k(n)^* \implies Ak(n)^{*,*}(X).$$

More strongly, Hopkins-Morel proves the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.3.** ([Ho-Mo])  $AH\mathbb{Z} \cong H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , namely,  $AH\mathbb{Z}^{*,*}(X) \cong H^{*,*}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ; the motivic cohomology.



**Theorem 3.4.** ([Ho-Mo]) Let  $Ah = AMU(S_n)$  for some regular sequence  $S_n = (a_1, \dots), a_i \in MU^*$ . Then there is the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E(Ah)_2^{(m,n,2n')} = H^{m,n}(X; h^{2n'}) \implies Ah^{m+2n', n+n'}(X)$$

with the differential  $d_{2r+1} : E_{2r+1}^{(m,n,2n')} \rightarrow E_{2r+1}^{(m+2r+1, n+r, 2n'-2r)}$ .

Let  $K^{*,*}(X)$  be the algebraic K-theory. Let  $\tilde{K}(1)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$  (which is the coefficient ring of the algebraic integral Morava K-theory  $\tilde{K}(1)^*(-)$ ).

**Theorem 3.5.** ([Ho-Mo])

$$K^{*,*}(X) \otimes \tilde{K}(1)^* \cong \tilde{K}(1)^* \otimes_{MU_{(p)}^*} MGL^{*,*}(X)_{(p)}.$$

**Corollary 3.6.** If  $X$  is smooth, then  $Ah^{2*+j,*}(X) \cong 0$  for  $j > 0$ , i.e.,  $w(x) \geq 0$  for all nonzero element in  $x \in Ah^{*,*}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H^{2*+j,*}(X) = 0$ , we see  $E_r^{2*+j,*} \cong 0$  for all  $j > 0$ .

Hence  $Ah^{2*+2k+j,*}(X) = 0$ . □

**Corollary 3.7.**  $Ah^{2*,*}(pt) \cong h^{2*}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H^{2*,*}(pt) = H^{0,0}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , each element in  $E_2^{2*,*,2*'} \cong E_2^{0,0,2*'} \cong h^{2*'}$  is permanent cycle in the spectral sequence. □

By dimensional reason of the differential of AHss, we have ;

**Corollary 3.8.** If  $X$  is smooth, then  $AMU(S_n)^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU_{(p)}^*} \mathbb{Z}/p \cong CH^*(X)/p$ . Moreover if  $MU_{(p)}^*/(S_n)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -free, then  $AMU(S_n)^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{MU_{(p)}^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong CH^*(X)$ .

For example, we see

$$Ah^{*,*} \supset h^* \otimes (\oplus_{i=0}^{2p-1} K_i^M(k))$$

where  $K_i^M(k)$  is the Milnor  $K$ -group of degree  $i$  for the field  $k$ . In particular, if  $h^*$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -module and  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , then we have the isomorphism

$$Ah^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{C})) \cong h^*[\tau].$$

Because the spectral sequence collapses, since  $h^{*,*}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ ,  $deg(\tau) = (0, 1)$ .

#### 4. ABP-THEORY AND THE DIFFERENTIALS FOR AHSS.

Hu-Kriz [Hu-Kr2] has also shown that

$$(4.1) \quad MGL^{*,*}(MGL) \cong MGL^{*,*}(BGL) \cong MGL^{*,*}(pt)[c_1, \dots].$$

This means that for AHss  $E(X)_2^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(X, MU^*) \implies MGL^{*,*}(X)$ , we have the isomorphism of spectral sequences

$$(4.2) \quad E(MGL)_r^{*,*,*} \cong E(pt)_r^{*,*,*} \otimes H^*(MU).$$

Moreover the Steenrod algebra of  $MGL$ -theory is generated as an  $MGL^{*,*}(pt)$ -module by the Landweber-Novikov operation  $S_\alpha$  corresponding  $c^\alpha = c_1^{\alpha_1} c_2^{\alpha_2} \dots$  for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ .

Hu-Kriz [Hu-Kr2] and Vezzosi [Ve2] constructed ABP-theory by using the universal  $p$ -typical formal laws. However we will show here that the ABP-theory is also constructed by using the Landweber-Novikov operations. These operations

satisfy the Cartan formula  $S_\alpha(xy) = \Sigma_{\alpha=\beta+\gamma} S_\beta(x)S_\gamma(y)$  for  $x, y \in MGL^{*,*}(X)$ . Define an operation

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_{x_i} = \Sigma_{q \geq 1} (x_i / S_{\Delta_i}(x_i))^{q-1} S_{q\Delta_i}.$$

Note that  $\Delta_{x_i}(x_i) = 1$  if  $i \neq p^j - 1$ . Then we can easily prove that  $\pi_i = 1 - x_i \Delta_{x_i}$  is a multiplicative projection such that  $\pi_i(x_j) = (1 - \delta_{ij})x_j$ . Essentially composing (for details, see p587 in [No])  $\pi_i$  for all  $i \neq p^j - 1$ , we get the multiplicative projection  $\Phi : MGL^{*,*}(-)_{(p)} \rightarrow MGL^{*,*}(-)_{(p)}$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad \Phi(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i & (\text{if } i = p^j - 1 \text{ for some } j) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

Let us write

$$\Phi MGL^{*,*}(X) = \text{Im}(\Phi(MGL^{*,*}(X)_{(p)})) \subset MGL^{*,*}(X)_{(p)}.$$

Since  $H^*(BP; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\Phi MU_p; \mathbb{Z})$ , we know  $H^{*,*}(ABP; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*,*}(\Phi MGL; \mathbb{Z})$  by using  $w(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \tilde{R}P \otimes \mathbb{Z}[m_i]$ . Thus we have the isomorphism of spectra

$$(4.5) \quad ABP \cong \Phi MGL$$

by the Whitehead theorem in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -stable homotopy category.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The theory  $ABP^{*,*}(-)$  is a multiplicative theory such that*

$$ABP^{*,*}(X) \cong MGL^{*,*}(X)_{(p)} \otimes_{MU_{(p)}^*} BP^*.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_{x_i}(a) = (1 - x_i \Delta_{x_i})a = a \bmod(x_i)$ , we see  $\Phi(a) = a \bmod(x_i | i \neq p^j - 1)$  for all  $a \in MGL^{*,*}(X)_{(p)}$ .  $\square$

Since  $\tilde{R}P \cong \{r_\alpha | \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots), \alpha_i \geq 0, \}$ , the Steenrod algebra of  $ABP$ -theory is generated as an  $ABP^{*,*}(pt)$ -module by the Quillen operation  $r_\alpha$  for  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots)$ , i.e.

**Proposition 4.2.** *There is the isomorphism*

$$ABP^{*,*}(ABP) \cong ABP^{*,*}(pt) \otimes \tilde{R}P.$$

*Proof.* This is proved by using AHss

$$E(ABP)_2^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(ABP; BP^*) \implies ABP^{*,*}(ABP).$$

Since  $w(\tilde{R}P) = 0$ , all elements in  $\tilde{R}P$  are permanent cycles. Hence we get the isomorphisms of spectral sequences  $E(ABP)_r^{*,*,*} \cong E(pt)_r^{*,*,*} \otimes \tilde{R}P$ .  $\square$

Next consider the differentials for  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  theory. It is known that the first nonzero differential of AHss converging to  $P(n)^*(-)$  is  $d_{2p^n-1}(x) = v_n \otimes Q_n(x)$ . By the naturality, for  $AP(n)$ -theory, the first nonzero differential

$$v_n^{-1} \otimes d_{2p^n-1} : H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+2p^n-1, *+p^n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is cohomology operation. We still know  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(v_n^{-1} \otimes d_{2p^n-1}(x)) = Q_n(x)$ . From (2.18), the motivic Steenrod algebra  $A_p^{*,*}$  is multiplicatively generated by  $H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p), P^i$  and  $Q_n$ . Hence we have

$$(4.6) \quad d_{2p^n+1}(x) = v_n \otimes (Q_n + a_{IJ} P^I Q_J)(x)$$

where  $P^I \in RP, Q_J \in \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}), |J| \geq 2, a_{IJ} \in H^{plus,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *For all  $IJ$ , elements  $a_{IJ} = 0$  in (4.6) if  $n = 1$  or  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $n = 1$ , the first degree of  $v_1^{-1}d_{2p-1}$  is  $2p-1$  and the weight is  $-1$ , we get

$$v_1^{-1}d_{2p-1} = Q_1 + aQ_0 \quad a \in H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

But  $a \in H^{2p-2, p-1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p) = 0$ . When  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , thinking the realization map  $t_{\mathbb{C}}$ , we have the second assersion.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *In the spectral sequence for  $ABP$ , we have*

$$d_{2p^n-1}(x) = v_n \otimes (Q_n + \sum a_{IJ} P^I Q_J) \quad \text{mod}(M_n)$$

where  $M_n$  is a subalgebra of  $E_{2p^n-1}^{*,*, minus}$  which is the subquotient module of  $(p, \dots, v_{n-1})E_2^{*,*, 0}$ .

*Proof.* Consider the map of spectral sequences

$$E(ABP)_{2p^n-1}^{*,*,*} \rightarrow E(AP(n))_{2p^n-1}^{*,*,*}.$$

Here  $E(AP(n))_{2p^n-1}^{*,*,*} \cong E(AP(n))_2^{*,*,*}$  since the first nonzero differential for  $AP(n)$ -theory is  $d_{2p^n-1}$ . Hence

$$E(ABP)_{2p^n-1}^{*,*,*}/M \rightarrow E(AP(n))_{2p^n-1}^{*,*,*}$$

is injective and we have the corollary.  $\square$

## 5. $(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ -TORSION SPACES

In this section, we consider  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ -torsion spaces and their applications according to V.Voevodsky. Recall that  $BP\langle n \rangle^*(X)$  be the cohomology theory with the coefficient  $BP\langle n \rangle^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  so that  $BP\langle -1 \rangle^*(X) = H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  and  $BP\langle \infty \rangle^*(X) = BP^*(X)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $x = Q_n \dots Q_1 Q_0 x'$  in  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , then  $x \in E(ABP)_{2p^n}^{*,*, 0}$  and  $x$  is  $(2, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ -torsion in  $E(ABP)_{2p^n}^{*,*,*}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* There is the cofiber map of spectra

$$T^{p^k-1} \wedge ABP\langle n \rangle \xrightarrow{v_k} ABP\langle k \rangle \xrightarrow{\rho_k} ABP\langle k-1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\delta_k}$$

Consider the (Sullivan-Bockstein) exact sequece, namely, the long exact sequence induced from the above cofiber map

$$\begin{aligned} ABP\langle k \rangle^{*+2p^k-2, *+p^k-1}(X) &\xrightarrow{v_k} ABP\langle k \rangle^{*,*}(X) \xrightarrow{\rho_k} \\ ABP\langle k-1 \rangle^{*,*}(X) &\xrightarrow{\delta_k} ABP\langle k \rangle^{*+2p^k-1, *+p^k-1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The induced map

$$Im(ABP\langle n-1 \rangle^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)) \rightarrow H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

defined by  $\rho_0 \dots \rho_{n-1}(x) \mapsto \rho_0 \dots \rho_n \delta_n(x)$  represents the operation  $Q_n + \sum a_{IJ} P^I Q_J$  with  $a_{IJ} \in H^{plus,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  and  $J \geq 2$  from the topological case [Sh-Ya].

By the Baas-Sullivan exact sequence, we can see that  $x'' = \delta_n \dots \delta_0(x') \in ABP\langle n+1 \rangle^{*,*}(X)$  is  $(2, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ -torsion since the map  $\delta_i$  is a map of  $AMU$ -module spectra. In particular,  $x = Q_n \dots Q_0(x') = \rho_0 \dots \rho_n(x'')$  is a permanent cycle in the spectral sequence

$$E(ABP\langle n \rangle)_2 = H^{*,*}(X; BP\langle n \rangle^*) \implies ABP\langle n \rangle^{*,*}(X),$$

and  $d_{2p^n-1}(y) = v_n \otimes x$  for  $y = Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0(x')$ . Compare with the spectral sequence

$$E(ABP)_2^{*,*,*} \cong H^{*,*}(X; BP^*) \implies ABP^{*,*}(X).$$

Since  $BP^* \cong BP\langle n \rangle^*$  for  $*$   $> -2p^{n+1} + 1$ , we see  $E(ABP)_2^{*,*,*''} \cong E(ABP\langle n \rangle)_2^{*,*,*''}$  for  $*'' > -2p^{n+1} + 1$ . Hence the map  $i^{*,*,*''} : E(ABP)_r^{*,*,*''} \rightarrow E(BP\langle n \rangle)_r^{*,*,*''}$  is injective for  $r < 2p^{n+1} - 1$  and  $*'' > -2p^{n+1} + 1$ . In particular we see that  $x$  is also  $(2, \dots, v_n)$ -torsion in  $E(ABP)_{2^{n+1}}^{*,*,*}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *If  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \supset \oplus \Lambda(Q_n, \dots, Q_1)G_n$  with  $w(G_n) = n$ , then*

$$grAP(1)^{2*,*}(X) \supset \oplus P(n+1)^* Q_1 \dots Q_n G_n.$$

If  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -free and  $H^{*,*}(X)$  is just  $p$ -torsion, we have more strong results. Moreover the converse also holds.

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $ABP\langle k \rangle^{*,*}(X)$  is  $(p, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ -torsion for all  $k \leq n$ , then  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is a free  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -module. If all nonzero elements in  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z})$  are just  $p$ -torsion then the converse is also holds.*

*Proof.* Consider the Baas-Sullivan exact sequence in the proof of the preceding lemma. Here  $v_k = 0$  and we have the short exact sequence. Letting  $\delta_k \rho_k = Q'_k$ , we can write

$$ABP\langle k-1 \rangle^{*,*}(X) \cong \Lambda(Q'_k) \otimes ABP\langle k \rangle^{*,*}(X).$$

Since  $ABP\langle -1 \rangle^{*,*}(X) \cong H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , we get the result by induction

$$H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \otimes ABP\langle n \rangle^{*,*}(X).$$

Conversely let  $ABP\langle k-1 \rangle^{*,*}(X) \cong \Lambda(Q'_k) \otimes G$ . Recall again  $\rho_k \delta_k G = Q'_k G$  and  $\delta_k|G$  is injective. From the above exact sequence

$$ABP\langle k \rangle^{*,*}(X)/(v_k) = \text{Image}(\delta_k) = Q'_k G.$$

Hence  $ABP\langle k \rangle^{*,*}(X)$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}/p[v_k]$ -module by  $\delta_k G$ . Thus  $ABP\langle k \rangle^{*,*}(X)$  is  $v_k$ -torsion and it is isomorphic to  $Q'_k G$ . By induction, we can prove if  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \otimes G$  then

$$ABP\langle n \rangle^{*,*}(X) \cong Q_n \dots Q_0 G ; \text{ it is } (p, v_1, \dots, v_n) - \text{torsion}.$$

$\square$

Let the Čech complex  $\check{C}(X)$  be the simplicial scheme such that  $\check{C}(X)_n = X^{n+1}$  and the faces and degeneracy maps are given by partial projections and diagonals respectively ([Vo1,2]). One of the important properties of  $\check{C}(X)$  is the following.

**Lemma 5.4.** ([Vo1]) *Let  $X, Y$  be smooth schemes such that  $\text{Hom}(Y, X) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the projection  $\check{C}(X) \times Y \rightarrow Y$  is a simply weak equivalence.*

In the stable  $\mathbb{A}^1$  homotopy category, define  $\tilde{C}(X)$  by the following cofiber sequence

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{C}(X) \rightarrow \check{C}(X) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k).$$

**Lemma 5.5.** ([Vo1]) *Let  $p : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  be the projection and  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(p_*([Y])) = y$  in  $BP^*$ . Let  $Ah = ABP(S_n)$  for some regular sequence  $S_n$  in  $BP^*$ . If  $\text{Hom}(Y, X) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Ah^{*,*}(\tilde{C}(X))$  is  $y$ -torsion.*

*Proof.* For  $z \in Ah^{*,*}(X \wedge Y)$  and  $[Y] \in ABP_*(Y)$ , define the map  $p_{Y*}$  by

$$p_{Y*} : X \wedge T^{|y|} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge [Y]} X \times Y \wedge ABP \xrightarrow{z \wedge 1} Ah \wedge ABP \xrightarrow{\mu} Ah.$$

Then the composition of maps

$$p_{Y*} p^* : Ah^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow Ah^{*,*}(X \times Y) \rightarrow Ah^{*+|y|, *+1/2|y|}(X)$$

induces  $p_{Y*}p^*(x) = yx$ . But  $Ah^*(\tilde{C}(X) \times Y) \cong 0$  since  $Ah^{*,*}(\tilde{C}(X) \times Y) \cong Ah^{*,*}(Y)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *Suppose that there are maps  $V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_n$  such that  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(p_*[V_i]) = v_i$  for all  $i \leq n$ . Then  $H^{*,*}(\tilde{C}(V_n); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is a free  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -module.*

V.Voevodsky applied above corollary by the following situation. Suppose that  $p = 2$ . For  $a = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $a_i \in k^*$  and  $0 \neq a \in K_{n+1}^M(k)$ , let  $Q_a$  be the norm quadric, namely the projective quadric of dimension  $2^n - 1$  defined by the form

$$(5.2) \quad q_a = \langle\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle\rangle - \langle a_n \rangle.$$

Here  $\langle\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, -a_0 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, -a_{n-1} \rangle$  is the  $n+1$ -fold Pfister form. Let us write  $\tilde{C}(Q_a) = \chi_a$  and  $\tilde{C}(Q_a) = \tilde{\chi}_a$ . Note that  $H^{*,*'}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^{*,*'}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  when  $* \geq *'$ . (Moreover  $H^{*,*'}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong 0$  for  $* \leq *'$  by the solution of this Milnor conjecture.)

It is known ([Vo1], Rost[Ro]) that

$$(5.3) \quad t_{\mathbb{C}}(p_*([Q_a]) = v_n, \quad H^{2^{n+1}-1, 2^n}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0.$$

Since  $H^{n+2, n+1}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cap Im(Q_i) = 0$  by inductive assumption for dimensional reason, we know that the map

$$Q_1 \dots Q_{n-1} : H^{n+2, n+1}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^{2^{n+1}-1, 2^n}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is injective by the  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -freeness. Note also  $H^{*,*'}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^{*,*}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for these degree  $(*, *')$ . Hence from (5.3), we see  $H^{n+2, n+1}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$  because we already knew that all elements in  $H^{*,*}(\chi_a)_{(2)}$  are just 2-torsion. This is one of the key lemma for the proof of Milnor conjecture by V.Voevodsky.

In the category of Chow motives, Rost [Ro] constructed a direct summand  $M_a$  of  $Q_a$  such that if  $q_a$  has a  $K$ -rational point for an extension  $K$  of  $k$ , then

$$(5.4) \quad (M_a)_K = T_K^0 \oplus T_K^{\otimes(2^n-1)}$$

where  $T_K = T \otimes_k Spec(K)$  is the Tate motive for  $K$ . If  $q_a$  has no rational point, then the Chow group is

$$(5.5) \quad CH^m(M_a) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } m = 2^n - 2^k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

V.Voevodsky proved that in the category  $DM_-^{eff}$  of geometric motives ([Vo1] for details), there exists the distinguished triangle

$$M(\chi_a)(2^n - 1)[2^{n+1} - 2] \rightarrow M_a \rightarrow M(\chi_a) \rightarrow M(\chi_a)(2^n - 1)[2^{n+1} - 1]$$

where  $M(\chi_a)$  is the motive of  $\chi_a$  and  $(*)'[*]$  the operator of changing degree, namely, there is the long exact sequence

$$(5.6) \quad \leftarrow H^{*-2^{n+1}+2, *-2^{n+1}}(M(\chi_a); \mathbb{Z}/2) \leftarrow H^{*,*}(M_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \leftarrow H^{*,*}(M(\chi_a); \mathbb{Z}/2) \xleftarrow{\delta_a} H^{*-2^{n+1}+1, *-2^{n+1}}(M(\chi_a); \mathbb{Z}/2) \leftarrow \dots$$

Denote by  $k(Q_a)$  the function field of  $Q_a$  and by  $(Q_a)_0$  the set of closed points of  $Q_a$ . The main theorem of the paper [Or, Vi, Vo] by Orlov, Vishik and Voevodsky is the following.

**Theorem 5.7.** (*[Or, Vi, Vo]*) *F any  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$  in  $k^*$ , the following sequence is exact*

$$\coprod_{x \in (Q_a)_0} K_*^M(k(x))/2 \xrightarrow{Tr_{k(x)/k}} K_*^M(k)/2 \xrightarrow{a} K_{*+n+1}^M(k)/2 \rightarrow K_{*+n+1}^M(k(Q_a))/2.$$

The outline of the proof by Orlov, Vishik and Voevodsky is as follows. We first see the two exact sequences

$$(5.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^{*+1,*}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K_{*+1}^M(k)/2 \rightarrow K_{*+1}^M(k(Q_a))/2.$$

$$(5.8) \quad \coprod_{x \in (Q_a)_0} K_*^M(k(x))/2 \xrightarrow{Tr_{k(x)/k}} K_*^M(k)/2 \xrightarrow{\delta_a} H^{*+2^{n+1}-1, *+2^n-1}(\chi; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Next step is to prove that the map

$$(5.9) \quad K_*^M(k)/2 \xrightarrow{\delta_a} H^{*+2^{n+1}-1, *+2^n-1}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{(Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0)^{-1}} H^{*+n+1, *+n}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K_{*+n+1}^M(k)/2$$

is the multiplication with  $a$ . To see the existence of  $(Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0)^{-1}$ , Corollary 5.6 is used. Here we note the case  $*$  = 0.

$$\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong K_0(k)/2 \rightarrow H^{2^{n+1}-1, 2^n-1}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{(Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0)^{-1}} H^{n+1, n}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K_{n+1}^M(k)/2.$$

The image of the maps in the righthand side term is generated by  $a$  from (5.7), since  $Q_a$  is a splitting variety of  $a$ . Above maps are all  $K_*^M(k)$ -module maps and we get the results.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $0 \neq a = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in K_{n+1}^M(k)$ . Then there is a  $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ -modules isomorphism*

$$H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong K_*^M(k)/(Ker(a)) \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[\delta_a^2] \{a\tau^{-1}\}$$

where  $deg(\delta_a^2) = (2^{n+2} - 2, 2^{n+1} - 2)$ ,  $deg(a\tau^{-1}) = (n+1, n)$ .

*Proof.* Recall the difference  $d(x) = first.deg(x) - second.deg(x)$ . Hence if  $0 \neq x \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , then  $d(x) > 0$ . Since  $dim(Q_a) = 2^n - 1$ , we also know if  $0 \neq x \in H^{*,*}(M_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , then  $d(x) \leq 2^n - 1$ . From the exact sequence (5.6), if  $0 \neq x \in H^{*,*}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $d(x) \geq 2^n$ , then the map  $\delta_a$  is an epimorphism, namely, there is an element  $\delta_a(x)^{-1} \in H^{*,*}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

Let us write  $\Lambda = \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$  simply. We prove the theorem by induction on  $d(t)$  for a  $\Lambda$ -module generator  $t$  in  $H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . From (5.9) we already know that

$$K_*^M(k)/2 \xrightarrow{\delta_a} H^{*+2^{n+1}-1, *+2^n-1}(\chi; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{(Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0)^{-1}} H^{*+n+1, *+n}(\chi_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is an epimorphism, indeed, the map  $\delta_a$  is epic from (5.6) and the map  $Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0$  is isomorphic since  $H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is  $\Lambda$ -free. The composition of the above map with  $H^{*+n+1, *+n}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K_{*+n+1}^M(k)/2$  is multiplying  $a$  from (5.9). Since the last map is monic from (5.7), we see that

$$H^{*+n+1, *+n}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong K_*^M(k)/(Ker(a)) \{a\} \subset K_{*+n+1}^M(k).$$

Hence we get the case  $d(t) = 1$ .

Suppose that the isomorphism in the theorem holds for degree  $d(x) < d$ . Let  $t \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  be a smallest weight element such that it is a  $\Lambda$ -module generator with  $d(t) = d$ . Then we see

$$d(Q_0 \dots Q_{n-1} t) = 2^0 + \dots + 2^{n-1} + d = 2^n - 1 + d \geq 2^n$$

Hence there is an element  $y = \delta_a^{-1}(Q_{n-1} \dots Q_0 t)$ . Since  $d(\delta_a) = 2^n$ , we have

$$d(y) = 2^n - 1 + d - 2^n = d - 1 > 0.$$

This means  $y \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

Then on  $H^{deg(y)}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ ,  $\delta_a$  is epic but  $Q_n$  is not epic since  $Q_n(\delta_a y) = Q_0 \dots Q_n t \neq 0$ . Hence there is a nonzero element  $y' \in H^{deg(y)}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $Q_n y' = 0$ . By the  $\Lambda$ -freeness, there exists  $z \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $Q_n(z) = y'$ .

Next consider the difference of  $t$

$$\begin{aligned} d(t) &= d(Q_0 \dots Q_{n-1} t) - d(Q_0 \dots Q_{n-1}) = d(\delta_a Q_n z) - d(Q_0 \dots Q_{n-1}) \\ &= d(z) + 2^{n+1} - (2^n - 1) = d(y') + 2^n + 1 > 2^n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

since  $0 \neq y' \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $d(z) > 0$ . Thus we can also define  $\delta_a^{-1}(t) \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

Moreover  $Q_i(t) \neq 0$  implies  $0 \neq \delta_a^{-1}(Q_i(t))$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since  $Q_n(t) \neq 0$ , we can also prove that there is the element  $0 \neq u \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $deg(Q_n(u)) = deg(\delta_a^{-1}(t))$ .

By induction, each nonzero element  $x \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  with  $d(x) < d$  is represented as  $x = b Q_{i_1} \dots Q_{i_s} \delta_a^{2^\ell} \tau^{-1} a$  for  $b \in K_M^*(k)$ . Hence its difference degree is

$$d(x) = 2^{i_1} + \dots + 2^{i_s} + 2^{n+1} \ell + 1.$$

This implies that for each  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , if there is nonzero elements  $u', t_i \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $d(t_i) = d(Q_n u') + 2^i$ , then  $u'$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module generator. Thus we know  $u$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module generator.

Suppose that  $u$  is not a  $K_*^M(k)/2$ -module generator. Then there is a nonzero element  $u' \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  with  $w(u') < w(u)$ . Then  $\delta_a^2 u'$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module generator of  $w(\delta_a^2 u') < w(t)$ . This contradicts to the assumption of  $t$ . Hence  $u$  is also a  $K_*^M(k)/2$ -module generator. By inductive assumption, we know  $H^{deg(u)}(\tilde{\chi}_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Thus we know  $\delta_a^2(u) = t$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.** *There is a  $K_*^M(k)$ -module isomorphism for  $first.deg > second.deg$  and  $0 < second.deg < 2^n - 1 = \dim(Q_a)$ ,*

$$H^{*,*}(M_a; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong K_*^M(k)/(2, Ker(a)) \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})\{a\tau^{-1}\}.$$

**Remark** The formula (5.5) is the immediate consequence of the above theorem, while (5.3) has been used to prove the theorem. Moreover Rost proved in [Ro] (Theorem 6 in [Ro])

$$H^{2s-1,s}(M_a, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} (1) \ \mathbb{Z}/2 \text{ or } 0 & \text{if } s = 2^n - 2^k - 2^\ell + 1 \\ (2) \ \text{a subgroup of } k^* & \text{if } s = 2^n - 1 \\ (3) \ \text{a quotient of } CH^{s-1}(M_a) \otimes k^* & \text{for other } s. \end{cases}$$

Hence Theorem 5.5 extends the cases (1) and (3), however (2) is used to prove (5.3).

## 6. REAL FIELD CASE

In this section, we consider the case  $p = 2$  and  $k = \mathbb{R}$  : the field of real numbers. We first recall the Milnor K-theory

$$K_*^M(\mathbb{R})/2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho] \quad \text{with } \rho = -1 \in \mathbb{R}^*/(\mathbb{R}^*)^2 = K_1^M(\mathbb{R})/2.$$

Hence the mod 2 motivic cohomology is

$$H^{*,*} = H^{*,*}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho, \tau] \quad \text{with } \deg(\rho) = (1, 1), \deg(\tau) = (0, 1).$$

We want to study  $Q_n$ -action on  $H^{*,*}$ . The problem is that  $Q_i$  is not a derivation.

**Lemma 6.1.** (Voevodsky [Vo3] Prop.13.4) *Let  $\psi$  be the coproduct for the mod 2 motivic Steenrod algebra  $A_2^{*,*}$ . Then*

$$\psi(Q_n) = Q_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_n + \rho Q_{n-1} \otimes Q_{n-1} \quad \text{mod}(A_n)$$

where  $A_n = \{\sum \rho a Q_i \otimes b Q_j | a, b \in A_2^{*,*}, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \text{ but } (i, j) \neq (n-1, n-1)\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_{2,*,*}$  be the dual of  $A_2^{*,*}$ . Then by Voevodsky (Theorem 12.6), there is the additive isomorphism  $A_{2,*,*} \cong H^{*,*} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[\xi_i] \otimes \Lambda(\tau_i)$  with the multiplication

$$\tau_i^2 = \tau \xi_{i+1} + \rho \tau_{i+1} + \rho \tau_0 \xi_{i+1}.$$

Here  $\tau_i$  is the dual of  $Q_i$ . The lemma follows this equation.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $t_k = \tau^{2^k}$  and let  $grH^{*,*} = \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho] \otimes \Lambda(t_0, t_1, \dots)$ . Then  $Q_n$  acts on  $H^{*,*}$  as a derivation on  $grH^{*,*}$  with  $Q_n(\rho) = 0$ ,  $Q_n(t_n) = \rho^{2^{n+1}-1}$ ,  $Q_n(t_j) = 0$  for  $n \neq j$ , namely,  $Q_n(\Pi_{i \neq j} t_i^{e_i} \rho^k) = (\Pi_{i \neq j} t_i^{e_i})(e_n \rho^{2^{n+1}-1}) \rho^k$ .*

*Proof.* By dimensional reason,  $Q_n(\rho^k) = 0$  and  $Q_n(\Pi_{i < n} t_i^{e_i}) = 0$ . By the definition of  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ , we see  $Q_0(\tau) = \rho$ . Since  $Q_0$  is derivation, we have  $Q_0(\tau^{2^m}) = 0$  for  $m \geq 1$ . By induction we assume that

$$Q_j(t_m) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq m < n, \quad \text{and } Q_m(t_m) = \rho^{2^{m+1}-1} \text{ for } m < n.$$

In  $H^{*,*}$ , we get from the preceeding lemma

$$Q_n(t_n) = Q_n(t_{n-1}^2) = \rho Q_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) Q_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) + \sum \rho a_i Q_i(t_{n-1}) b_i Q_j(t_{n-1}).$$

By inductive assumption, we see  $Q_i(t_{n-1}) = 0$  or  $Q_j(t_{n-1}) = 0$ . Hence we see

$$Q_n(t_n) = \rho Q_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) Q_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) = \rho^{2^{n+1}-1}$$

by also inductive assumption. By the similar arguments we also see  $Q_n(t_j) = 0$  for  $j > n$ . From these we can get the last statement in this lemma by the similar arguments.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *The reduced powers  $Sq^{2^n}$  acts on  $H^{*,*}$  as a derivation on  $grH^{*,*}$  with  $Sq^{2^n}(\rho) = 0$ ,  $Sq^{2^n}(t_n) = t_{n-1} \rho^{2^n}$ ,  $Sq^{2^n}(t_j) = 0$  for  $n \neq j$ .*

*Proof.* It is known from ([Vo3], Lemma 9.8),

$$Sq^{2^i}(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } i > d(x) \text{ and } i \geq \text{second.deg}(x).$$

Hence  $Sq^{2^n}(t_j) = 0$  for  $n > j$ .

Voevodsky ([Vo3] Lemma 9.6) also proves the Cartan formula for motivic cohomology operations

$$Sq^{2^i}(xy) = \sum_r Sq^{2^r}(x) Sq^{2^i-2^r}(y) + \tau Sq^1 Sq^{2^s}(x) Sq^1 Sq^{2^i-2-2^s}(y).$$

When  $n < j$ , we have

$$Sq^{2^{n+1}}(t_{j+1}) = (Sq^{2^n}(t_j))^2 + \tau (Sq^1 Sq^{2^n-2}(t_j))^2$$

since  $Sq^m$  is a sum of products of  $Sq^{2^i}$ , we know  $Sq^n(t_j) = 0$  by induction.



When  $n = j$ , we also have the result by induction and

$$Sq^2(\tau^2) = 2Sq^2(\tau)\tau + \tau Sq^1(\tau)Sq^1(\tau) = \tau\rho^2.$$

□

**Theorem 6.4.** *We have the isomorphism with  $\deg(t_0) = (0, 1)$ ,  $\deg(t_{n+1}) = (0, 2^{n+1})$*

$$grAk(n)^*(Spec(\mathbb{R})) \cong k(n)^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[t_0, t_{n+1}, \rho]/(t_0^{2^n}, v_n \rho^{2^{n+1}-1})$$

*Proof.* From (4.6) the first nonzero differential is

$$d_{2^{n+1}-1}(x) = v_n \otimes (Q_n + \sum a_{IJ} P^I Q_J)(x).$$

By the dimensional reason, the operation  $P^I, Q_J$  are sums of products of  $Sq^{2^i}, Q_{i'}$  for  $i, i' < n$  respectively.

Let  $x = t't''$  with  $t' \in \Lambda(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1})$  and  $t'' \in \Lambda(t_n, t_{n+1}, \dots)$ . By the above lemmas and the Cartan formula, we have  $P^I Q_J(t't'') = (P^I Q_J t')t''$ . The difference is

$$d(P^I Q_J t') = d(Q_n) - d(a_{IJ}) + d(t') > 2^n - d(a_{IJ}) - 2^n > 0$$

since  $d(a_{IJ}) < 0$  and  $d(t') > -2^n$ . But if  $0 \neq y \in H^{*,*}$  then  $d(y) \leq 0$ . Hence  $P^I Q_J t' = 0$  and so  $P^I Q_J x = 0$ . Thus we get

$$d_{2^{n+1}-1}(x) = v_n \otimes Q_n(x).$$

The fact that  $Q_n t_n = \rho^{2^{n+1}-1}$  implies that  $E_{2^{n+1}}^{*,*}$  is isomorphic to the righthand module in the theorem. Since  $E_r^{*,*, minus} \cong 0$  if  $* \geq 2^{n+1} - 1$ , we see that  $d_r = 0$  for all  $r \geq 2^{n+1}$ . Thus  $E_{2^{n+1}}^{*,*}$  is isomorphic to the infinitive term of AHss. □

**Remark.** Let  $K_*(k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  be the algebraic mod 2  $K$ -group of the field  $k$ . Then it is known

$$K_*(k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong K(1)_*(Spec(k))/(v_1 = 1).$$

Hence we have

$$grK_*(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho, t_0, t_2]/(t_0^2, \rho^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[t_2]\{1, \rho, (\rho^2, t_0), t_0\rho, t_0\rho^2, 0, 0, 0\}.$$

Here the degree  $n$  of the algebraic  $K$ -group  $K_n(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is given by the weight of the motivic  $K$ -theory, namely,  $w(t_2) = 8, w(\rho) = 1, w(t_0) = 2$ . This is the famous result of Suslin [Su1] for the mod 2 algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

P.Hu and I.Kriz computed the coefficient of the Real cobordism theory and Real Morava  $K$ -theories. By conjugation,  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acts on  $BU(n)$  and on the Thom space  $Th(BU(n))$  of the universal complex  $n$ -bundle. Then we can define the suspension map

$$S^{\mathbb{C}}Th(BU(n)) \rightarrow Th(BU(n+1)).$$

Here  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariantly, we identify  $\mathbb{C} = (1, 1) + (1, 0)$  where  $(1, 0)$  is the trivial one-dimensional representation of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , and  $(1, 1)$  is the sign representation. Then we can define (for details see Greenlees [Gre] or [Hu-Kr1]) a spectrum  $M\mathbb{R}$  and the generalized cohomology theory  $M\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(X)$  for  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant spaces  $X$ . When  $k \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we can define a Real realization map  $t_{\mathbb{R}}$  (see also [Vo1]),

$$t_{\mathbb{R}} : MGL^{*,*}(X) \rightarrow M\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(t_{\mathbb{R}}(X))$$

where  $t_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  is the space  $X(\mathbb{C})$  with Galois action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \cong Gal(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ .

Similarly, the Real  $BP$ -theory  $BP\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(-)$  and the Real Morava  $K$ -theory  $K(n)\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(-)$  are constructed.

By Greenlees and Hu-Kriz, the coefficient of the Real ordinaly cohomology  $H\mathbb{R}$  is

$$H\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt.; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho, \tau, \tau^{-1}] \cong H^{*,*}[\tau^{-1}].$$

Moreover from Theorem 6.40 in [Hu-Kr1] and Theorem 12.6 in [Vo3] we see that the dual of the Real Steenrod algebra is

$$A\mathbb{R}_{*,*} \cong A_{2,*,*}(\mathbb{R})[\tau^{-1}, (\tau_0\rho + \tau)^{-1}] \cong A_{2,*,*}(\mathbb{R})[\tau^{-1}]_{(\tau_0\rho/\tau)}^\wedge.$$

Hu and Kriz ([Hu-Kr2]) have shown

$$grK(n)\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt.) \cong K(n)^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[t_0, t_{n+1}, t_{n+1}^{-1}, \rho]/(t_0^{2^n}, \rho^{2^{n+1}-1})$$

Hence we get

**Corollary 6.5.**  $AK(n)^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{R}))[t_{n+1}^{-1}] \cong K(n)\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt)$

Moreover Hu and Kriz have shown ([Hu-Kr1] Theorem 4.11)

$$BP\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt) \cong B(\rho).C(\tau) \subset B(\rho) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[t_2, t_2^{-1}]$$

$$\text{where } B(\rho) = BP^*[\rho]/(2, v_n\rho^{2^{n+1}-1} | n \geq 1), \quad C(\tau) = \mathbb{Z}/2\{v_n t_2^{2^{n-1}\ell} | \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**Theorem 6.6.** *There are isomophisms*

$$\begin{aligned} gr(ABP/2)^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{R})) &\cong (BP\mathbb{R}^{*,*}(pt) \cap B(\rho) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[t_2]) \otimes \Lambda(t_0) \\ &\cong (B(\rho).\mathbb{Z}/2\{1, v_n t_2^{2^{n-1}\ell} | \ell \geq 1\}) \otimes \Lambda(t_0) \subset (B(\rho) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[t_2]) \otimes \Lambda(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Consider AHss

$$E(ABP/2)_2^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(pt; BP^*/2) \implies (ABP/2)^{*,*}(pt).$$

At first, we see  $d_3(at_1) = a\rho^3$  for  $a = \prod_{i \neq 1} t_i^{e_i} \rho^k$ . Hence we have

$$E_4 \cong BP^* \otimes \Lambda(t_0, t_2, \dots) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho]/(v_1\rho^3).$$

Here note that  $v_1 E_4^{*,*,*} = 0$  for  $* \geq 3$ . Let  $A_1 = 0$ .

By induction, we assume

$$(**) \quad E_{2^{n+1}}^{*,*,*} = A_n \oplus BP^*/2 \otimes \Lambda(t_0, t_{n+1}, \dots) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho]/(v_1\rho^3, \dots, v_n\rho^{2^{n+1}-1})$$

where  $A_n$  is an  $BP^*/2$ -module with generators in  $E_{2^{n+1}}^{*,*,*}, minus$ ,  $0 \leq * < 2^{n+1} - 1$ . If  $2^{n+1} \leq r < 2^{n+2} - 1$ , then  $d_r = 0$  because  $d_r(x) = v_1^{a_1} \dots v_n^{a_n} c$  for  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  but by dimensional reason, this is zero. Hence the next nonzero differential is

$$d_{2^{n+2}-1}(x) = v_{n+1}Q_{n+1}(x) \text{ mod}(2, \dots, v_n) \quad \text{for } x \in E_{2^{n+2}-1}^{*,*,*,0}$$

since  $a_{IJ}P^I Q_J(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \Lambda(t_0, t_{n+1}, \dots)$  from proceeding lemmas. In particular

$$d_{2^{n+2}-1}(at_{n+1}) = v_{n+1}\rho^{2^{n+2}-1}a \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z}/2[\rho] \otimes \Lambda(t_0, t_{n+2}, \dots).$$

Moreover  $d_{2^{n+2}-1}(a) = 0$  for  $a \in A_n$  by the following reason. The map  $i : ABP/2 \rightarrow P(n+2)$  of spectra induces the map of the spectral sequences

$$i^* : E(ABP/2)_{2^{n+2}-1}^{*,*,*,*} \rightarrow E(P(n+2))_{2^{n+2}-1}^{*,*,*,*} = E(P(n+2))_2^{*,*,*,*},$$

which is injective for  $* > -2^{n+1} + 1$ . Since  $i^*(a) = 0$ , we have  $d_{2^{n+2}-1}(a) = 0$ . Thus we can prove the  $(n+1)$ -version of (\*\*).

Moreover we know that

$$A_{n+1} \cong A_n \oplus BP^*[\rho]/(v_1\rho^3, \dots, v_n\rho^{2^{n+1}-1}).B_{n+1}$$

where  $B_{n+1} = \mathbb{Z}/2\{v_1 t_{n+1}, \dots, v_n t_{n+1}\} \otimes \Lambda(t_{n+2}, \dots)$

because  $d_{2^{n+2}-1}(v_i t_{n+1}) = 0$  for all  $i < n+1$ . Thus we know

$$A_\infty \cong \{v_n x | x \in \Lambda(t_{n+1}, \dots), n \geq 1\} \cdot BP^*[\rho]/(v_1 \rho^3, \dots, v_n \rho^{2^{n+1}-1}, \dots).$$

Since  $v_n t_{n+1} = v_n t_2^{2^{n-1}}$ , we have the expression of the theorem.  $\square$

## 7. COMPLEX CASE

Throughtout this section, we assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . At first we recall that  $H^{*,*}(Spec(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$  and  $Ah^{*,*}(pt) \cong h^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$  when  $h^*$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -module, namely, the mod  $p$ -theory.

**Proposition 7.1.** (*Thomason's type therorem*) *Let  $h^*(-)$  be a mod  $p$ -theory. There is an isomorphism*

$$[\tau^{-1}]Ah^{*,*}(X) \cong h^*(X(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau, \tau^{-1}]$$

where bidegree of  $x \in h^m(X(\mathbb{C}))$  is given by  $(m, m)$ .

*Proof.* Consider AHss localized by  $[\tau^{-1}]$

$$[\tau^{-1}]E_2^{*,*,*}(X) \cong [\tau^{-1}]H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes h^* \implies [\tau^{-1}]Ah^{*,*}(X).$$

Recall the Suslin's theorem [Su2] such that

$$H^{m,n}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H_{et}^m(X, \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \quad \text{for } n \geq \dim(X)$$

for an algebraic closed field  $k$ . Hence  $H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^m(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p)$  for  $n \geq \dim(X)$ . (If  $B(n, p)$ -condition holds, the isomorphism for  $n \geq m$ .) In any case we have  $[\tau^{-1}]H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau, \tau^{-1}] \otimes H^*(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Therefore we have the isomorphism of spectral sequences

$$[\tau^{-1}]E_2^{*,*,*}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau, \tau^{-1}] \otimes E_2^{*,*}(X(\mathbb{C})).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $h^*(-)$  be mod  $p$ -theory and  $\dim(X) = d$ . If  $n > d + m/2$ , then  $h^{m,n}(X) \cong h^m(X(\mathbb{C}))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Suppose  $n > d + m/2$ . Then  $w(x) > 2d$  so  $w(d_r(x)) \geq 2d$  for the differential  $d_r$  of AHss. If  $2n' - m' \geq 2d$ , then  $n' \geq d$  and  $H^{m',n'}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong H^{m'}(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Thus we know the lemma.  $\square$

We want show the Conner-Floyd type theorem for  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  and  $AK(n)^{*,*}(-)$ .

**Lemma 7.3.**

$$AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n)) \cong P(n)^*(P(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau] \cong AP(n)^{*,*} \otimes RP \otimes \Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}).$$

*Proof.* (Compare [Ya1],[Wu]) For the cofiber sequence (3.7), we get the long exact sequence

$$\xleftarrow{\delta_k} AP(n)^{* - 2p^n + 1, * - p^n + 1}(AP(n)) \xleftarrow{v_n^*} AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n)) \longleftarrow AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n+1)).$$

By induction, we assume the isomorphism in the lemma for  $n$ . Let  $\iota \in AP(k)^{0,0}(AP(k))$  represents the identity map of  $AP(n)$ . Then  $v_n^* \iota = v_n \iota$ . Let  $x = aP^I Q_J \iota \in AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(k))$  for  $a = \tau^s$ . Then we see

$$v_n^* P^I Q_J(\iota) = P^I Q_J(v_n \iota) = v_n(P^I Q_J \iota) \mod(p, \dots, v_{n-1}).$$

The last equation is shown by the Cartan formula in  $P(n)^*(-)$  and  $Q_j(v_n) = 0$  and  $P^I(v_n) \in \text{Ideal}(p, \dots, v_{n-1})$ . Thus we get  $v_n^*x = v_nx$ .

Hence we have  $AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n+1)) \cong AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n))/(v_n)$ .

Next we consider the Sullivan exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} AP(n)^{*,*+2p^n-1}(AP(n+1)) &\xrightarrow{v_n} AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n)) \\ &\longrightarrow AP(n+1)^{*,*}(AP(n+1)) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_n = 0$ , we get the isomorphism

$$AP(n+1)^{*,*}(AP(n+1)) \cong AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n))/(v_n) \otimes \Lambda(Q'_n).$$

By induction, we get the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark** From the above lemma, note that  $AP(n)^{*,*}(X)$  theory is a  $P(n)^*$ -module. In general, we do not prove that  $Ah^{*,*}(-)$  is a  $h^*$ -module, while it is a  $MU^*$ -module.

Similarly, we get

$$AP^{*,*}(AP(n)^{\wedge s}) \cong P(n)^*(P(n)^{\wedge s}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau] \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$

Notice that the realization map  $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{m,m'}$  is injective for each  $m, m'$ . (Injective for homogeneous elements.)

**Theorem 7.4.** *The theory  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  has the natural product  $\mu$  which is associative and commutative for odd prime  $p$ . (When  $p = 2$ ,  $\mu - \mu t = v_n Q_{n-1} Q_{n-1} \tau$  for the twisted map  $t$ .) Moreover  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  is a  $BP^*(BP)$ -module and  $Q_i, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$  generates the exterior algebra.*

*Proof.* This is an analogue of arguments of Würgler [Wu]. We will prove the commutativity. We get the product map

$$\mu : AP(n) \wedge AP(n) \rightarrow AP(n)$$

as an element representing  $1 \in AP(n)^{0,0}(AP(n) \wedge AP(n))$ . For  $p : \text{odd}$ , we still know  $P(n)^*(-)$  is commutative. Hence  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu - \mu t) = 0$ . This shows  $\mu - \mu t = 0$  also in  $AP(n)^{*,*}(-)$  theory by the injectivity of  $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0}$ . Since  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(\mu - \mu t) = v_n Q_{n-1} \otimes Q_{n-1}$  for  $p = 2$ , we get the results for  $p = 2$ . The other properties also hold since so does in  $P(n)^*(-)$  theory and the injectivity of  $t_{\mathbb{C}}^{m,m'}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.5.** *Let  $AK(n)^{*,*}(-) = [v_n^{-1}]Ak(n)^{*,*}(-)$  be the motivic Morava  $K$ -theory. Then we get the isomorphism*

$$AK(n)^{*,*}(X) \cong K(n)^* \otimes_{BP^*} AP(n)^{*,*}(X).$$

*Proof.* Since  $AP(n)^{*,*}(AP(n))$  is a  $BP^*(BP)$ -module, so is the AHss  $E_r^{*,*,*}$  converging  $AP(n)^{*,*}(X)$ . From the exact functor theorem, we know

$$E_r^{*,*,*} \mapsto E_r^{*,*,*} \otimes_{P(n)^*} K(n)^*.$$

is the exact functor. Hence

$$H(E_r^{*,*,*}, d_r) \otimes_{P(n)^*} K(n)^* \cong H(E_r^{*,*,*} \otimes_{P(n)^*} K(n)^*, d_r \otimes 1)$$

Of course the lefthand side is  $E_{r+1}^{*,*,*} \otimes_{P(n)^*} K(n)^*$ . By induction, we can show that the righthand side is isomorphic to the  $r+1$ -th term of AHss converging to  $AK(n)^*(X)$  since

$$E_2^{*,*,*} \otimes_{P(n)^*} K(n)^* \cong H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes K(n)^*$$

which is the  $E_2$ -term of AHss converging to  $AK(n)^{*,*}(X)$ .  $\square$

Here we give a good example ; the case  $X = B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^m$ . We still know that the fibering given in §2 (2.12) implies the isomorphisms

$$AP(1)^*(X) \cong BP^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau] \cong BP^*[y_1, \dots, y_n]/([p](y_1), \dots, [p](y_n)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau].$$

On the otherhand, there is a decomposition [Ya4]

$$H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \oplus \Lambda(Q_1, \dots, Q_n) G_n.$$

with  $w(G_n) = n$ . The differntial of spectral sequence for  $P(1) = BP/p$ -theory are just  $d_{2p^i-1}(x) = v_i \otimes Q_i(x)$  and we see that  $grP(1)^*(X) \cong \oplus P(n+1)^* Q_0 \dots Q_n G_n$ . Hence we get  $E(AP(1))_2^{*,*} \cong E(P(1))_2^{*,*} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$  from the naturality of the realization map  $t_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Thus we get also  $AP(1)^{*,*}(X) \cong BP^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$ .

We aslo have the fiollowing lemma.

**Lemma 7.6.** *If  $\sum v_n y_n = 0 \in ABP^*(X)$ , then there is  $x \in H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  such that  $Q_n(x) = \rho(y_n)$  where  $\rho : ABP \rightarrow AH\mathbb{Z}/p$  is the natural (Thom) map.*

*Proof.* It is just the motivic version of the arguments of Tamanoi [Ta]. (See [Ta] for details.)  $\square$

## 8. ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM

By extending Quillen's [Qu] arguments, Levine and Morel defined the algebraic cobordism theory  $\Omega^*(-)$  as the universal theory in theories having transfers and Chern classes [Le-Mo 1,2] ( We say that  $h^*(X)$  is a theory having transfers and Chern classes if this theory satisfies the actions A1 to A4 in [Le-Mo1]). We note that  $\Omega^*(-)$  is not a cohomology theory. The ring  $\Omega^*(X)$  is constructed as

$$\Omega^*(X) = \{[f : M \rightarrow X]\} / (\text{relations}).$$

Here  $f$  is a map from a smooth variety  $M$  to  $X$  of pure codimension, namely,  $\dim_{f(y)}(X) - \dim_y(M)$  is constant for all  $y$  in the same connected component of  $M$ . Relations are given so that we can define Chern classes or formal group laws ( for details, see [L-M 1]). Given theory  $h^*(-)$  having transfers and Chern classes, the map

$$(8.1) \quad \rho_h : \Omega^*(-) \rightarrow h^*(-)$$

is defined by  $\rho_h([f : M \rightarrow X]) = f_*(1_M)$  where  $1_M \in h^0(M)$  represents the identity element.

We have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{MGL}} & MGL^{2*,*}(X) & \xrightarrow{t_{MU}} & MU^*(X(\mathbb{C})) \\ = \downarrow & & \rho^{2*,*} \downarrow & & \rho^* \downarrow \\ \Omega^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{CH}} & CH^*(X) \cong H^{2*,*}(X) & \xrightarrow{t_H} & H^*(X(\mathbb{C})) \end{array}$$

Levine and Morel [Le-Mo2] proves that

$$(8.2) \quad \Omega^*(pt) \xrightarrow{t_{MU} \rho_{MGL}} MU^*(pt), \quad \Omega^*(X) \otimes_{\Omega^*} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\rho_{CH} \otimes_{\Omega^*} Z} CH^*(X).$$

Moreover they conjecture that  $\rho_{MGL}$  are always isomorphisms.

Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of algebraic vector bundles over  $X$ . Let  $\tilde{K}(1)^*(X)$  is the integral  $K$ -theory, that is,  $\tilde{K}(1)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$ . Then Levine Morel also proves that

$$(8.3) \quad K^0(X) \otimes \tilde{K}(1)^* \cong \Omega^*(X) \otimes_{\Omega^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*.$$

Giving a sequence  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots), a_i \geq 0$ , recall the Landweber-Novikov operation is defined by

$$S_\alpha(f_*(1_M)) = f_*(c_\alpha(N_f)) \quad \text{for } [f : M \rightarrow X] \in \Omega^*(X)$$

where  $c_\alpha(N_f)$  is the Chern class of the normal bundle of  $f(M)$  in  $X$  so that  $S_\alpha$  in  $MU^*(X)$  is the usual Landweber-Novikov operation. As the arguments in Section 4, we get the multiplicative projection  $\Phi : \Omega^*(-)_{(p)} \rightarrow \Omega^*(-)_{(p)}$  such that  $\Phi(x_i) = x_i$  if  $i = p^j - 1$  for some  $j$  otherwise  $\Phi(x_i) = 0$ . Define the algebraic Brown-Peterson theory

$$\Omega_{BP}^*(X) = \text{Im}(\Phi(\Omega^*(X)_{(p)})) \subset \Omega^*(X)_{(p)}.$$

Hence if  $h^*(-)$  is a theory having transfers and Chern classes, then there is the natural map  $\rho_{BP,h} : \Omega_{BP}^*(X) \rightarrow h^*(X)_{(p)}$  compatible with  $\rho_{h(p)}$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *Identifying  $\Omega^* = MU^*$ , we get  $\Omega_{BP}^*(X) \cong BP^* \otimes_{\Omega_{(p)}^*} \Omega^*(X)_{(p)}$ .*

## 9. CLASSIFYING SPACES

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $k$ . Consider a representation  $G \rightarrow GL_n(k)$  and  $S$  be a closed set such that  $G$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{A}^n - S$ . Define the classifying space  $BG$  by

$$(9.1) \quad BG = \text{Colim}_{\{N \rightarrow \infty, \text{codim}(S) \rightarrow \infty\}} (\mathbb{A}^n - S)/G.$$

We study the motivic cobordisms of  $BG$  when  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

Hereafter, we always assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

In particular we consider the groups which satisfy

$$(9.2) \quad \Omega_{BP}^*(BG) \cong ABP^{2*,*}(BG) \cong BP^*(BG).$$

Let  $G$  be a connected group and  $T$  its maximal torus. Suppose that  $H^*(BG)$  has no  $p$ -torsion. Then  $BP^*(BG) \cong BP^* \otimes H^*(BG)_{(p)}$ . Moreover we see

$$H^*(BG)_{(p)} \cong H^*(BN_G(T))_{(p)} \cong H^*(BT)_{(p)}^{W_G(T)}.$$

The righthand side ring is the image of the transfer  $Tr(-) = \text{Cor}_T^{N_G(T)}(-)$ . Chow rings also have the transfer, we have

$$CH^*(BN_G(T))_{(p)} \cong Tr(CH^*(BT))_{(p)} \cong Tr(H^*(BT))_{(p)} \cong H^*(BN_G(T))_{(p)}.$$

Totaro (see [Ve1] for details) defined the Gottlieb type transfer

$$Gtr : CH^*(BN_G(T)) \rightarrow CH^*(BG)$$

such that  $Gtr.i^* = \chi(G/N_G(T))id_G = id_G \text{ mod}(p)$  where  $\chi(-)$  is the Euler characteristic and  $i^* : CH^*(G) \rightarrow CH^*(BN_G(T))$  is the restriction map. Hence we have

$$CH^*(BG)_{(p)} \cong Gtr(CH^*(BN_G(T))_{(p)}) \cong Gtr(H^*(BN_G(T))_{(p)}) \cong H^*(BG)_{(p)}.$$

Since  $\Omega_{BP}^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong CH^*(X)_{(p)}$ , we have

**Proposition 9.1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected group such that  $H^*(BG)$  has no  $p$ -torsion. Then (9.2) holds.*

**Examples ;**  $\Omega_{BP}^*(BU(n)) \cong BP^*[c_1, \dots, c_n]$ ,  $\Omega_{BP}^*(BSp_{2n}) \cong BP^*[c_2, \dots, c_{2n}]$  where  $c_i$  are the Chern classes for the natural representations.

By S.Wilson [Wi], we know

$$BP^*(BO(n)) \cong BP^*[c_1, \dots, c_n]/(c_{\text{odd}} = \bar{c}_{\text{odd}}).$$

where  $\bar{c}_i$  is the complex conjugation of  $c_i$  ( $c_i$  is the  $i$ -th Chern class of the complexification of the universal real bundle.) Totaro showed [To2]  $BP^*(BO(n)) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \cong CH^*(BO(n))_{(2)}$ . Hence we also know

**Proposition 9.2.** *Let  $G = O(n)$  and  $p = 2$ . Then (9.2) holds.*

Next consider finite abelian  $p$ -groups. Let us study the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^s$ . For a smooth  $X$ , the cofiber given in §2 induces the maps

$$(**) \quad \Omega_{BP}^*(X \times B\mathbb{Z}/p^s) \leftarrow \Omega_{BP}^*(X \times B\mathbb{G}_m) \xleftarrow{[p^s]} \Omega_{BP}^*(X \times B\mathbb{G}_m)$$

where  $\Omega_{BP}^*(X \times B\mathbb{G}_m) \cong \Omega_{BP}^*(X) \otimes_{\Omega_{BP}^*} \Omega_{BP}^*(B\mathbb{G}_m) \cong \Omega_{BP}^*(X)[y]$  for  $\deg(y) = (2, 1)$ . Note that the above sequence (\*\*) is not necessary exact but the composition is zero map. But we already know that  $CH^*(X \times B\mathbb{Z}/p^s) \cong CH^*(X)[y]/(p^s)$  and  $BP(X \times B\mathbb{Z}/p^s) \cong BP(X)[y]/([p^s](y))$ . Hence we get

$$\Omega_{BP}^*(X \times B\mathbb{Z}/p^s) \cong \Omega_{BP}^*(X)[y]/([p^s](y)).$$

Similarly, we see that  $ABP^{2*,*}(X \times B\mathbb{Z}/p^s)$  is isomorphic to the above ring.

**Proposition 9.3.** *If  $G$  has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup isomorphic to an abelian  $p$ -group, then (9.2) holds.*

**Remark.** For the elementary abelian  $p$ -group cases are still studied in the preceding section. For  $P(1)$ -theory, we have  $AP(1)^{*,*}(BG) \cong BP^*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau]$ . When  $s > 1$ , of course, there are nonzero differential not of the form  $d_{p^i-1} = v_i \otimes Q_i(x)$ . Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^r$  and consider AHss for  $AP(1)^{*,*}(BG)$ . Then the only nonzero differential is

$$d_{2p^r-1}(x) = v_1^{1+p+\dots+p^{r-1}} y^{p^r}.$$

since  $[p^r](y) = v_1^{1+p+\dots+p^{r-1}} y^{p^r} \text{ mod } (p)$ .

For nonabelian  $p$ -groups, we give here partial results only. It seems quite difficult to know  $CH^*(BG)$  exactly, in general.

Recall the Totaro's cycle map

$$\bar{cl} : CH^*(X)_{(p)} \cong ABP^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{t_c^{2*,*}} BP^*(X(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}.$$

We will study the groups such that

$$(9.3) \quad ABP^{2*,*}(BG)/(BP^* \text{Ker}(\bar{cl})) \cong BP^*(BG).$$

Typical examples are  $G = p_+^{1+2}$  the extraspecial  $p$ -group of order  $p^3$  of exponent  $p$  for odd prime  $p$  (when  $p = 2$ ,  $2_+^{1+2} = D_8$ ; the dihedral group of order 8), or  $G = PGL_3$ ; the projective linear group for  $p = 3$  (see [Ve1]). Both cases hold that  $Q_1 H^{\text{even}}(BG) = 0$  and  $Q_1|_{H^{\text{odd}}(BG)}$  is injective. Hence the  $BP$ -theory is given by

$$grBP^*(BG) \cong BP^* \otimes H^{\text{even}}(BG)/(v_1 Q_1 H^{\text{odd}}(BG)).$$

**Proposition 9.4.** *If  $G = p_+^{1+2}$  for all primes or  $PGL_3$  for  $p = 3$ , then (9.3) holds.*

*Proof.* We prove the theorem for  $G = p_+^{1+2}$  and  $p$ :odd. The other groups are also proved similarly. For this case, there is the decomposition [Ya4]

$$\tilde{H}^*(BG) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\{G_0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^2\{G'_0\} \oplus \Lambda(Q_1)G_1$$

with  $G_0, G'_0, Q_1G_1$  are in the image  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(CH^*(BG))$ . Hence

$$grBP^*(BG) \cong BP^*/p\{G_0\} \oplus BP^*/p^2\{G'_0\} \oplus P(2)^*\{Q_1G_1\}.$$

Here  $G_1$  is generated as a  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(CH^*(BG))$ -module by 3-dimensional two elements  $a_1, a_2$ . Since it is of course torsion element, we can take  $a_1, a_2 \in H^{3,2}(BG)$  that is  $w(a_i) = 1$ . Hence we get

$$H^{*,*}(BG; \mathbb{Z}) \supset \mathbb{Z}/p\{G_0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^2\{G'_0\} \oplus \Lambda(Q_1)G_1$$

with  $w(G_1) = 1$   $w(G_0) = w(G') = 0$ . From Corollary 5.2 and AHss, we have the proposition.  $\square$

Here we recall some useful fact about multiplying  $\tau$ . Write  $H^i(X, H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^j)$  the Zarisky cohomology of  $X$  with the coefficient in presheaf  $H_{et}^j(V; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for open subset  $V$  of  $X$ . From the result of Voevodsky we have the long exact sequence (Lemma 2.4 in [Or-Vi-Vo])

$$H^{m,n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^{m,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^{m-n}(X; H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^n) \rightarrow H^{m+1,n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

In particular we get

**Lemma 9.5.** (*Lemma 2.4 in [Or-Vi-Vo]*) *Let  $X$  be smooth. Then  $\tau : H^{n,n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^{n,n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is injective.*

**Remark** If  $B(m, p)$  condition is satisfied, then the similar fact holds for odd primes  $p$ . This is also explained by the Bloch-Ogus spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} \cong H^i(X; H_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^j) \implies H_{et}^{i+j}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

where  $E_2^{i,j} = 0$  unless  $0 \leq i \leq j$ . (Theorem 1.3 in [To3]).

Let  $G$  be a simply connected Lie group. Then  $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H^4(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$ . Suppose that  $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z})$  has  $p$ -torsion. Then it is known that there is an element  $x' \in H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $0 \neq Q_1x' \in H^{2p+2}(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Taking classifying space, we get the element  $x \in H^4(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $Q_1x \neq 0$  in  $H^{2p+3}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . By Totaro [To2] it is known that  $CH^*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H^*(BG) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence there is  $s \geq 1$  such that  $p^s x \in H^4(BG)$  is in  $Im(cl)$ .

**Lemma 9.6.** *Suppose that  $B(3, p)$  holds. Let  $x$  be an element in  $H^4(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $px \in Im(cl)$ . Then we can take  $x' \in H^{4,3}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  with  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(x') = x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{px\} = a \in H^{4,2}(BG)$ . We consider in the coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2$ . Let  $\tau_{p^2}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2$ -module generator of  $H^{0,1}(pt; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$ . Then  $\tau_{p^2}^2 a = px \in H^{4,4}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$  defining  $x \in H^{4,4}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  since so in the topological case. But  $\tau : H^{4,3}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{4,4}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  injective from Lemma 9.5. This means  $\tau a = 0 \in H^{4,3}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Hence there is  $x' \in H^{4,3}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^2)$  so that  $\tau_{p^2} a = px'$ . We get  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(x') = x$  since  $\tau_{p^2}(px') = px$ .  $\square$

When  $G = SO(4), G_2, Spin(7)$  for  $p = 2$  or  $G = F_4$  for  $p = 3$ , the assumption of the above lemma hold, in fact, we can take  $px = c_2$  the second Chern class of some representation. Hence we can identify  $x \in H^{4,3}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ .



**Remark** The submodules in Proposition 5.7 in [Ya4] are just  $Ih^{*,*}(BSO(4))$  and  $h^{*,*}(BSO(4))$ .

We consider the case  $X = BSO(4), G_2, Spin(7)$  and  $p = 2$ . The mod 2-cohomology of  $BSO(4)$  is  $H^*(BSO(4); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, w_3, w_4]$ . The cohomology operations are given by

$$Q_0 w_2 = w_3, \quad Q_1 w_3 = w_3^2, \quad Q_1 w_4 = w_4 w_3, \quad Q_1 Q_2 w_4 = w_3^2 w_4^2.$$

The integral cohomology is written as

$$H^*(BSO(4))_{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[w_2^2, w_4] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[w_3]\{w_3\}).$$

In AHss converging to  $BP^*(BSO(4))$ , non-zero differentials are  $d_{2i+1-1}(x) = v_i \otimes Q_i(x)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We can compute (see [Ya4])

$$E_\infty^{*,*} \cong E_8^{*,*} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_2] \otimes (BP^*[c_4]\{1, 2w_4\} \oplus P(2)^*[c_3]\{c_3\} \oplus P(3)^*[c_3, c_4]\{c_3 c_4\}).$$

We know that the element corresponding  $2w_4$  is represented by a Chern class  $c_2'$  of some representation and this means the Totaro's cycle map  $\tilde{cl}$  is epic. Indeed, Totaro and Padharipande [Pa] shows that this map is isomorphic, namely,

$$CH^*(BSO(4))_{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_2, c_3, c_4, c_2'] / (2c_3, c_3 c_2', c_2'^2 - 4c_4).$$

**Proposition 9.7.**  $ABP^{2*,*}(BSO(4)) \cong BP^*(BSO(4))$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 9.6, we can take  $w_4 \in H^{4,3}(BSO(4); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . From the naturality of AHss for the realization map, we get the proposition.  $\square$

The cases  $X = BG_2, BSpin(7)$  are quite similar to the case  $X = BSO(4)$ . The cohomology  $H^*(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, w_6, w_7]$  and

$$gr BP^*(BG_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6] \otimes (BP^*\{1, 2w_4\} \oplus P(3)^*[c_7]\{c_7\}).$$

The Chow ring of  $BG_2$  is generated as a ring by Chern classes  $c_2, c_4, c_6$  and  $c_7$ , and there is an epimorphism [To2], [Ya4]

$$CH^*(BG_2)_{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_2, c_4, c_6, c_7] / ((c_2^2 - 4c_4), 2c_7, c_2 c_7) \cong BP^*(BG_2) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}.$$

In [Ya4] we prove  $2c_7 = c_2 c_7 = 0$  also in  $CH^*(BG_2)$ .

**Theorem 9.8.**

$$CH^*(BG_2)_{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_2, c_4, c_6, c_7] / ((c_2^2 - 4c_4), 2c_7, c_2 c_7)$$

*Proof.* We only need to prove  $c_2^2 - 4c_4 = 0$ . Merkurjev showed ([To2]) that the Grothendieck group  $K^0(BG)$  of algebraic vector bundles is isomorphic to the usual  $K$ -theory  $K(BG)$ . We also recall the Conner-Floyd relation

$$\tilde{A}K(1)^{*,*}(X) \cong ABP^{*,*}(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*.$$

Hence  $a = (c_2^2 - 4c_4)$  must be (higher)  $v_1$ -torsion in  $ABP^{*,*}(BG_2)$ .

Suppose  $a \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $d_5(b) = v_1^2 a$  in the spectral sequence converging to  $ABP^{*,*}(BG_2)$ . Then  $b \in H^{3,2}(BG_2) \cong H_L^{3,2}(BG_2)$  by the  $B(n, 2)$ -condition. Here  $b$  is not infinite divisible since neither its  $d$ -image  $v_1^2 a$ . Hence there exists  $b'$  in the usual mod 2 cohomology  $H^3(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  corresponding  $b$ . This is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $d_3(b) = v_1 a$ . Then there is  $b \in H^{5,3}(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  which is not infinite divisible. If  $b$  is a torsion element, then there is  $b' \in H^{4,3}(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  whose (higher) Bockstein is  $b$ . Then from Lemma 9.6,  $\tau b' \neq 0$ . By  $B(n, 2)$ -condition, this means  $t_C(b') \neq 0$  in  $H^4(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . But there is not such element.

Let  $b$  be torsion free element. It is known [Vol] that  $H^{i,j}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_L^{i,j}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Z} \subset H_L^{5,3}(BG_2; \mathbb{Z})$ . This means  $H_L^{5,3}(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^5(BG_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  and this is a contradiction.  $\square$

We also see that  $w(w_4) = 2$  by Corollary 9.6.

**Corollary 9.9.**  $ABP^{2*,*}(BG_2) \cong BP^*(BG_2)$ .

The mod 2 cohomology is  $H^*(BSpin(7); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, w_6, w_7, w_8]$  and  $grBP^*(BSpin(7))$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6] \otimes (BP^*[c_8]\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\} \oplus P(3)^*[c_7]\{c_7\} \oplus P(4)^*[c_7, c_8]\{c_7c_8\}).$$

Hence we know that  $BP^*(BSpin(7)) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{v_1w_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[c_7]\{c_7\}).$$

It is known that elements  $2w_8, 2w_4w_8$  are represented by Chern classes but  $v_1w_8$  is not. However Totaro shows that the cycle map  $\tilde{cl}$  is epic. Here we give the another proof.

**Lemma 9.10.** *In  $BP^*(BSpin(7)) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ , the element  $\{v_1w_8\}$  is in  $Im(\tilde{cl})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a = v_1w_8$  in  $E_\infty^{*,*}$ . By the Conner-Floyd type theorems for the motivic and the usual theories

$$\begin{aligned} ABP^{2*,*}(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^* &\cong A\tilde{K}(1)^{2*,*}(BG) \\ &\cong \tilde{K}(1)^*(BG) \cong BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we know  $ABP^{2*,*}(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong CH^*(X)_{(p)}$ . Hence there exists element  $c \in CH^*(BSpin(7))$  such that  $\tilde{cl}(c) = v_1^s a$  for some  $s \geq 0$ . By dimensional reason,  $s = 0$  or  $1$ . If  $s = 1$ , then  $c \in CH^2(BSpin(7))$ . But it is known from Totaro (Cor. 3.5 in [To 2]) that

$$CH^2(BG)_{(p)} \cong (BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^4.$$

Hence we see that  $\tilde{cl}(c) = a$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 9.11.** *For  $p = 2$  and  $G = Spin(7)$ , if  $w(w_8) = 2$ , then the isomorphisms (9.3) holds.*

**Lemma 9.12.** *The weight  $w(w_8) = 2$  or  $4$  in  $H^{*,*}(BSpin(7); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = BSpin(7)$ . Consider the AHss

$$E_2^{*,*,*} \cong H^{*,*}(X; BP^*/2) \implies AP(1)^*(X).$$

First we think  $w_8 \in H^{8,8}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Let  $\xi \in H^{6,3}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  be the element with  $\tilde{cl}(\xi) = \{v_1 \otimes w_8\}$  in  $BP^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}/2$ . For each  $0 \neq a \in E_\infty^{*,*}$  in AHss converging to  $Ah^{*,*}(X)$ , we use the notation  $\{a\}$  which is some element in  $Ah^{*,*}(X)$  representing  $a$ . From Lemma 7.2, for sufficient large  $N$  we have

$$(*) \quad \tau^{N+4}\{\xi\} = \tau^N\{v_1 \otimes w_8\} \quad \text{in } AP(1)^{*,*}(X)$$

here we identify  $\xi \in E_\infty^{6,3,0}, v_1 \otimes w_8 \in E_\infty^{8,8,-2}$  while  $\{\xi\} \in AP(1)^{6,3}(X), \{v_1 \otimes w_8\} \in AP(1)^{6,7}(X)$ .

In  $H^{*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , from Lemma 9.2, we know  $\tau^s \xi = 0$  for  $s = 1$  or  $2$ . This means that there is  $a \in E_\infty^{*,*,minus}$  with  $\tau^s\{\xi\} = \{a\}$ . By  $(*)$  and dimensional reason  $a = v_1 \otimes w'$ . Here  $t_{\mathbb{C}}(w) = w_8$  and  $w(w') = 2s$ .  $\square$

## 10. ALGEBRAIC GROUPS CORRESPONDING LIE GROUPS

Let  $G$  be a simply connected complex Lie group and  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  the corresponding reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $T$  be the maximal torus of  $G$  and  $BT$  its classifying space. Consider the fibering

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/T \xrightarrow{i} BT.$$

Grothendieck [G] proved that

$$CH^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong H^*(G/T)/(Ideal(i^*\tilde{H}^*(BT))).$$

By using arguments of Grothendieck, we have its cobordism version.

**Theorem 10.1.**  $MGL^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \Omega^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong MU^*(G/T)/(Ideal(i^*\tilde{M}U(BT)))$ .

*Proof.* The second isomorphism is proved in [Ya4]. The first isomorphism is immediate from the second isomorphism and the Morel-Hopkins result Corollary 3.8.  $\square$

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime. From the Grothendieck result, Kac [K] noted that  $CH^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})/p \cong \pi^*(H^*(G/T))/p$ . Recall  $\Omega^* \cong MU^* \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots]$  with  $|x_i| = -2i$  and identify  $v_i = x_{p^i-1}$ .

**Theorem 10.2.** *Let  $I = (p, v_1, \dots)$  be the invariant ideal of  $MU^*$ . Then*

$$\Omega^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})/I^2 \cong \pi^*(MU^*(G/T))/(I^2)', \quad \text{where } (I^2)' = I^2 MU^*(G) \cap \pi^*(MU^*(G/T)).$$

By the Borel theorem, we can write  $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y_{\text{even}})/p \otimes \Lambda(x_{\text{odd}})$  where  $P(y_{\text{even}})$  is a truncated polynomial algebra of even degree generators  $y_{\text{even}}$  and  $\Lambda(x_{\text{odd}})$  is the exterior algebra of odd degree generators  $x_{\text{odd}}$ . When  $p = 2$  we take  $y_{\text{even}}$  as a power of some  $x_{\text{odd}}$ . (Here let  $P(y_{\text{even}})$  be the plonomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  for later convenience.) Then the result of Grothendieck and Kac [K] is stated as

$$CH^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})/p \cong P(y_{\text{even}})/p.$$

Let  $Q_i$  be the Milnor primitive operation inductively defined by  $Q_i = [Q_{i-1}, P^{p^{i-1}}]$  and  $Q_0 = \beta$ ; the Bockstein operation where  $P^{p^{p-1}}$  is the  $p^{p-1}$ -th reduced power operation. It is known that  $Q_i(x_{\text{odd}}) \in P(y_{\text{even}})/p$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 10.3.** *There is an ideal  $F \subset \Omega^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})$  such that its graded algebra  $gr\Omega^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}) = F \oplus \Omega(G_{\mathbb{C}})/F$  induces the isomorphism*

$$gr\Omega^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})/I^2 \cong \Omega^* \otimes P(y_{\text{even}})/(I^2, \sum_i v_i Q_i(x_{\text{odd}})).$$

When  $p \neq 2$ , we can take  $F = 0$ . For  $p = 2$ , we can take  $F$  so that

$$F = Ideal(y_i^{2^{k_i}} | 1 \leq i \leq s) \quad \text{when } P(y_{\text{even}}) = \otimes_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z}[y_i]/(y_i^{2^{k_i}}).$$

Consider the spectral sequence induced from the above (topological) fibering

$$E_2^{*,*} = H^*(BT; H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)) \implies H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

The cohomology of the classifying space of the torus is  $H^*(BT) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_l]$  with  $|t_i| = 2$  where  $l$  is also the number of the odd degree generators  $x_k$  in  $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . It is known that there is a regular sequence  $(b_1, \dots, b_l)$  in  $H^*(BT)/p$  such that  $d_{|x_i|+1}(x_i) = b_i$ . Thus we get

$$H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[t_1, \dots, t_l]/(b_1, \dots, b_l).$$

**Theorem 10.4.** ([Ya5]) *Let  $G$  be a simply connected Lie group. Giving bidegree to  $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  by  $w(y_i) = 0$  and  $w(x_i) = 1$ , we have the injection*

$$P(y)/p \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_l) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau] \subset H^{*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

*such that for  $p = \text{odd}$ , it is a ring monomorphism, and for  $p = 2$ , it is a ring monomorphism to  $grH^{*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $x_i^2 - y_i\tau \in \text{Ker}(t_{\mathbb{Z}/p})$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/T_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \longleftarrow & H^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/T_{\mathbb{C}}, G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xleftarrow{\delta} & H^{2*-1,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) \\ t_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \cong \downarrow & & t_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \downarrow & & t_{\mathbb{Z}/p} \downarrow \\ H^{2*}(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \longleftarrow & H^{2*}(G/T, G; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \xleftarrow{\delta} & H^{2*-1}(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \end{array}$$

where rows are exact. First note that

$$H^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/T_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong CH^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}/T_{\mathbb{C}})/p \cong H^{2*}(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

since  $G_{\mathbb{C}}/T_{\mathbb{C}}$  has a cellular decomposition.

Recall that  $E_2^{*,*}$  is the spectral sequence converging to  $H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Since the differential in  $E_r^{*,*}$  are given  $d_{|b_i|}(x_i) = b_i$ , we easily seen from the definition of differential,  $\delta(x_i) = b_i \text{ mod } (E_{\infty}^{|b_i|+1,*})$  in  $H^*(G/T, G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Hence  $b_i \neq 0 \in H^*(G/T, G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Here note that  $t_1, \dots, t_l$  are in  $H^*(G/T, G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , while  $y_i$  is not in  $H^*(G/T, G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  because  $t_i = 0 \in H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ .

Similarly  $t_1, \dots, t_l$  are in  $H^{2*,*}(G/T, G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , since the corresponding elements in  $H^{2*,*}(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  goes to zero in  $H^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = CH^*(G_{\mathbb{C}})/p$ . Moreover  $b_i \neq 0$  in  $H^{2*,*}(G/T, G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  since it is nonzero in  $H^*(G/T, G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Hence there is the element  $x_i \in H^{2*-1,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  such that  $\delta(x_i) = b_i$ .

Since  $t_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\tau) = 1$ , we also see  $t_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(y_i\tau) = t_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(x_i^2)$  for  $p = 2$ .  $\square$

Other types of motivic cohomology seem quite complicated and we consider only group  $G$  in Case I, that is,  $(G, p)$  are the exceptional Lie groups  $(G_2, 2), (F_4, 2), (E_6, 2), (F_4, 3), (E_6, 3), (E_7, 3)$  and  $(E_8, 5)$ . For these cases, the ordinary  $\text{mod } p$ -cohomology is written (see [Ya2])

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y]/(y^p) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l)$$

where  $|x_1| = 3, |x_2| = 2p + 1, |y| = 2p + 2, Q_1x_1 = Q_0x_2 = y$ . In this case  $P(1)^*(G) = BP^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is known. Consider AHss

$$E_2^{*,*} = H^*(G; P(1)^*) \implies P(1)^*(G).$$

The only nonzero differential is  $d_{2p-1}(x) = v_1 \otimes Q_1(x)$ . Thus we can prove [Ya2]

$$P(1)^*(G) \cong (P(1)^*[y]/(y^p, v_1y) \oplus P(1)^*\{xy^{p-1}\}) \otimes \Lambda(x_2, \dots, x_l)$$

**Theorem 10.5.** ([Ya5]) *For the group  $(G, p)$  in Case I, we have the isomorphism*

$$ABP^{2*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \Omega_{BP}^*(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong BP^*[y]/(py, v_1y, y^p).$$

**Theorem 10.6.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group in Case I. Giving the bidegree to  $AP(1)^{*,*}(G)$  by  $w(y) = 0, w(x) = 1$  for  $x = x_i, x_1y^{p-1}$ , we have the  $AP(1)^{*,*}$ -algebra injection*

$$(P(2)^{*,*}[y]/(y^p) \oplus P(1)^*\{x_1y^{p-1}\}) \otimes \Lambda(x_2, \dots, x_l) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau] \subset AP(1)^{*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

*Proof.* Consider AHss

$$E_2^{*,*,*} = H^{*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}; P(1)^*) \implies AP(1)^{*,*}(G_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Then  $E_2^{*,*,*} \supset H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p[\tau] \otimes P(1)^*$  with weight  $w(y) = 0$  and  $w(x_i) = 1$ . The first differential is  $d_{2p-1} = v_1 \otimes Q_1$  and  $E_{2p}^{*,*,*}$  contains the  $AP(1)^{*,*}$ -algebra in the theorem.

Let  $x = x_1 y^{p-1}$  or  $x_i$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $d_r(x) \neq 0$  for  $r \geq 2p$ . Since  $w(d_r(x)) = 0$ , we know  $d_r(x) \in P(2)^*[y]/(y^p)$ . But  $y^j$  is  $P(2)^*$ -free from Theorem 10.4 and this is a contradiction. Thus  $x$  are permanent cycles. Moreover  $P(1)^*(G)$  contains  $P(1)^* \otimes \Lambda(x_1 y^{p-1}, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ . Thus we get the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bo] S.Borghesi. Algebraic Morava K-theories and the higher degree formula. [www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0412](http://www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0412) (2000).
- [Gre] J.P.C.Greenlees. Adams spectral sequences in equivariant topology. *Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge* (1985).
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck. Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles. *Sem. C.Chevalley, ENS 1958, expose 5, Secreatariat Math., IHP, Paris* (1958).
- [Ho-Mo] M.Hopkins and F.Morel. *In preparation*
- [Hu-Kr1] P.Hu and I.Kriz. Real oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. *Topology* **40** (2001), 317-399.
- [Hu-Kr2] P.Hu and I.Kriz. Some remark on real and algebraic cobordism. *K-theory* **22** (2001), 335-366.
- [Ka] V. G. Kac. Torsion in cohomology of compact Lie groups and Chow rings of reductive algebraic groups. *Invent. Math.* **80** (1985), 69-79.
- [Le-Mo1] M. Levine and F. Morel. Coborsime algébrique I. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332** (2001), 723-728.
- [Le-Mo2] M. Levine and F. Morel. Coborsime algébrique II. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332** (2001), 815-820.
- [Me-Su] A.Merkurjev and A.Suslin. K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism. *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **21** (1983), 307-340.
- [Mo] F.Morel. On the motivic  $\pi_0$  of the sphere spectrum. *preprint*
- [Mo-Vo] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky.  $A^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes. *IHES Publ. Math.* **90** (2001), 45-143.
- [No] P. Novikov The methods of algebraic topology from the view point of cobordism theory. *Math. USSR. Izv.* **1** (1967), 827-913.
- [Or-Vi-Vo] D.Orlov, A.Vishik and V.Voevodsky. An exact sequence for Milnor's K-theory with applications to quadric forms. [www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0454](http://www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0454) (2000).
- [Pa] R. Pandharipande. Equivariant Chow rings of  $O(k)$ ,  $SO(2k+1)$ , and  $SO(4)$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **496** (1998) 131-148.
- [Po] G.Powell. *In preparation*
- [Qu] D. Quillen. Elementary proofs of some results of cobordism theory using Steenrod operations. *Adv. Math.* **7** (1971), 29-56.
- [Ro] M.Rost. Some new results on Chowgroups of quadrics. *preprint* (1990).
- [Sc-Ya] B. Schuster and N. Yagita. Transfer of Chern classes in  $BP$ -cohomology and Chow rings. *Trans. AMS.* **353** (2001), 1039-1054.
- [Sh-Ya] N.Shimada N. Yagita. Multiplications in complex bordism theory with singularities. *Publ.Res.Inst.Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.* **12** (1976), 259-293.
- [Su1] A.Susulin. On the K-theory of algebraic closed fields. *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 241-245.
- [Su2] A.Susulin. Higher Chow groups and etale cohomology. Cycles, transfers and motivic homology theories (V.Voevodsky, A.Susulin, E.Friedlander, eds.) *Annals of Math. Studies* (2000), 237-252.
- [Ta] H.Tamanoi. Spectra of  $BP$ -linear relations,  $v_n$ -series, and  $BP$  cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 5139-5178.
- [To1] B. Totaro. Torsion algebraic cycles and complex cobordism. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), 467-493.

- [To2] B. Totaro. The Chow ring of classifying spaces. *Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997:University of Washington, Seattle)* **67** (1999), 248-281.
- [Ve1] G. Vezzosi. On the Chow ring of the classifying space of  $PGL_{3,C}$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **523** (2000), 1-54.
- [Ve2] G. Vezzosi. Brown-Peterson spectra in stable  $A^1$ -homotopy theory. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **106** (2001), 47-64.
- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky. The Milnor conjecture. *www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0170* (1996).
- [Vo2] V. Voevodsky (Noted by Weibel). Voevodsky's Seattle lectures :  $K$ -theory and motivic cohomology *Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997:University of Washington, Seattle)* **67** (1999), 283-303.
- [Vo3] V. Voevodsky. Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology. *www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0487* (2001).
- [Wi] W.S. Wilson. The complex cobordism of  $BO(n)$ . *J. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1984), 352-366.
- [Wu] U. Würgler. On products in a family of cohomology theories associated to the invariant prime ideals of  $\pi_*(BP)$ . *Comment. Math. Helv.* **52** (1977), 457-481.
- [Ya1] N. Yagita. On the algebraic structure of cobordism operations with singularities. *J. London Math Soc.* **16** (1977), 131-141.
- [Ya2] N. Yagita. On mod odd prime Brown-Peterson cohomology groups of exceptional Lie groups. *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **34**, (1982), 293-305.
- [Ya3] N. Yagita. Chow ring of classifying spaces of extraspecial  $p$ -groups. *Contem. Math.* **293**, (2002) 397-409.
- [Ya4] N. Yagita. Examples for the mod  $p$  motivic cohomology of classifying spaces. *www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0494* (2001)
- [Ya5] N. Yagita. Algebraic cobordism of simply connected Lie groups. *www.math.unic.edu/K-theory/0518* (2001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, MITO, IBARAKI, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `yagita@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp`